Bridging the Divide: How Leadership Approaches Shape Teacher Collaboration and Innovation in Rural versus Urban Educational Settings

Remya Murali, Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, Monad University, Harpur, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Abstract

This paper examines how leadership approaches differentially impact teacher collaboration and innovation across rural and urban educational contexts. Using a comparative case study methodology informed by distributed leadership theory, the research investigates how school leaders navigate distinctive contextual challenges to foster collaborative professional cultures. Data from semi-structured interviews with 40 school leaders and 120 teachers across 20 schools reveals that while urban school leaders tend to implement more formalized collaborative structures with explicit innovation protocols, rural school leaders leverage strong community connections and informal networks to achieve similar outcomes through different mechanisms. The findings suggest that effective leadership approaches must be contextually responsive rather than universally prescribed, with rural settings benefiting from community-embedded leadership and urban settings from structured boundary-spanning leadership. This research contributes to educational leadership theory by illuminating how contextual factors mediate the relationship between leadership approaches and teacher collaboration outcomes, with implications for leadership preparation programs and professional development initiatives.

I. INTRODUCTION

Educational systems worldwide face increasing pressure to innovate in response to rapid societal, technological, and economic changes. At the forefront of these innovation efforts are school leaders, who must create conditions conducive to teacher collaboration and professional growth while navigating complex contextual factors. While extensive research examines leadership approaches in isolation or within specific contexts, less attention has been paid to how these approaches must adapt across dramatically different educational settings, particularly the rural-urban divide that characterizes many educational systems.

The geographical, socioeconomic, and cultural differences between rural and urban educational contexts create distinct challenges and opportunities for school leaders seeking to foster teacher collaboration and innovation. Urban schools often benefit from proximity to resources, diverse professional networks, and economies of scale, yet face challenges related to size, bureaucracy, and community fragmentation. Rural schools, while frequently characterized by strong community ties and institutional familiarity, may encounter challenges related to professional isolation, resource limitations, and conservative educational traditions (Preston & Barnes, 2017).

This research addresses a significant gap in the literature by examining how leadership approaches must be contextually responsive to effectively foster teacher collaboration and innovation across this rural-urban divide. Specifically, the study is guided by the following research questions:

- How do leadership approaches to fostering teacher collaboration and innovation differ between rural and urban educational settings?
- What contextual factors mediate the relationship between leadership approaches and collaborative outcomes in these distinct settings?

- What leadership practices effectively bridge the rural-urban divide to create conditions for teacher collaboration and innovation regardless of context?

By investigating these questions, this research contributes to a more nuanced understanding of educational leadership that acknowledges the importance of context while identifying transferable principles that can inform leadership practice across diverse educational settings.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is grounded in distributed leadership theory, which conceptualizes leadership as a practice distributed across multiple actors within an organization rather than residing solely in formal positions (Spillane, 2006). This theoretical orientation aligns with the research focus on teacher collaboration and innovation, as it emphasizes how leadership practices can create conditions for collective professional agency. The distributed perspective provides a useful lens for examining how leadership approaches manifest differently across contexts while maintaining focus on the relational and situational aspects of leadership practice.

The theoretical framework is further informed by place-based educational theory, which recognizes that educational practices are inherently shaped by the geographical, cultural, and social contexts in which they occur (Gruenewald & Smith, 2014). This perspective is particularly valuable for examining the rural-urban divide, as it acknowledges how leadership must respond to and leverage the unique characteristics of place.

The integration of these theoretical perspectives allows for an examination of how leadership is both distributed across organizational actors and embedded within particular contexts. This dual focus enables the research to move beyond simplistic prescriptions for leadership practice toward a more nuanced understanding of how effective leadership approaches are necessarily responsive to contextual realities.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1. Leadership Approaches and Teacher Collaboration

Research consistently demonstrates that school leadership significantly impacts teacher collaboration and professional learning (Hallinger & Heck, 2010; Leithwood et al., 2020). Effective leaders foster collaborative cultures by creating structural supports for teacher interaction, modeling collaborative practices, and establishing shared norms and values (Hargreaves & O'Connor, 2018). Studies have identified several leadership approaches that support teacher collaboration, including distributed leadership (Harris, 2008), instructional leadership (Neumerski, 2013), and transformational leadership (Leithwood & Sun, 2012).

However, most research on leadership and teacher collaboration has not systematically examined how these approaches must adapt across distinctly different contexts. The limited research that does exist suggests that contextual factors significantly mediate the relationship between leadership approaches and collaborative outcomes (Hallinger, 2018), indicating a need for more nuanced understanding of how leadership practices must respond to contextual realities.

3.2. Rural Educational Leadership

Research on rural educational leadership highlights several distinctive characteristics of rural school contexts that shape leadership practice. Rural school leaders often navigate resource limitations, geographic isolation, and strong community ties that both constrain and enable particular leadership approaches (Preston et al., 2013). Successful rural leaders frequently leverage close relationships with community members, capitalize on the smaller size of their organizations, and develop creative solutions to resource challenges (Surface & Theobald, 2014).

(Preston & Barnes, 2017) found that rural school leadership tends to be characterized by place-consciousness, strong interpersonal skills, and visibility within the community. These factors create conditions where informal leadership approaches may be particularly effective, as they align with the relational nature of rural communities. However, this same community embeddedness can sometimes constrain innovation when leaders must navigate traditional expectations and community resistance to change (McHenry-Sorber & Schafft, 2015).

3.3. Urban Educational Leadership

Leadership in urban educational contexts faces different challenges and opportunities. Urban school leaders often navigate diverse communities, complex bureaucratic structures, and significant resource disparities (Khalifa et al., 2016). Research indicates that effective urban school leadership frequently involves advocacy for equity, cultural responsiveness, and the ability to span boundaries between school and community (Green, 2015).

(Scanlan & Johnson, 2015) found that urban school leaders who successfully foster teacher collaboration tend to implement formalized structures for professional learning communities, develop explicit protocols for collaborative work, and create systems for monitoring collaborative outcomes. These more structured approaches may be necessary given the size and complexity of many urban schools, where informal approaches may be insufficient to overcome organizational fragmentation.

3.4. The Rural-Urban Divide in Educational Leadership

While research has examined leadership in rural and urban contexts separately, few studies have directly compared leadership approaches across this divide. The limited comparative research that exists suggests that while core leadership values may be similar across contexts, the specific practices and strategies employed by successful leaders differ substantially based on contextual factors (Klar & Brewer, 2013).

Recent scholarship calls for more nuanced approaches to educational leadership research that acknowledge how context shapes leadership practice (Hallinger, 2018; Leithwood et al., 2020). This study responds to this call by directly examining how

leadership approaches to fostering teacher collaboration and innovation must adapt across the rural-urban divide, while also identifying bridging practices that may be effective regardless of context.

IV. METHODOLOGY

4.1. Research Design

This study employed a comparative case study methodology (Yin, 2018) to examine leadership approaches across rural and urban educational contexts. This approach allowed for in-depth investigation of leadership practices within their natural contexts while facilitating cross-case analysis to identify patterns and distinctions between rural and urban settings. The research design included multiple data collection methods to capture the complexity of leadership practices and their impact on teacher collaboration and innovation.

4.2. Sampling and Participants

Using purposeful sampling strategies (Patton, 2015), the study included 20 schools: 10 rural and 10 urban. Schools were selected based on the following criteria:

- Clear designation as rural or urban based on national census criteria
- Reputation for engaging in collaborative practices and innovation efforts
- Stability in leadership (principal in position for at least three years)
- Diversity in school size, socioeconomic status, and demographic composition

Within each school, the principal, an assistant principal (where applicable), and six teachers participated in the research. Teacher participants were selected to represent diversity in teaching experience, subject areas, and involvement in collaborative initiatives. In total, 40 school leaders and 120 teachers participated in the study

4.3. Data Collection

The study employed multiple data collection methods to triangulate findings:

- *Semi-structured interviews*: Individual interviews with school leaders (60-90 minutes) focused on their approaches to fostering teacher collaboration and innovation, perceived contextual influences, and strategies for navigating challenges. Teacher interviews (45-60 minutes) explored experiences with collaboration, perceptions of leadership support, and engagement with innovation.
- *Focus groups*: At each school, a focus group with 4-6 teachers explored collaborative dynamics and leadership influences. These sessions (90-120 minutes) provided insights into shared understandings and collective experiences.
- *Document analysis*: School improvement plans, professional development schedules, meeting minutes, and other relevant documents were analyzed to understand formal structures for collaboration and innovation.
- *Observations*: Observations of leadership team meetings, professional learning communities, and other collaborative contexts provided direct evidence of leadership practices and collaborative dynamics.

Data collection occurred over an 18-month period to capture leadership approaches and collaborative processes across multiple school year cycles.

V. DATA ANALYSIS

Data analysis followed a systematic process of coding and theme development (Saldaña, 2016). Initial coding used both predetermined codes derived from the theoretical framework and open coding to identify emergent themes. Second-cycle pattern coding identified relationships between codes and consolidated findings into more conceptual categories. The constant comparative method (Glaser & Strauss, 1967) facilitated cross-case analysis to identify similarities and differences between rural and urban contexts.

Analysis proceeded through several stages:

- Within-case analysis of each school to understand leadership approaches in context
- Cross-case analysis within rural and urban categories to identify patterns
- Comparative analysis across rural and urban categories to identify distinctions and commonalities
- Integration of findings with theoretical frameworks to develop conceptual understanding

NVivo qualitative data analysis software facilitated the organization and analysis of the extensive dataset.

VI. TRUSTWORTHINESS AND LIMITATIONS

Several strategies enhanced the trustworthiness of the research:

- Triangulation: Multiple data sources and methods provided corroborating evidence.
- Member checking: Participants reviewed preliminary findings to verify interpretations.
- Prolonged engagement: The 18-month data collection period allowed for deep understanding of contexts.
- Reflexivity: Researcher positionality was continually examined through reflective memos.
- Peer debriefing: Regular discussions with colleagues not involved in the research provided external perspective.

Limitations of the study include the relatively small sample size, which constrains generalizability, and the focus on schools with established collaborative practices, which may not represent the full spectrum of educational contexts. Additionally, the designation of schools as simply "rural" or "urban" may oversimplify the complex continuum of educational contexts.

VII. RESULTS

The findings reveal distinct patterns in how leadership approaches to fostering teacher collaboration and innovation manifest across rural and urban educational settings, while also identifying some bridging practices that appear effective across contexts. Results are organized around the research questions and major themes that emerged from the data analysis.

7.1. Leadership Approaches in Rural versus Urban Settings

7.1.1. Rural Leadership Approaches

Rural school leaders in this study predominantly employed what can be characterized as "community-embedded leadership" approaches. These approaches were marked by several distinctive characteristics:

- *Relational emphasis:* Rural leaders consistently prioritized personal relationships as the foundation for collaborative work. As one rural principal explained:

  "In a community this size, everything begins with relationships. I know every teacher's family situation, their strengths, their challenges. That knowledge lets me connect people in ways that make collaboration natural rather than forced." (Principal, Rural School 4)

- *Informal structures*: Rather than relying primarily on formal collaborative structures, rural leaders created conditions for organic collaboration through creative scheduling, shared spaces, and community connections. Teachers frequently reported that meaningful collaboration occurred during "informal moments" that leaders intentionally cultivated:

  "Our principal makes sure we have common lunch periods with our departmental colleagues. Those casual conversations often lead to our most innovative ideas." (Teacher, Rural School 7)

- *Resource creativity*: Rural leaders demonstrated remarkable creativity in addressing resource limitations that might otherwise constrain collaboration and innovation. This included repurposing existing resources, leveraging community partnerships, and creating multi-purpose collaborative spaces:

  "We don't have the budget for expensive professional development or fancy technology, but our principal is masterful at finding community partners who can offer expertise, space, or materials." (Teacher, Rural School 2)

- *Boundary-spanning between school and community*: Rural leaders consistently positioned themselves as connectors between school and community, using these connections to enhance collaborative opportunities for teachers:

  "I see my role as building bridges between our teachers and the community resources that can support their work. When the local manufacturing plant opened their facility for our science teachers to learn about practical applications, it transformed their curriculum approach." (Principal, Rural School 9)

7.1.2. Urban Leadership Approaches

Urban school leaders tended to employ what can be characterized as "structured systems leadership" approaches. These approaches featured:

- *Formalized collaborative structures*: Urban leaders implemented clearly defined structural supports for collaboration, including detailed protocols for professional learning communities, data teams, and innovation processes:

  "With a faculty this size, we need systems that ensure everyone has meaningful collaborative opportunities. Our PLC structure includes specific protocols, documentation requirements, and accountability measures." (Principal, Urban School 3)

- *Distributed expertise*: Urban leaders strategically identified and leveraged teacher expertise through formal teacher leadership roles, explicit recognition systems, and structured mentoring programs:

  "We have instructional coaches, technology integrators, and PLC facilitators who extend leadership throughout the building. These roles create capacity for innovation that I couldn't possibly foster alone." (Principal, Urban School 8)

- Data-driven decision processes: Urban leaders established explicit processes for using data to guide collaborative work and innovation efforts:

  "Every collaborative team follows our data protocol to identify problems of practice, test innovations, and evaluate impact. This creates a common language and process for innovation across diverse teams." (Assistant Principal, Urban School 5)

- Strategic buffering: Urban leaders frequently described the importance of buffering teachers from external pressures to create space for meaningful collaboration:

  "Part of my job is to absorb the bureaucratic pressures from the district and create protected space where teachers can focus on collaboration and innovation without constant interruption." (Principal, Urban School 1)

7.1.3. Contextual Factors Mediating Leadership Approaches

Several contextual factors emerged as significant mediators of the relationship between leadership approaches and collaborative outcomes:

- *Organizational size*: School size significantly influenced leadership approaches, with larger schools (predominantly urban) requiring more formalized structures and smaller schools (predominantly rural) enabling more personalized approaches:

  "With 120 teachers, I can't have personal relationships with everyone. I need teacher leaders who can extend my reach and systems that ensure consistency." (Principal, Urban School 10)

- *Resource access*: Resource disparities between contexts shaped leadership approaches, with resource-rich environments enabling different strategies than resource-constrained environments:

  "Our urban location gives us access to universities, museums, and businesses that provide expertise and resources for professional learning. Our leadership approach leverages these partnerships extensively." (Assistant Principal, Urban School 6)

- *Community relationships*: The nature of community relationships differed dramatically between contexts, with rural communities characterized by multigenerational connections and urban communities by greater diversity and fluidity:

  "In this community, I'm teaching the children of students I taught 20 years ago. Those relationships give me credibility that helps when I'm trying to introduce new approaches." (Teacher, Rural School 3)

- *Professional isolation*: Rural leaders contended with geographic and professional isolation that required different approaches than those employed in professionally dense urban environments:

  "Our teachers don't have colleagues down the street teaching the same subject. We have to be intentional about creating connections beyond our school to prevent isolation." (Principal, Rural School 5)

- *Bureaucratic complexity*: Urban leaders navigated layers of bureaucracy largely absent in rural contexts, necessitating different approaches to creating space for innovation:

  "I spend about 30% of my time navigating district requirements and translating them into something manageable for teachers. Without that buffer, innovation would be impossible." (Principal, Urban School 4)

7.2. Bridging Practices Effective Across Contexts

Despite significant differences between rural and urban leadership approaches, several practices emerged as effective bridges across this contextual divide:

- *Explicit articulation of values*: Leaders in both contexts who clearly articulated and consistently reinforced values related to collaboration and innovation established cultural foundations that supported these practices:

  "Our principal constantly reinforces that we're better together than alone. That message permeates everything from scheduling to evaluation." (Teacher, Urban School 9)

- *Teacher voice in decision-making*: Regardless of context, leaders who created authentic opportunities for teacher input into decisions affecting collaborative work fostered greater commitment and sustainability:

  "When we restructured our schedule to create collaborative time, teachers designed the approach. That ownership made everyone committed to making it work." (Assistant Principal, Rural School 1)

- *Recognition systems*: Leaders who developed context-appropriate ways to recognize and celebrate collaborative success reinforced desired practices:

  "Our principal finds ways to highlight collaborative successes at faculty meetings, in communications with parents, and in conversations with individual teachers. That recognition motivates continued effort." (Teacher, Rural School 6)

- *Strategic resource allocation*: Across contexts, leaders who aligned resource allocation with stated priorities for collaboration and innovation achieved greater implementation fidelity:

  "When our principal dedicates substitutes so we can have extended collaborative planning time, it demonstrates that collaboration is genuinely valued, not just talked about." (Teacher, Urban School 7)

- *Modeling collaborative practice*: Leaders who personally modeled collaborative approaches in their own leadership practice established powerful norms:

  "Our administrative team deliberately models the collaborative practices we expect from teachers. We plan together, observe each other, and provide feedback transparently." (Assistant Principal, Urban School 2)

VIII. DISCUSSION

The findings reveal that effective leadership approaches for fostering teacher collaboration and innovation are necessarily responsive to contextual realities while maintaining focus on core leadership functions. This aligns with (Hallinger, 2018) conceptualization of leadership as "context-responsive" rather than context-free, suggesting that universal prescriptions for leadership practice are insufficient to address the complex realities of diverse educational settings.

8.1. Contextual Responsiveness in Leadership Practice

The stark differences between rural "community-embedded leadership" and urban "structured systems leadership" demonstrate how effective leaders adapt their approaches to leverage contextual opportunities and address contextual challenges. This adaptation reflects what (Bredeson et al., 2011) describe as "contextual intelligence" - the ability to recognize and respond to the unique features of a specific environment.

In rural contexts, leaders leveraged the strengths of close community connections, organizational intimacy, and established relationships to foster collaboration through predominantly informal mechanisms. This approach aligns with findings from (Preston & Barnes, 2017) regarding the importance of community embeddedness in rural leadership. However, it extends this understanding by demonstrating how rural leaders strategically use this embeddedness to create conditions for teacher collaboration and innovation despite resource limitations.

Urban leaders, confronting larger organizations, more diverse communities, and complex bureaucracies, necessarily employed more formalized structures and systems to achieve similar collaborative outcomes. This finding resonates with research on urban educational leadership that emphasizes the importance of systematic approaches to change in complex organizational contexts (Green, 2015; Khalifa et al., 2016). However, this study adds nuance by showing how these structured approaches create the conditions for teacher agency and innovation rather than merely ensuring compliance.

8.2. Distributed Leadership Across Contexts

The findings support and extend distributed leadership theory by illuminating how leadership distribution manifests differently across contexts. In rural settings, leadership distribution tended to be more organic and relationship-based, with leaders identifying and nurturing informal teacher leadership through personal connections and shared community understandings. In urban settings, leadership distribution more frequently involved formal roles, explicit systems, and structured processes for extending leadership throughout the organization.

This contextual variation in leadership distribution has important implications for distributed leadership theory, suggesting that the processes through which leadership is distributed may need to adapt to contextual realities even as the underlying principle of extending leadership beyond formal positions remains constant. As (Spillane, 2006) notes, distributed leadership is fundamentally about leadership practice rather than merely roles or positions. This study illustrates how that practice necessarily adapts to contextual factors while maintaining focus on creating conditions for collective professional agency.

8.3. Bridging the Rural-Urban Divide

The identification of bridging practices effective across contexts suggests that while leadership approaches must be contextually responsive, there are core leadership functions that transcend the rural-urban divide. These bridging practices - explicit articulation of values, teacher voice in decision-making, recognition systems, strategic resource allocation, and modeling collaborative practice - represent what (Leithwood et al., 2020) might call "leadership essentials" that manifest differently across contexts but remain foundational to effective leadership practice.

These findings suggest a both/and approach to educational leadership theory and practice - leadership must be both contextually responsive in its specific manifestations AND grounded in core functions that transcend context. This integrated perspective offers a more nuanced understanding of educational leadership than either universal prescriptions or entirely context-specific approaches.

8.4. Implications for Leadership Development

The findings have significant implications for leadership preparation and development. If effective leadership is necessarily context-responsive, then leadership development must foster what (Hallinger, 2018) terms "contextual literacy" - the ability to read and respond to the unique features of specific contexts. Rather than focusing exclusively on universal leadership competencies, leadership preparation programs might better serve future leaders by developing this contextual literacy alongside core leadership capacities.

Additionally, the transferability of leadership approaches across contexts appears limited. Leaders who have been successful in one context may struggle when moving to a dramatically different context if they fail to adapt their leadership approach to new contextual realities. This suggests that leadership development should include explicit attention to contextual adaptation and leadership flexibility rather than assuming that effective leadership practices are universally transferable.

IX. CONCLUSION

This study illuminates how leadership approaches to fostering teacher collaboration and innovation necessarily adapt across the rural-urban divide while maintaining focus on core leadership functions. The findings challenge simplistic prescriptions for leadership practice that fail to acknowledge contextual realities, while also identifying bridging practices that may be effective across diverse educational settings.

The research contributes to educational leadership theory by demonstrating the contextual embeddedness of leadership practice, extending distributed leadership theory to account for contextual variation, and identifying leadership functions that transcend the rural-urban divide. These theoretical contributions have practical implications for leadership preparation, professional development, and the support of school leaders working in diverse contexts.

Future research might further explore how leaders develop the contextual literacy necessary for responsive leadership practice, examine how leadership approaches adapt across additional contextual dimensions beyond the rural-urban divide, and investigate how policy environments can better support context-responsive leadership. Such research would continue to advance our understanding of educational leadership as both contextually embedded and guided by core principles that transcend specific settings.

In an era of increasing standardization in educational policy and practice, this research reminds us that effective leadership remains deeply connected to the specific contexts in which it occurs. By acknowledging and responding to contextual realities while maintaining focus on creating conditions for teacher collaboration and innovation, school leaders can bridge divides that might otherwise limit educational improvement efforts.

REFERENCES

Bredeson, P. V., Klar, H. W., & Johansson, O. (2011). Context-responsive leadership: Examining superintendent leadership in context. *Education Policy Analysis Archives, 19*(18), 1–28.

Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Aldine Publishing Company.

Green, T. L. (2015). Leading for urban school reform and community development. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 51*(5), 679–711.

Gruenewald, D. A., & Smith, G. A. (Eds.). (2014). *Place-based education in the global age: Local diversity*. Routledge.

Hallinger, P. (2018). Bringing context out of the shadows of leadership. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 46*(1), 5–24.

Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. H. (2010). Collaborative leadership and school improvement: Understanding the impact on school capacity and student learning. *School Leadership and Management, 30*(2), 95–110.

Hargreaves, A., & O'Connor, M. T. (2018). *Collaborative professionalism: When teaching together means learning for all*. Corwin Press.

Harris, A. (2008). Distributed leadership: According to the evidence. *Journal of Educational Administration, 46*(2), 172–188.

Khalifa, M. A., Gooden, M. A., & Davis, J. E. (2016). Culturally responsive school leadership: A synthesis of the literature. *Review of Educational Research, 86*(4), 1272–1311.

Klar, H. W., & Brewer, C. A. (2013). Successful leadership in high-needs schools: An examination of core leadership practices enacted in challenging contexts. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 49*(5), 768–808.

Leithwood, K., Harris, A., & Hopkins, D. (2020). Seven strong claims about successful school leadership revisited. *School Leadership & Management, 40*(1), 5–22.

Leithwood, K., & Sun, J. (2012). The nature and effects of transformational school leadership: A meta-analytic review of unpublished research. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 48*(3), 387–423.

McHenry-Sorber, E., & Schafft, K. A. (2015). "Make my day, shoot a teacher": Tactics of inclusion and exclusion, and the contestation of community in a rural school–community conflict. *International Journal of Inclusive Education, 19*(7), 733–747.

Neumerski, C. M. (2013). Rethinking instructional leadership, a review: What do we know about principal, teacher, and coach instructional leadership, and where should we go from here? *Educational Administration Quarterly, 49*(2), 310–347.

Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Preston, J. P., & Barnes, K. E. (2017). Successful leadership in rural schools: Cultivating collaboration. *The Rural Educator, 38*(1), 6–15.

Preston, J. P., Jakubiec, B. A., & Kooymans, R. (2013). Common challenges faced by rural principals: A review of the literature. *The Rural Educator, 35*(1), 1–12.

Saldaña, J. (2016). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.

Scanlan, M., & Johnson, L. (2015). Inclusive leadership on the social frontiers: Family and community engagement. In G. Theoharis & M. Scanlan (Eds.), *Leadership for increasingly diverse schools* (pp. 162–185). Routledge.

Spillane, J. P. (2006). *Distributed leadership*. Jossey-Bass.

Surface, J. L., & Theobald, P. (2014). The rural school leadership dilemma. *Peabody Journal of Education, 89*(5), 570–579.

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.