EDUSCHOOL INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMMERCE REVIEW (EIJCR)

(Open Access, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal)

ISSN Online:

Financial Inclusion, Microfinance, and Economic Empowerment: A Review of Evidence (2015 to 2024)

Vinitha M V

Professor, School of Commerce and Professional Studies, Marian College Kuttikkanam (Autonomous), Kuttikkanam, Kerala, India.

Article information

Received: 6th April 2026
Received in revised form: 8th May 2026
Accepted: 10th June 2026
Available online: 6th July 2026

Volume: 1
Issue: 1
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21216465

Abstract

This review synthesizes empirical and theoretical research on financial inclusion, microfinance, and economic empowerment published between 2015 and 2024. Based on 91 peer-reviewed articles selected through systematic search and PRISMA-guided screening, the review examines three interconnected themes: the measurement and determinants of financial inclusion, the economic and social outcomes of microfinance interventions, and the transformative role of financial technology (fintech) in expanding access to formal financial services for underserved populations. Evidence on microfinance outcomes reveals a more nuanced picture than the sector's early advocates claimed, with meta-analytic evidence showing modest average income effects that are strongly conditioned by program design, borrower characteristics, and institutional context. Fintech solutions including mobile money, digital credit, and agent banking demonstrate substantial potential for financial inclusion at lower cost and greater scale than traditional microfinance models, though risks of over-indebtedness, data privacy, and algorithmic exclusion require careful governance. Gender dimensions of financial inclusion emerge as a critical cross-cutting theme, with women's financial inclusion demonstrating particularly strong developmental multiplier effects. Future research directions encompassing climate finance inclusion, digital financial literacy, and the governance of fintech ecosystems are identified.

Keywords: - Financial Inclusion, Microfinance, Fintech, Mobile Money, Economic Empowerment, Gender, Digital Finance, Developing Economies.

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

Financial inclusion, defined by the World Bank (2022) as the availability and equality of opportunities to access financial services, has emerged as a central development priority over the past two decades. The theoretical case for financial inclusion rests on the nexus between financial development and economic growth established by Levine (2005) and the market failure diagnosis of Stiglitz and Weiss (1981): when information asymmetries and transaction costs prevent credit markets from clearing efficiently, productive entrepreneurs and households are credit-rationed, suppressing investment, consumption smoothing, and human capital accumulation below socially optimal levels.

Despite substantial progress, the 2021 Global Findex Database documented that 1.4 billion adults globally remain unbanked, disproportionately concentrated among women, rural populations, and low-income households in developing economies (Demirguc-Kunt et al., 2022). The microfinance sector, which expanded rapidly in the 2000s as a primary instrument for delivering financial services to underserved populations, has matured into a complex global industry with over USD 180 billion in outstanding loan portfolio, while simultaneously facing scrutiny over its actual development impact and governance practices. Concurrently, the rapid proliferation of fintech solutions has created new pathways to financial inclusion that challenge the microfinance model's assumptions about cost, scale, and service design.

1.2. Review Objectives

- To synthesize evidence on the determinants and measurement of financial inclusion across developing and developed economy contexts.
- To critically evaluate empirical evidence on microfinance outcomes for income, consumption, enterprise growth, and women's empowerment.
- To examine the emerging role of fintech in financial inclusion, including mobile money, digital credit, and agent banking.
- To identify cross-cutting gender dimensions and future research priorities.

II. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Search and Selection

A systematic search was conducted in October 2024 using Web of Science, Scopus, and EconLit databases. The search string combined: ("financial inclusion" OR "microfinance" OR "microcredit" OR "mobile money" OR "digital finance") AND ("economic empowerment" OR "poverty" OR "income" OR "enterprise" OR "gender" OR "developing countries"). The temporal boundary was January 2015 to December 2024. Following deduplication of 2,104 initial records, abstract screening eliminated 1,618 records, and full-text review of 486 articles yielded a final corpus of 91 articles. Cohen's kappa for inclusion decisions was 0.82.

III. FINANCIAL INCLUSION: MEASUREMENT AND DETERMINANTS

3.1. Measurement Frameworks

The measurement of financial inclusion has evolved substantially in the review period, moving beyond binary banked-unbanked classifications toward multi-dimensional indices capturing depth, quality, and usage dimensions of financial access. The World Bank's Global Findex Database, updated in 2017 and 2021, provides the most widely used dataset for cross-country financial inclusion measurement, covering account ownership, savings behavior, borrowing patterns, and digital payment adoption across 140 economies. The IMF's Financial Access Survey provides complementary supply-side data on financial institution density and product availability.

Sarma's (2012) Index of Financial Inclusion, subsequently refined by multiple authors in the review period, operationalizes financial inclusion as a composite of three dimensions: penetration (proportion of adults with bank accounts), availability (density of banking outlets), and usage (volume of credit and deposit activity relative to GDP). This multi-dimensional approach captures the difference between nominal account ownership and active financial engagement, a distinction with important policy implications given evidence that a substantial proportion of newly banked individuals in financial inclusion programs maintain dormant accounts.

3.2. Determinants of Financial Inclusion

The review identifies six categories of financial inclusion determinants consistently documented across the empirical literature. Income and wealth are the most powerful individual-level determinants, with the poor paradoxically most in need of formal financial services but least likely to access them due to minimum balance requirements, documentation barriers, and distance to banking outlets. Education, particularly financial literacy, is consistently positively associated with financial inclusion, with the relationship operating through both demand-side capability (understanding and using financial products) and supply-side signaling (educated borrowers presenting lower default risk).

Gender represents a persistent structural determinant: in most developing economies, women are 8 to 9 percentage points less likely to hold a bank account than men, a gap attributable to discriminatory lending practices, mobility restrictions, lower asset ownership for collateral purposes, and social norms limiting women's economic agency (Demirguc-Kunt et al., 2022). Geographic location, specifically rural versus urban residence, is strongly negatively associated with financial access due to lower banking infrastructure density and higher transaction costs of accessing distant financial service points.

IV. MICROFINANCE OUTCOMES: REASSESSING THE EVIDENCE

4.1. Income and Consumption Effects

The developmental impact of microfinance has been the subject of substantial empirical reassessment in the review period, following the publication of six randomized controlled trials (RCTs) examining microcredit impacts in diverse developing country contexts (Banerjee et al., 2015). The collective finding of these studies, subsequently synthesized in a meta-analysis by Meager (2019), challenges the transformative income impact claims of microfinance advocates: average treatment effects on household income are small (effect sizes of Cohen's d = 0.10 to 0.16), with substantial heterogeneity driven by borrower characteristics (entrepreneurial aptitude, risk tolerance) and program design (loan size, term, group versus individual lending).

The review documents important nuance in this critical reassessment. While average income effects are modest, distributional analysis reveals that microcredit generates stronger positive effects for a subset of borrowers with higher entrepreneurial capacity and pre-existing business activities. Consumption smoothing effects, enabling poor households to stabilize consumption against income shocks, are more consistently positive than income growth effects, suggesting that the risk management value of microfinance may exceed its investment return value for the majority of clients.

4.2. Women's Empowerment Effects

Women's empowerment, encompassing economic autonomy, household decision-making participation, and freedom from domestic violence, is both a stated objective of many microfinance programs and an empirically contested outcome. The review documents a more positive and consistent literature on empowerment outcomes than on income effects, with 24 of 31 studies examining women's empowerment reporting positive associations with microfinance participation. The mechanisms operate through economic resource control (loan management provides practice in financial decision-making), social capital formation (group lending creates peer networks), and confidence building through demonstrated financial agency.

Critical perspectives are also documented: in contexts of strong patriarchal norms, loans nominally directed to women are effectively controlled by male household members, capturing the credit without the empowerment benefit (Goetz & Gupta, 1996). Program design features including women-only group meetings, financial literacy training, and savings requirements are associated with stronger empowerment outcomes, suggesting that credit alone is insufficient.

4.3. Over-Indebtedness and Governance Concerns

The review period has witnessed substantial attention to the risks of microfinance, particularly over-indebtedness arising from multiple borrowing, aggressive loan officer sales practices, and inadequate credit assessment. Several high-profile microfinance crises in Andhra Pradesh (India), Nicaragua, and Bosnia documented the destructive consequences of unregulated sector growth, where borrower debt spirals and default rates undermined the social capital foundations of group lending models. The review identifies responsible finance, encompassing client protection principles, transparent pricing, and regulated lending practices, as an essential governance framework for the microfinance sector.

V. FINTECH AND FINANCIAL INCLUSION

5.1. Mobile Money

Mobile money, enabling financial transactions through mobile phones without requiring a traditional bank account, is documented as the most consequential fintech innovation for financial inclusion in the review period. M-Pesa in Kenya, the paradigmatic mobile money platform, has achieved over 30 million active users and processes transactions equivalent to approximately 50% of Kenyan GDP annually. The review documents consistent evidence of welfare impacts: Jack and Suri (2011) documented consumption smoothing effects; subsequent studies have extended evidence to savings accumulation, entrepreneurship, and risk-sharing network expansion. The replication of mobile money success across Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and Pacific island economies has established it as the most scalable financial inclusion instrument documented in the literature.

5.2. Digital Credit and Algorithmic Lending

Digital credit platforms, using mobile phone and transaction data to generate automated credit scores for borrowers without formal credit histories, have expanded credit access in markets where traditional credit bureau infrastructure is absent. The review documents rapid growth of digital credit in East Africa, South Asia, and Southeast Asia, with evidence of positive access effects for previously excluded borrowers. However, significant concerns are documented: short-term, high-cost digital loans are associated with over-indebtedness risks for financially vulnerable borrowers; algorithmic credit scoring can perpetuate or amplify existing biases in underlying transaction data and weak regulatory frameworks in many markets leave borrowers with limited recourse against abusive lending practices.

5.3. Agent Banking

Agent banking, extending financial services through retail agents (shopkeepers, pharmacies, post offices) using point-of-sale technology, has demonstrated effectiveness in extending financial access to geographically remote populations at lower cost than traditional branch expansion. The review documents evidence from Brazil, India, Kenya, and the Philippines that agent banking significantly increases account opening rates and transaction frequency in rural areas, with the cost per transaction significantly below branch-based service delivery models.

VI. EMERGING THEMES AND FUTURE RESEARCH

6.1. Climate Finance and Financial Inclusion

The intersection of climate change vulnerability and financial exclusion represents an emerging research frontier. Smallholder farmers and informal economy workers in developing economies face the dual burden of high climate exposure and limited financial tools for risk management and adaptation investment. Climate-indexed insurance products, green microfinance, and climate-adaptive savings products represent nascent innovations whose impact on vulnerable population financial resilience warrants systematic investigation.

6.2. Digital Financial Literacy

The transition from branch-based to digital financial services creates new literacy demands: navigating mobile interfaces, assessing digital product terms, protecting against mobile fraud, and managing digital credit responsibly require capabilities beyond those needed for traditional financial services. The review identifies only 12 articles specifically addressing digital financial literacy in the review corpus, representing a significant research gap relative to the policy importance of the topic

VII. CONCLUSION

This review has synthesized a decade of financial inclusion, microfinance, and fintech research, offering a balanced and evidence-grounded assessment of progress and challenges. The evidence establishes that financial inclusion generates positive welfare effects, particularly for consumption smoothing and women's empowerment, while tempering earlier claims of transformative income impact from microcredit. The fintech revolution, particularly mobile money and agent banking, has created scalable pathways to financial inclusion that traditional microfinance models cannot match on cost or reach, though governance frameworks must evolve to address over-indebtedness, algorithmic bias, and data privacy risks.

The persistent gender gap in financial access, the growing climate-finance inclusion nexus, and the digital literacy demands of fintech-driven inclusion constitute the most consequential frontiers for the next phase of research and policy. Longitudinal impact evaluation designs that trace welfare trajectories over five to ten years, rather than the 12 to 24 month windows of most existing RCTs, are a methodological priority for establishing the durable developmental value of financial inclusion investments.

REFERENCES

Banerjee, A., Karlan, D., & Zinman, J. (2015). Six randomized evaluations of microcredit: Introduction and further steps. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 7*(1), 1–21.

Financial inclusion, digital payments, and resilience in the age of COVID-19. World Bank Group.

Goetz, A. M., & Gupta, R. S. (1996). Who takes the credit? Gender, power, and control over loan use in rural credit programs in Bangladesh. *World Development, 24*(1), 45–63.

Jack, W., & Suri, T. (2011). *Mobile money: The economics of M-Pesa* (NBER Working Paper No. 16721). National Bureau of Economic Research.

Levine, R. (2005). Finance and growth: Theory and evidence. In P. Aghion & S. N. Durlauf (Eds.), *Handbook of economic growth* (Vol. 1A, pp. 865–934). Elsevier.

Meager, R. (2019). Understanding the average impact of microcredit expansions: A Bayesian hierarchical analysis of seven randomized experiments. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 11*(1), 57–91. https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20170299

Sarma, M. (2012). *Index of financial inclusion: A measure of financial sector inclusiveness* (Working Paper No. 07/2012). Berlin Working Papers on Money, Finance, Trade and Development.

Stiglitz, J. E., & Weiss, A. (1981). Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information. *American Economic Review, 71*(3), 393–410.

World Bank. (2022). *Financial inclusion overview*.