



Decolonizing Liberal Arts Curricula For a Global Era

Vincent

Assistant Professor of English, Lakshmi College of Education Gandhigram Dindigul, Tamilnadu, India.

Article information

Received: 23rd January 2026

Received in revised form: 12th February 2026

Accepted: 7th March 2026

Available online: 23rd April 2026

Volume: 2

Issue: 2

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63090/IJALS/3108.1673.0015>

Abstract

The liberal arts tradition faces a profound crisis of legitimacy as scholars and activists worldwide challenge the Eurocentric epistemological foundations upon which Western higher education has been constructed. This article examines the movement to decolonize liberal arts curricula by tracing the colonial legacies embedded in Western educational institutions, analyzing the theoretical frameworks that inform decolonization efforts, and evaluating practical initiatives undertaken by universities in Africa, Latin America, and the Global North. Drawing on postcolonial theory, indigenous epistemology, and critical pedagogy, the analysis engages with key concepts including epistemicide, epistemic disobedience, and the ecology of knowledges. The article argues that decolonizing the liberal arts is not merely a matter of representational diversity but a necessary condition for producing knowledge that is genuinely universal in its scope, relevance, and responsiveness to the interconnected challenges of the twenty-first century.

Keywords: - Decolonization, Liberal Arts, Curriculum Reform, Epistemicide, Postcolonial Theory, Indigenous Knowledge, Higher Education, Epistemic Justice.

Introduction

The liberal arts tradition, long celebrated as the cornerstone of Western higher education, faces a profound crisis of legitimacy in the twenty-first century. Rooted in the educational ideals of the European Enlightenment, liberal arts curricula have historically privileged Western philosophical traditions, literary canons, and epistemological frameworks while marginalizing or entirely excluding the knowledge systems, cultural practices, and intellectual contributions of non-Western societies. As Mbembe (2016) argues, the project of decolonizing the university requires not merely the addition of diverse voices to existing curricula but a fundamental rethinking of the epistemological foundations upon which academic knowledge is constructed and validated.

This article examines the movement to decolonize liberal arts curricula in the context of an increasingly globalized and interconnected world. It traces the colonial legacies embedded in Western educational institutions, analyzes the theoretical frameworks that inform decolonization efforts, and evaluates practical initiatives undertaken by universities in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Global North. Drawing on postcolonial theory, indigenous epistemology, and critical pedagogy, the article argues that decolonizing the liberal arts is not merely a matter of representational equity but a necessary condition for producing knowledge that is genuinely universal in its scope and relevance.

Literature Review

The intellectual foundations of the decolonization movement in higher education draw on several decades of postcolonial and decolonial scholarship. Wa Thiong'o (1986) provided one of the earliest and most influential critiques of colonial education systems in his seminal work on the politics of language in African literature. He argued that the imposition of European languages as the medium of instruction in African schools and universities constituted a form of cultural imperialism that alienated African students from their own intellectual traditions and subordinated indigenous knowledge to Western epistemological frameworks. Wa Thiong'o's insistence on the centrality of African languages to the project of intellectual decolonization continues to resonate in contemporary debates about curriculum reform.

Chakrabarty (2000) made a complementary argument from the field of postcolonial historiography, demonstrating how the discipline of history has been organized around European categories and periodizations that render non-Western experiences as derivative of or subordinate to European modernity. His concept of 'provincializing Europe' understanding European intellectual traditions as particular rather than universal has become a foundational principle of decolonial scholarship, challenging the assumption that Western knowledge represents a neutral or objective standpoint from which all other traditions can be evaluated.

Santos (2014) develops the concept of 'epistemicide' the systematic destruction of indigenous and non-Western knowledge systems through colonial violence and institutional marginalization to describe the effects of colonialism on global intellectual diversity. He argues that the dominance of Western epistemology in academic institutions has impoverished human understanding by suppressing alternative ways of knowing that could contribute to addressing contemporary challenges such as climate change, social inequality, and public health. Santos advocates for an 'ecology of knowledges' that recognizes the validity and value of multiple epistemological traditions.

Mignolo (2011) situates the decolonization of knowledge within the broader framework of modernity/coloniality, arguing that Western modernity and colonialism are not separable phenomena but constitute two sides of the same historical process. He proposes 'delinking' as a strategy for intellectual decolonization a deliberate disengagement from the categories, assumptions, and hierarchies of Western epistemology that enables the recovery and development of alternative knowledge frameworks. Mignolo's work has been influential in Latin American and Caribbean decolonial movements and has contributed to a growing body of scholarship that challenges the universality claims of Western academic disciplines.

Tuhiwai Smith (2021) provides a foundational text on decolonizing research methodologies from an indigenous perspective. She demonstrates how Western research practices have historically served colonial interests by objectifying indigenous peoples, extracting their knowledge, and denying their intellectual agency. Her framework for indigenous research methodologies emphasizes community ownership, cultural protocols, and the primacy of indigenous perspectives in research that affects indigenous communities. Tuhiwai Smith's work has had a transformative impact on research ethics and methodology across the social sciences and humanities.

Grosfoguel (2013) offers a structural analysis of what he terms 'epistemic racism/sexism' in Western universities, arguing that the knowledge produced and validated in these institutions reflects the perspectives and interests of a narrow demographic primarily Western European men while systematically excluding the contributions of women, people of color, and scholars from the Global South. He connects this epistemic exclusion to the broader history of colonial violence, arguing that the structure of knowledge in Western universities cannot be understood apart from the genocides and epistemicides of the colonial era.

Colonial Legacies in Western Education

The contemporary liberal arts curriculum bears the unmistakable imprint of its colonial origins. The literary canon that forms the foundation of humanities education in most Western universities is overwhelmingly composed of works by European and North American authors, with non-Western literatures relegated to specialized courses or area studies programs. Wa Thiong'o (1986) documented this pattern in the context of African universities, where English literature departments taught Shakespeare, Milton, and Wordsworth while African oral traditions and written literatures were either ignored or treated as anthropological curiosities rather than as legitimate objects of literary study.

Chakrabarty (2000) demonstrates that similar patterns of exclusion operate in the discipline of history, where the European experience of modernity is treated as the template against which all other historical trajectories are measured. Non-Western societies are positioned as 'not yet' modern perpetually lagging behind Europe on a single developmental timeline rather than as possessing their own distinct historical trajectories and intellectual traditions. This teleological framework not only distorts the historical record but also reinforces the assumption that Western knowledge represents the most advanced and universal form of human understanding.

Ndlovu-Gatsheni (2018) extends this analysis to the African context, arguing that the colonial university was designed not to serve African intellectual development but to produce a class of Africans who could administer colonial governance. The persistence of colonial curricula, pedagogies, and institutional structures in post-independence African universities represents a form of continued epistemic colonization that undermines the continent's capacity for intellectual self-determination. He advocates for what he terms 'epistemic freedom' the right of African scholars and institutions to define their own research agendas, develop their own theoretical frameworks, and produce knowledge on their own terms.

Heleta (2016) provides a specific analysis of the South African higher education system, documenting the ways in which apartheid-era curricula and institutional cultures have persisted in the post-apartheid period despite formal policy commitments to transformation. He argues that genuine decolonization requires not merely the diversification of reading lists or the addition of modules on non-Western topics but a wholesale restructuring of curricula around principles of democratic citizenship, social justice, and epistemic pluralism.

Theoretical Frameworks for Decolonization

The movement to decolonize liberal arts curricula draws on several overlapping theoretical frameworks. Santos (2014) provides a comprehensive epistemological framework centered on the concept of an 'ecology of knowledges.' This approach rejects the idea that any single epistemological tradition can claim universal validity and instead advocates for a pluralistic approach that recognizes the strengths, limitations, and complementarities of different knowledge systems. Santos argues that addressing the complex challenges of the twenty-first century including climate change, pandemics, and social inequality requires the mobilization of diverse knowledge traditions, including indigenous ecological knowledge, traditional medicine, and non-Western philosophical systems.

Mignolo (2011) proposes a more radical framework centered on the concept of 'epistemic disobedience' a deliberate refusal to accept the categories and hierarchies of Western knowledge as given or natural. He argues that decolonization is not merely a matter of including previously excluded voices within existing institutional frameworks but of fundamentally challenging the logic of coloniality that structures those frameworks. This requires the development of alternative conceptual vocabularies, research methodologies, and criteria of validity that are not derived from Western epistemological traditions.

Bhambra, Gebrial, and Nişancıoğlu (2018) bring these theoretical perspectives into direct conversation with contemporary university politics, arguing that the decolonization of higher education must address not only curriculum content but also institutional governance, hiring practices, student admissions, and the material conditions of knowledge production. Their collection brings together scholars and activists from diverse institutional and geographic contexts, demonstrating the global scope of the decolonization movement while attending to the specific challenges and opportunities that arise in different settings.

Grosfoguel (2013) contributes a structural analysis that connects epistemic decolonization to broader struggles for social justice. He argues that the epistemological privilege enjoyed by Western knowledge in academic institutions is inseparable from the economic, political, and military power that enabled European colonial expansion, and that challenging this privilege requires confronting the material inequalities that sustain it. This structural perspective guards against superficial approaches to decolonization that address representational diversity without challenging underlying power relations.

Tuhiwai Smith (2021) offers a distinctively indigenous perspective on decolonization that emphasizes the importance of grounding intellectual work in specific cultural communities and their knowledge traditions. She argues that decolonization is not primarily an academic exercise but a political project that must be led by indigenous and colonized communities themselves. This perspective challenges the tendency of Western-trained scholars to approach decolonization as a theoretical problem to be solved from within existing institutional frameworks rather than as a transformative praxis rooted in community struggle.

Case Studies and Institutional Initiatives

The Rhodes Must Fall movement, which began at the University of Cape Town in 2015, represents one of the most visible and influential examples of student-led decolonization activism. The campaign initially focused on the removal of a statue of Cecil Rhodes quickly expanded into a broader critique of institutional racism, Eurocentric curricula, and the material conditions faced by Black students in South African universities. Heleta (2016) analyzes the movement's implications for curriculum reform, arguing that it revealed the depth of the disconnect between formal policy commitments to transformation and the lived experience of students in institutions that remained structurally and culturally colonial.

In Latin America, the decolonial movement has been particularly influential in reshaping university curricula in countries such as Bolivia, Ecuador, and Mexico, where indigenous knowledge systems have been formally recognized as legitimate sources of academic knowledge. Mignolo (2011) documents several examples of curricula that integrate indigenous cosmologies, ecological knowledge, and social philosophies alongside Western academic disciplines, creating genuinely pluralistic educational environments that reflect the cultural diversity of the student population.

In the Global North, decolonization initiatives have taken various forms, from the diversification of reading lists and the introduction of postcolonial theory modules to more ambitious efforts to restructure entire degree programs around non-Western intellectual traditions. Bhambra, Gebrial, and Nişancıoğlu (2018) document several such initiatives in British universities, noting both their achievements and their limitations. They argue that many decolonization efforts in the Global North remain superficial, amounting to little more than the tokenistic inclusion of non-Western texts without a genuine engagement with the epistemological challenges that those texts pose to Western academic conventions.

Ndlovu-Gatsheni (2018) provides a comprehensive analysis of decolonization efforts across the African continent, documenting both successful initiatives and persistent obstacles. He argues that the most promising examples of curricular decolonization are those that go beyond the mere addition of African content to Western frameworks and instead develop genuinely African scholarly traditions that draw on the continent's rich intellectual heritage while engaging critically with global academic discourse.

Challenges and Critiques

The decolonization movement has faced significant criticism from both within and outside the academy. Some critics argue that the concept of decolonization has been stretched beyond its original meaning the repatriation of land and political sovereignty to colonized peoples and has been co-opted as a metaphor for various forms of curricular reform that do not address the material conditions of colonialism. Others contend that the emphasis on epistemological diversity risks relativism, undermining the commitment to empirical evidence and rational argumentation that is essential to academic inquiry.

Santos (2014) addresses the relativism critique directly, arguing that an ecology of knowledges does not imply that all knowledge claims are equally valid but rather that the criteria for evaluating knowledge claims should themselves be subject to critical scrutiny and intercultural dialogue. He maintains that the recognition of multiple epistemological traditions does not preclude rational assessment but enriches it by expanding the range of perspectives and criteria available for evaluation.

Chakrabarty (2000) offers a nuanced response to critics who charge that decolonization amounts to a wholesale rejection of Western knowledge. He argues that the project of provincializing Europe does not require the abandonment of European intellectual traditions but rather their recontextualization as particular rather than universal contributions to human understanding. Western philosophy, science, and literature remain valuable resources for intellectual inquiry, but they must be understood as products of specific historical and cultural contexts rather than as transcendent expressions of universal reason.

Grosfoguel (2013) addresses institutional resistance to decolonization, arguing that the structural power of Western epistemology in academic institutions is maintained not only through curricula and canons but also through hiring practices, promotion criteria, journal rankings, and citation networks that systematically disadvantage scholars from the Global South. He contends that meaningful decolonization requires changes at every level of the academic system, from the content of individual courses to the governance structures of universities and the international architecture of knowledge production.

Conclusion

The movement to decolonize liberal arts curricula represents one of the most significant intellectual and institutional challenges facing higher education in the twenty-first century. As this article has demonstrated, the colonial legacies embedded in Western educational institutions extend far beyond the content of reading lists to encompass the epistemological frameworks, pedagogical practices, and institutional structures through which knowledge is produced, validated, and transmitted. Scholars such as Mbembe (2016), Santos (2014), Mignolo (2011), and Tuhiwai Smith (2021) have provided compelling theoretical frameworks for understanding and addressing these legacies, while activists and educators in Africa, Latin America, and the Global North have developed practical initiatives that demonstrate the possibility of genuinely pluralistic approaches to higher education.

However, as Bhambra, Gebrial, and Nişancıoğlu (2018) and Ndlovu-Gatsheni (2018) caution, the project of decolonization remains far from complete and faces significant obstacles, including institutional inertia,

political resistance, and the material inequalities that sustain Western epistemic privilege. The challenge for educators and scholars committed to this project is to move beyond symbolic gestures toward substantive transformations that genuinely expand the epistemological foundations of the liberal arts. As Wa Thiong'o (1986) recognized nearly four decades ago, this requires not merely the addition of new content to existing frameworks but a fundamental reorientation of the purposes, methods, and values of higher education in a postcolonial world. The liberal arts, reimagined through the lens of epistemological pluralism and social justice, have the potential to become truly universal not by imposing a single tradition on all learners but by drawing on the full richness of human intellectual achievement across cultures, languages, and knowledge traditions.

References

- Bhambra, Gurminder K., Dalia Gebrial, and Kerem Nişancıoğlu, eds. *Decolonising the University*. London: Pluto Press, 2018.
- Chakrabarty, Dipesh. *Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2000.
- Grosfoguel, Ramón. "The Structure of Knowledge in Westernized Universities: Epistemic Racism/Sexism and the Four Genocides/Epistemicides of the Long 16th Century." *Human Architecture* 11, no. 1 (2013): 73–90.
- Heleta, Savo. "Decolonisation of Higher Education: Dismantling Knowledge Hierarchies and Developing Curriculum for Democratic Citizenship." *Transformation in Higher Education* 1, no. 1 (2016): 1–8.
- Mbembe, Achille. "Decolonizing the University: New Directions." *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education* 15, no. 1 (2016): 29–45.
- Mignolo, Walter D. *The Darker Side of Western Modernity: Global Futures, Decolonial Options*. Durham: Duke University Press, 2011.
- Ndlovu-Gatsheni, Sabelo J. *Epistemic Freedom in Africa: Deprovincialization and Decolonization*. London: Routledge, 2018.
- Santos, Boaventura de Sousa. *Epistemologies of the South: Justice Against Epistemicide*. Boulder: Paradigm Publishers, 2014.
- Tuhiwai Smith, Linda. *Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples*. 3rd ed. London: Zed Books, 2021.
- Wa Thiong'o, Ngũgĩ. *Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature*. London: James Currey, 1986.