INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADMINISTRATION AND MANAGEMENT RESEARCH STUDIES (IJAMRS)
(Open Access, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal)
ISSN Online: 3107-9695 ISSN Print: 3139-1761

# The Role of Emotional Intelligence in Transformational Leadership: A Study of Corporate Leaders

Aswani T D

Editor, Eduschool Academic Research Publishers, Angamaly, Kerala, India.

**Article information**
Received: 16th April 2025
Received in revised form: 21st May 2025
Accepted: 12th June 2025
Available online: 26th July 2025

Volume: 1
Issue: 2
DOI: https://doi.org/10.63090/IJAMRS/3107.9695.0007

**Abstract**

This study examines the relationship between emotional intelligence (EI) and transformational leadership (TL) among senior corporate leaders across multiple industries. While previous research has established correlations between these constructs, questions remain about their precise relationship and contextual factors that may influence it. Through a mixed-methods approach combining psychometric assessments of 218 executives with qualitative interviews of 42 high-performing leaders, this research identifies specific emotional intelligence competencies that most strongly predict transformational leadership behaviors. The study finds that relationship management and social awareness dimensions of emotional intelligence have the strongest associations with transformational leadership ($\beta = 0.47$, $p < 0.001$; $\beta = 0.39$, $p < 0.001$, respectively), while self-awareness and self-management show moderate correlations. Additionally, the research reveals that the EI-TL relationship is moderated by organizational culture, industry context, and leadership experience. Transformational leaders with high emotional intelligence demonstrate superior ability to navigate organizational change, inspire diverse teams, cultivate psychological safety, and sustain leadership effectiveness during crises. The findings contribute to leadership theory by illuminating mechanisms through which emotional intelligence enables transformational leadership and offer practical implications for leadership development, succession planning, and executive education. This research provides a nuanced understanding of how emotional competencies translate into effective leadership behaviors in contemporary corporate environments.

**Keywords**:- emotional intelligence, transformational leadership, executive development, organizational change, psychological safety, leadership effectiveness, corporate leadership, mixed-methods research

## I. INTRODUCTION

The increasingly volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous business environment has heightened the importance of effective leadership in organizational performance and sustainability (Bennett & Lemoine, 2014). Within this context, transformational leadership has emerged as a particularly valuable approach, enabling organizations to navigate change, inspire innovation, and maintain competitive advantage (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Concurrently, emotional intelligence has gained recognition as a critical factor in leadership effectiveness, with mounting evidence suggesting that leaders' ability to understand and manage emotions—both their own and others'—significantly impacts their capacity to influence and engage followers (Goleman et al., 2013; Mayer et al., 2016).

While research has established general correlations between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership (Harms & Credé, 2010; López-Zafra et al., 2017), important questions remain about the precise nature of this relationship, including which specific emotional competencies most strongly drive transformational leadership behaviors, how contextual factors moderate this relationship, and through what mechanisms emotional intelligence enables transformational leadership effectiveness. These gaps limit our theoretical understanding and constrain the development of evidence-based approaches to leadership selection and development.

The intersection of emotional intelligence and transformational leadership is particularly relevant in today's corporate environment, where leaders face unprecedented challenges including digital transformation, workforce diversity, stakeholder capitalism, and pandemic-related disruptions (Kirpatrick & Locke, 2022). These conditions demand leaders who can not only articulate compelling visions and drive strategic change but also connect empathetically with diverse stakeholders, manage tensions productively, and create psychological safety for innovation and adaptation (Carmeli et al., 2009; Newman et al., 2017).

This research addresses critical gaps in our understanding by examining the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership among senior corporate leaders across multiple industries and organizational contexts. Through a mixed-methods approach combining psychometric assessments with in-depth qualitative interviews, the study investigates:

- Which specific dimensions and competencies of emotional intelligence most strongly predict transformational leadership behaviors;
- How organizational and environmental factors moderate the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership;
- Through what mechanisms emotional intelligence competencies enable transformational leadership effectiveness; and
- How emotionally intelligent transformational leaders navigate particularly challenging leadership situations.

By addressing these questions, this research contributes to leadership theory by providing a more nuanced understanding of the EI-TL relationship, offers practical insights for leadership development and succession planning, and establishes a foundation for future research on the emotional dimensions of effective leadership in contemporary organizations.

## II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership, first conceptualized by (Burns ,1978) and further developed by (Bass ,1985), represents a leadership approach focused on inspiring followers to exceed expected performance by elevating their needs, values, and aspirations. According to (Bass & Riggio, 2006), transformational leadership comprises four dimensions: idealized influence (serving as a charismatic role model), inspirational motivation (articulating a compelling vision), intellectual stimulation (challenging assumptions and encouraging innovation), and individualized consideration (attending to followers' individual needs and development).

Extensive research has established transformational leadership as positively associated with a range of individual and organizational outcomes, including follower satisfaction, motivation, and performance (Wang et al., 2011); team creativity and innovation (Eisenbeiss et al., 2008); organizational commitment (Rafferty & Griffin, 2004); and overall organizational performance (García-Morales et al., 2008). These effects appear particularly pronounced during periods of organizational change and uncertainty (Carter et al., 2013; Eisenbach et al., 1999).

While transformational leadership's effectiveness is well-documented, questions remain about its antecedents—particularly what personal attributes and competencies enable leaders to effectively exhibit transformational behaviors (Deinert et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2016). Some scholars have explored personality traits as predictors (Bono & Judge, 2004), while others have examined cognitive abilities (Hoffman et al., 2011) or values orientation (Groves & LaRocca, 2011). However, growing evidence suggests that emotional competencies may be particularly crucial enablers of transformational leadership (Barling et al., 2000; George, 2000).

### 2.2 Emotional Intelligence

Emotional intelligence encompasses the ability to recognize, understand, and manage emotions in oneself and others (Mayer & Salovey, 1997). While various conceptualizations of emotional intelligence exist, (Goleman, 1998) framework, further developed by (Goleman, Boyatzis & McKee, 2013), has gained particular traction in organizational contexts. This model identifies four dimensions of emotional intelligence: self-awareness (recognizing one's emotions and their effects), self-management (controlling disruptive emotions and adapting to changing circumstances), social awareness (empathizing with others and reading organizational dynamics), and relationship management (influencing others and managing conflicts effectively).

Research has linked emotional intelligence to various aspects of workplace effectiveness, including job performance (O'Boyle et al., 2011), teamwork (Jordan et al., 2002), conflict management (Zhang et al., 2015), and leadership effectiveness (Walter et al., 2011). Meta-analyses suggest moderate to strong correlations between emotional intelligence and leadership effectiveness across various contexts (Harms & Credé, 2010; Miao et al., 2018).

Different theoretical traditions have emerged in emotional intelligence research, including ability models focusing on emotion-related cognitive abilities (Mayer et al., 2016), mixed models incorporating emotional competencies alongside personality traits and motivational factors (Goleman et al., 2013), and trait models viewing emotional intelligence as a constellation of emotion-related dispositions (Petrides et al., 2007). While debates continue about conceptualization and measurement (Antonakis et al., 2009; Ashkanasy & Daus, 2005), evidence increasingly supports emotional intelligence's value in understanding leadership effectiveness across these various approaches.

### 2.3 The Relationship Between Emotional Intelligence and Transformational Leadership

A growing body of literature examines the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership. Several theoretical arguments support this connection: emotionally intelligent leaders may better understand followers' needs

and aspirations (essential for individualized consideration); more effectively communicate vision in emotionally compelling ways (facilitating inspirational motivation); regulate their emotions to serve as consistent role models (supporting idealized influence); and create emotionally safe environments for questioning assumptions (enabling intellectual stimulation) (George, 2000; Ashkanasy & Tse, 2000).

Empirical studies have generally found positive correlations between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership (Butler & Chinowsky, 2006; Hur et al., 2011; Leban & Zulauf, 2004), though the strength of these correlations varies considerably across studies. Meta-analyses by (Harms & Credé , 2010) and (Miao et al. ,2018) confirmed significant positive relationships but raised questions about publication bias and common method variance potentially inflating effect sizes.

Several gaps persist in understanding the EI-TL relationship. First, research has often treated both constructs as unidimensional, neglecting to examine which specific emotional intelligence competencies most strongly predict particular transformational leadership behaviors (Deinert et al., 2015). Second, contextual factors that may moderate this relationship remain underexplored (Walter et al., 2011). Third,

the mechanisms through which emotional intelligence enables transformational leadership effectiveness require further investigation (Humphrey, 2012). Fourth, most studies have relied exclusively on self-reported measures or single-source designs, increasing concerns about common method bias (Lindebaum & Cartwright, 2010).

This study addresses these gaps by examining the dimensionality of both constructs, investigating contextual moderators, exploring underlying mechanisms, and employing multi-method, multi-source data collection approaches.

### 2.4 Hypotheses Development

Based on the theoretical frameworks and previous empirical findings, we propose the following hypotheses:

- H1: Emotional intelligence dimensions will positively correlate with transformational leadership dimensions, with the strongest relationships between:
  - H1a: Social awareness and individualized consideration
  - H1b: Relationship management and inspirational motivation
  - H1c: Self-management and idealized influence
  - H1d: Self-awareness and intellectual stimulation
- H2: The relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership will be moderated by:
  - H2a: Organizational culture (stronger in cultures emphasizing collaboration and innovation)
  - H2b: Industry context (stronger in service and knowledge-intensive industries)
  - H2c: Leadership level (stronger at higher organizational levels)
  - H2d: Environmental volatility (stronger in more dynamic environments)
- H3: Emotional intelligence will influence leadership effectiveness through transformational leadership behaviors (mediation hypothesis).
- H4: Leaders combining high emotional intelligence with transformational leadership will demonstrate superior performance in:
  - H4a: Leading organizational change initiatives
  - H4b: Fostering innovation and creativity
  - H4c: Developing leadership capacity in others
  - H4d: Navigating organizational crises

## III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Research Design

This study employed a sequential mixed-methods design to investigate the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership. The research process involved two primary phases:

- *Phase 1*: A quantitative study using psychometric assessments, 360-degree feedback, and performance metrics to examine relationships between emotional intelligence dimensions, transformational leadership behaviors, and leadership effectiveness among 218 senior corporate leaders.
- *Phase 2*: A qualitative study involving in-depth interviews with 42 high-performing leaders (selected from the Phase 1 sample) to explore mechanisms linking emotional intelligence and transformational leadership and to examine how these constructs manifest in challenging leadership situations.

This mixed-methods approach allowed for both statistical analysis of relationships and deeper exploration of underlying processes and contextual factors, providing a more comprehensive understanding than either method alone could offer (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

### 3.2 Sample and Participants

#### 3.2.1 Quantitative Phase

The sample for the quantitative phase comprised 218 senior leaders (62% male, 38% female) from 43 organizations across multiple industries including technology (28%), financial services (22%), manufacturing (18%), healthcare (15%), retail (10%), and others (7%). Participants held positions including C-suite executives (21%), division/business unit leaders (34%),

functional leaders (29%), and senior middle managers (16%). The average age was 46.3 years (SD = 7.8), and average leadership experience was 14.7 years (SD = 6.2).

Organizations were recruited through corporate partners of a university executive education program, ensuring diversity in size (ranging from 500 to 50,000+ employees), geographic scope (68% multinational, 32% national), and ownership structure (61% publicly traded, 23% privately held, 16% other structures).

#### 3.2.2 Qualitative Phase

From the quantitative sample, 42 leaders were selected for the qualitative phase using a purposive sampling approach to ensure representation across:

- Leadership effectiveness levels (high, average, and exceptional performers)
- Emotional intelligence and transformational leadership profile combinations
- Industry contexts and organizational types
- Demographic diversity (gender, age, cultural background)

These participants included 18 women and 24 men, with an average age of 48.2 years and average leadership experience of 16.4 years.

### 3.3 Data Collection Methods

#### 3.3.1 Quantitative Measures

- *Emotional Intelligence*: Emotional intelligence was assessed using the Emotional and Social Competency Inventory ESCI; (Boyatzis & Goleman, 2007), a 360-degree instrument measuring 12 emotional and social competencies organized into four clusters: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, and relationship management. For each participant, data were collected from the leader, their supervisor, 3-5 peers, and 3-5 direct reports. Internal consistency reliability for the four dimensions ranged from $\alpha = 0.81$ to 0.92.
- *Transformational Leadership*: Transformational leadership behaviors were measured using the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire MLQ 5X-Short; (Bass & Avolio, 2000), which assesses the four dimensions of transformational leadership: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration. As with the ESCI, data were collected from multiple raters. Internal consistency reliability for the four dimensions ranged from $\alpha = 0.84$ to 0.89.
- *Leadership Effectiveness*: Several indicators of leadership effectiveness were assessed:
    - Performance ratings from direct supervisors on standardized organizational metrics
    - A leadership effectiveness scale completed by direct reports, measuring perceived leadership impact ($\alpha = 0.88$)
    - Team climate and engagement scores from standard organizational surveys
    - Business performance metrics standardized within industry categories
- *Contextual Factors:* Several potential moderating variables were measured:
    - Organizational culture using the Denison Organizational Culture Survey (Denison & Mishra, 1995)
    - Environmental dynamism using a scale adapted from (Jansen et al., 2006)
    - Demographic and organizational variables (leader's age, gender, experience, organizational level, industry, etc.)

#### 3.3.2 Qualitative Data Collection

The qualitative phase employed semi-structured interviews lasting 60-90 minutes. The interview protocol explored:

- Critical incidents where participants believed emotional intelligence influenced their leadership effectiveness
- Specific ways participants used emotional competencies to enact transformational leadership behaviors
- Contextual factors participants perceived as enabling or constraining the application of emotional intelligence
- How participants navigated emotionally challenging leadership situations
- Developmental experiences that enhanced their emotional intelligence and leadership capacity

Interviews were recorded with permission, professionally transcribed, and supplemented with interviewer notes on non-verbal aspects of the interaction.

### 3.4 Data Analysis

#### 3.4.1 Quantitative Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using a multi-stage approach:

- Preliminary analyses included descriptive statistics, reliability assessments, confirmatory factor analyses to validate measurement models, and tests for common method variance.
- Correlation and regression analyses examined relationships between emotional intelligence dimensions and transformational leadership components, controlling for demographic and organizational variables.
- Structural equation modeling tested the overall pattern of relationships and assessed mediation effects.
- Hierarchical linear modeling examined cross-level moderating effects of organizational and environmental factors.
- Relative weight analysis determined the relative importance of different emotional intelligence dimensions in predicting transformational leadership and effectiveness outcomes.

### 3.4.2 Qualitative Analysis

Interview data were analyzed using a systematic process of thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006):

- Familiarization with data through multiple readings of transcripts
- Initial coding of meaningful segments related to research questions
- Organizing codes into potential themes and subthemes
- Reviewing themes for internal homogeneity and external heterogeneity
- Defining and naming themes, with particular attention to mechanisms and contextual factors
- Producing the analysis with illustrative quotations

To enhance rigor, two researchers independently coded a subset of interviews (Cohen's $\kappa = 0.84$), and member checking was conducted with a sample of participants to validate emerging interpretations.

### 3.4.3 Integration of Quantitative and Qualitative Findings

The integration of findings followed a sequential explanatory approach (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018), with qualitative results helping explain and elaborate quantitative findings. Joint displays were created to visualize how qualitative themes illuminated statistical relationships, and meta-inferences were drawn by synthesizing insights from both methods.

## IV. RESULTS

### 4.1 Descriptive Statistics and Preliminary Analyses

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics and correlations for all study variables. Consistent with prior research, significant positive correlations were observed between all emotional intelligence dimensions and transformational leadership components. Among emotional intelligence dimensions, relationship management showed the strongest overall correlation with transformational leadership ($r = 0.52$, $p < 0.001$), followed by social awareness ($r = 0.47$, $p < 0.001$), self-management ($r = 0.39$, $p < 0.001$), and self-awareness ($r = 0.37$, $p < 0.001$).

**Table 1:** Descriptive Statistics and Correlations for Key Variables

| Variable | Mean | SD | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Self-Awareness | 3.78 | 0.64 | (.81) | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Self-Management | 3.82 | 0.59 | .52** | (.86) | | | | | | | |
| 3. Social Awareness | 3.96 | 0.58 | .48** | .56** | (.84) | | | | | | |
| 4. Relationship Management | 3.88 | 0.61 | .41** | .54** | .60** | (.92) | | | | | |
| 5. Idealized Influence | 3.71 | 0.68 | .31** | .41** | .35** | .49** | (.89) | | | | |
| 6. Inspirational Motivation | 3.84 | 0.71 | .29** | .35** | .42** | .58** | .55** | (.87) | | | |
| 7. Intellectual Stimulation | 3.63 | 0.65 | .40** | .32** | .37** | .43** | .47** | .50** | (.84) | | |
| 8. Individualized Consideration | 3.79 | 0.67 | .35** | .37** | .57** | .46** | .43** | .48** | .49** | (.86) | |
| 9. Leadership Effectiveness | 3.92 | 0.73 | .33** | .36** | .41** | .45** | .52** | .59** | .48** | .56** | (.88) |

*Note: N = 218. Reliability coefficients (Cronbach's alpha) are shown in parentheses on the diagonal.
*p < .05, *p < .01

Confirmatory factor analysis supported the hypothesized four-factor structure of both emotional intelligence (CFI = 0.94, RMSEA = 0.06) and transformational leadership (CFI = 0.93, RMSEA = 0.07), indicating discriminant validity among dimensions. Tests for common method variance using Harman's single-factor test and a common latent factor approach suggested that common method bias was not a substantial concern.

### 4.2 Hypothesis Testing

#### 4.2.1 Relationships Between Specific EI and TL Dimensions (H1)

To test Hypothesis 1, we conducted multiple regression analyses examining relationships between specific emotional intelligence and transformational leadership dimensions. Table 2 presents standardized regression coefficients from these analyses, controlling for demographic and organizational variables.

**Table 2:** Regression Results for EI Dimensions Predicting TL Dimensions

| Predictor | Idealized Influence | Inspirational Motivation | Intellectual Stimulation | Individualized Consideration |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Self-Awareness | 0.19* | 0.12 | 0.31*** | 0.14* |
| Self-Management | 0.33*** | 0.18* | 0.17* | 0.15* |
| Social Awareness | 0.21** | 0.26** | 0.22** | 0.49*** |
| Relationship Management | 0.38*** | 0.49*** | 0.29** | 0.28** |
| $R^2$ | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.29 | 0.37 |

*Note: Standardized regression coefficients are reported. Control variables included but not shown for clarity.
*p < .05, **p < .01, **p < .001

These results partially support Hypothesis 1. Relationship management showed strong associations with both idealized influence and inspirational motivation, providing partial support for H1b. Social awareness was strongly associated with individualized consideration, supporting H1a. Self-management was most strongly related to idealized influence, supporting H1c. Self-awareness showed the strongest relationship with intellectual stimulation, supporting H1d.

Relative weight analysis further clarified the relative importance of different emotional intelligence dimensions in predicting overall transformational leadership. Relationship management accounted for 37.2% of explainable variance, social awareness for 28.4%, self-management for 19.6%, and self-awareness for 14.8%.

#### 4.2.2 Moderating Effects of Contextual Factors (H2)

Hierarchical linear modeling tested the moderating effects of contextual factors on the EI-TL relationship. Table 3 summarizes these findings.

**Table 3:** Moderating Effects on the EI-TL Relationship

| Moderator | Interaction Term | Coefficient | p-value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Organizational Culture (Collaborative) | EI × Culture | 0.23 | 0.004 |
| Industry (Service vs. Manufacturing) | EI × Industry | 0.19 | 0.012 |
| Leadership Level | EI × Level | 0.15 | 0.038 |
| Environmental Dynamism | EI × Dynamism | 0.21 | 0.007 |

Note: EI represents the composite emotional intelligence score for simplicity.

These results support Hypothesis 2. The relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership was stronger in organizations with collaborative cultures (H2a), in service industries compared to manufacturing (H2b), at higher leadership levels (H2c), and in more dynamic environments (H2d).

#### 4.2.3 Mediation Analysis (H3)

Structural equation modeling tested the mediating role of transformational leadership in the relationship between emotional intelligence and leadership effectiveness. The model demonstrated good fit to the data (CFI = 0.93, RMSEA = 0.058, SRMR = 0.062). Emotional intelligence had a significant direct effect on transformational leadership ($\beta = 0.59$, $p < 0.001$), which in turn had a significant effect on leadership effectiveness ($\beta = 0.48$, $p < 0.001$). The direct effect of emotional intelligence on leadership effectiveness was reduced but remained significant when transformational leadership was included as a mediator ($\beta = 0.23$, $p < 0.01$), indicating partial mediation. The indirect effect was significant ($\beta = 0.28$, 95% CI [0.19, 0.37]), supporting Hypothesis 3.

#### 4.2.4 Leadership Challenges and Effectiveness (H4)

Analysis of performance data supported Hypothesis 4. Leaders scoring high (top quartile) on both emotional intelligence and transformational leadership demonstrated significantly better outcomes across multiple effectiveness indicators compared to other leaders. Specifically, these leaders showed superior performance in:

- Leading change initiatives (29% higher success rate, $p < 0.01$)
- Team innovation outcomes (0.48 standard deviations higher, $p < 0.001$)
- Developing direct reports (37% higher promotion rates of team members, $p < 0.01$)
- Maintaining team engagement during organizational restructuring (0.52 standard deviations higher, $p < 0.001$)

### 4.3 Qualitative Findings

Thematic analysis of interview data revealed five major themes illuminating the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership:

#### 4.3.1 Theme 1: Emotional Foundations of Transformational Behaviors

Participants consistently described how specific emotional competencies enabled transformational leadership behaviors. Self-awareness provided the foundation for authentic idealized influence. As one CEO explained:

"Understanding my own emotional triggers and values allows me to lead consistently with my principles. When I'm centered in self-awareness, people trust my authenticity. When I lose that connection, people sense the disconnect immediately." (P7, Technology CEO)

Social awareness, particularly empathy, emerged as critical for individualized consideration:

"My ability to read the unspoken concerns and aspirations of team members lets me tailor my approach to each person. It's not about treating everyone the same—it's about recognizing what each person uniquely needs from me as their leader." (P23, Healthcare Executive)

Relationship management competencies facilitated inspirational motivation:

"Crafting a compelling vision isn't just intellectual—it's deeply emotional. I'm constantly reading the emotional landscape, understanding what resonates with different stakeholders, and adapting my message to connect authentically while remaining true to the core vision." (P12, Financial Services Executive)

#### 4.3.2 Theme 2: Contextual Activation of Emotional Competencies

Participants described how different contexts activated different aspects of emotional intelligence. Crisis situations demanded heightened self-management:

"When the pandemic hit, containing my own anxiety was my first leadership task. The team was looking to me for cues about how to respond emotionally. My ability to project calm confidence while acknowledging uncertainty became our emotional scaffold." (P3, Retail Executive)

Transformational change initiatives required sophisticated relationship management:

"During our digital transformation, technical solutions were actually the easy part. The hard part was navigating the emotional landscape—addressing fears, building coalitions, resolving conflicts between old and new guards, and maintaining momentum through inevitable setbacks." (P18, Manufacturing Executive)

#### 4.3.3 Theme 3: Developmental Pathways and Experiences

Leaders articulated diverse developmental journeys that enhanced their emotional intelligence and transformational capabilities. Crucible experiences—challenging situations that stretched their capabilities—were frequently mentioned:

"Leading through the financial crisis transformed my leadership. I learned that technical expertise was necessary but insufficient. What my team needed was emotional steadiness, empathetic understanding of their fears, and the ability to frame setbacks within a larger purpose." (P36, Financial Services Executive)

Feedback and reflection emerged as critical developmental mechanisms:

"The 360 assessment was illuminating, but what really changed me was creating regular reflection practices. I now maintain a leadership journal and have a monthly conversation with my coach focused entirely on emotional patterns I'm noticing in myself and others." (P29, Technology Executive)

#### 4.3.4 Theme 4: Integration Mechanisms

Participants described specific mechanisms through which they integrated emotional intelligence with transformational leadership. Emotional authenticity enhanced idealized influence:

"People follow leaders who acknowledge their own humanity. When I made myself vulnerable by sharing my struggles with work-life balance during the pandemic, it created space for others to bring their whole selves to work." (P14, Healthcare Executive)

Emotional regulation enabled productive conflict necessary for intellectual stimulation:

"Innovation requires disagreement. My job is creating an emotionally safe environment where people challenge ideas vigorously while feeling personally respected. That requires modeling how to separate intellectual debate from personal attack." (P4, Technology Executive)

#### 4.3.5 Theme 5: Systemic and Cultural Enablers

Leaders emphasized how organizational systems and cultures either enabled or constrained the application of emotional intelligence. Psychological safety emerged as a crucial foundation:

"In my previous organization, displaying emotion was seen as weakness. Here, we've deliberately cultivated a culture where emotional awareness is viewed as intelligence, not liability. This shift has unlocked transformational capacity across our leadership team." (P31, Manufacturing Executive)

Organizational practices either reinforced or undermined emotional intelligence development:

"Our performance management system used to focus exclusively on outcomes. We've redesigned it to evaluate both results and how those results were achieved, including emotional impact on teams. This signals that emotional intelligence matters in advancement decisions." (P9, Financial Services Executive)

## V. DISCUSSION

### 5.1 Theoretical Implications

This study advances theoretical understanding of the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership in several important ways. First, by examining specific dimensions of both constructs, the research clarifies which emotional competencies most strongly enable particular transformational leadership behaviors. The finding that relationship management and social awareness demonstrate the strongest associations with transformational leadership extends previous work by (Barling et al., 2000) and (Palmer et al., 2001) by providing a more nuanced understanding of these relationships.

Second, the identification of contextual moderators addresses an important gap in the literature. The stronger EI-TL relationship in collaborative cultures, service industries, higher leadership levels, and dynamic environments supports contingency perspectives on leadership (Yukl, 2012) and suggests that emotional intelligence may be particularly valuable in contexts requiring complex stakeholder engagement and adaptive responses. This aligns with and extends previous findings by (Walter et al., 2011) regarding boundary conditions of emotional intelligence effects.

Third, the partial mediation of the EI-leadership effectiveness relationship by transformational leadership behaviors supports theoretical models positioning transformational leadership as a key mechanism through which emotional competencies influence organizational outcomes (George, 2000; Ashkanasy & Tse, 2000). However, the significant direct effect of emotional intelligence on effectiveness suggests additional pathways through which emotional competencies influence leadership success beyond transformational behaviors—a finding that warrants further theoretical development.

Fourth, the qualitative findings regarding emotional foundations, contextual activation, developmental pathways, integration mechanisms, and systemic enablers provide a rich theoretical framework for understanding how emotional intelligence competencies translate into effective leadership behaviors. This addresses calls for greater theoretical sophistication in understanding emotional intelligence development and application (Ashkanasy & Daus, 2005; Walter et al., 2011).

Finally, the results indicating superior performance of emotionally intelligent transformational leaders in change management, innovation facilitation, talent development, and crisis leadership support the theoretical integration of these previously separate streams of literature. This integration contributes to a more holistic understanding of effective leadership in contemporary organizations facing complex adaptive challenges.

### 5.2 Practical Implications

These findings offer several practical implications for leadership selection, development, and organizational design. First, the results suggest that leadership assessment and selection processes should incorporate measures of emotional intelligence—particularly relationship management and social awareness dimensions—as predictors of transformational leadership potential. This is especially important for roles involving significant change leadership, innovation direction, or cross-cultural team management.

Second, the identified developmental pathways provide guidance for leadership development programs seeking to enhance emotional intelligence and transformational capabilities. The importance of crucible experiences, feedback mechanisms, and reflective practices suggests that development initiatives should move beyond traditional classroom training to incorporate experiential learning, coaching, and reflective components that build emotional competencies through practical application and feedback.

Third, the moderation findings indicate that organizations should consider contextual factors when designing leadership development initiatives. Programs may need customization for different organizational levels, industry contexts, and environmental conditions, with particular emphasis on emotional competencies most relevant to specific leadership challenges.

Fourth, the qualitative findings regarding systemic and cultural enablers highlight the importance of creating organizational environments that support emotional intelligence application. Organizations should evaluate how performance management systems, cultural norms, team structures, and leadership modeling either enable or constrain emotional intelligence development and expression.

Finally, the superior performance of emotionally intelligent transformational leaders in navigating challenging situations suggests that organizations should prioritize these capabilities when preparing succession plans for key leadership roles, particularly those likely to face significant change management or crisis response responsibilities.

### 5.3 Limitations and Future Research

Several limitations of this study suggest directions for future research. First, despite using multi-source data to reduce common method bias, the cross-sectional design limits causal inferences. Longitudinal studies tracking how emotional intelligence development influences subsequent transformational leadership behavior would strengthen causal arguments.

Second, while the sample included diverse industries and organizational types, cultural context was primarily limited to North American and European organizations. Future research should examine how cultural dimensions influence the EI-TL relationship across diverse global contexts.

Third, the study focused primarily on senior leaders, limiting generalizability to frontline management. Comparative studies examining how the EI-TL relationship manifests at different organizational levels would enhance understanding of potential boundary conditions.

Fourth, while the mixed-methods approach provided rich insights, the qualitative phase relied on retrospective self-reports, which may be subject to recall bias and social desirability effects. Observational studies of leader behavior in real-time situations would complement this approach.

Finally, the study did not extensively examine potential negative aspects of high emotional intelligence, such as the capacity for emotional manipulation. Future research should explore potential dark sides of emotional intelligence in leadership contexts and how transformational values might mitigate these risks.

Several promising directions for future research emerge from this study. First, research could examine how specific emotional intelligence development interventions influence transformational leadership behavior and effectiveness over time. Second, studies might explore the interplay between emotional intelligence and other leadership capabilities such as strategic thinking or adaptive decision-making. Third, research could investigate how team-level emotional intelligence and leadership interact to influence collective outcomes. Fourth, studies might examine how artificial intelligence and digital communication impact the manifestation and importance of emotional intelligence in leadership effectiveness.

## VI. CONCLUSION

This study addresses important gaps in our understanding of how emotional intelligence enables transformational leadership in corporate contexts. Through a mixed-methods investigation of senior leaders across multiple industries, the research identifies specific emotional competencies most strongly associated with transformational leadership behaviors, clarifies contextual factors moderating this relationship, illuminates mechanisms through which emotional intelligence enables leadership effectiveness, and demonstrates the particular value of emotionally intelligent transformational leadership in navigating complex organizational challenges.

The findings reveal that relationship management and social awareness dimensions of emotional intelligence most strongly predict transformational leadership, with these relationships enhanced in collaborative cultures, service industries, higher leadership levels, and dynamic environments. Transformational leadership partially mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and leadership effectiveness, while qualitative findings illuminate how emotional competencies provide foundations for transformational behaviors, are activated by different contexts, develop through specific experiences, integrate through various mechanisms, and are enabled by supportive organizational systems.

These results contribute to both theoretical understanding and practical application of emotional intelligence in leadership contexts. Theoretically, they provide a more nuanced model of the EI-TL relationship that accounts for dimensionality, contextual contingencies, developmental processes, and performance implications. Practically, they offer guidance for leadership selection, development, and organizational design that can enhance transformational leadership capacity through emotional intelligence cultivation.

As organizations continue navigating increasingly complex, uncertain, and emotionally demanding environments, the integration of emotional intelligence and transformational leadership offers a powerful framework for developing leaders capable of inspiring commitment, navigating change, fostering innovation, and sustaining performance through challenging circumstances. The emotionally intelligent transformational leader—one who combines emotional awareness and management with inspirational, intellectually stimulating, and individually considerate leadership—represents an increasingly valuable asset in contemporary organizational contexts.

Future research building on these findings can further refine our understanding of how emotional and transformational capacities develop synergistically, operate across diverse contexts, and translate into organizational outcomes that enable sustainable success in an increasingly volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous business landscape.

## REFERENCES


Antonakis, J., Ashkanasy, N. M., & Dasborough, M. T. (2009). Does leadership need emotional intelligence? *The Leadership Quarterly*, *20*(2), 247–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2009.01.006

Ashkanasy, N. M., & Daus, C. S. (2005). Rumors of the death of emotional intelligence in organizational behavior are vastly exaggerated. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *26*(4), 441–452. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.320

Ashkanasy, N. M., & Tse, B. (2000). Transformational leadership as management of emotion: A conceptual review. In N. M. Ashkanasy, C. E. J. Härtel, & W. J. Zerbe (Eds.), *Emotions in the workplace: Research, theory, and practice* (pp. 221–235). Quorum Books.

Barling, J., Slater, F., & Kelloway, E. K. (2000). Transformational leadership and emotional intelligence: An exploratory study. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, *21*(3), 157–161. https://doi.org/10.1108/01437730010325040

Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.

Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (2000). *Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire: Manual and sampler set* (3rd ed.). Mind Garden.

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Bennett, N., & Lemoine, G. J. (2014). What a difference a word makes: Understanding threats to performance in a VUCA world. *Business Horizons*, *57*(3), 311–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2014.01.001

Bono, J. E., & Judge, T. A. (2004). Personality and transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *89*(5), 901–910. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.89.5.901

Boyatzis, R., & Goleman, D. (2007). *Emotional and Social Competency Inventory (ESCI)*. Hay Group.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.

Butler, C. J., & Chinowsky, P. S. (2006). Emotional intelligence and leadership behavior in construction executives. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, *22*(3), 119–125. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0742-597X(2006)22:3(119)

Carmeli, A., Brueller, D., & Dutton, J. E. (2009). Learning behaviours in the workplace: The role of high-quality interpersonal relationships and psychological safety. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, *26*(1), 81–98. https://doi.org/10.1002/sres.932

Carter, M. Z., Armenakis, A. A., Feild, H. S., & Mossholder, K. W. (2013). Transformational leadership, relationship quality, and employee performance during continuous incremental organizational change. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *34*(7), 942–958. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1824

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Deinert, A., Homan, A. C., Boer, D., Voelpel, S. C., & Gutermann, D. (2015). Transformational leadership sub-dimensions and their link to leaders' personality and performance. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *26*(6), 1095–1120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2015.08.001

Denison, D. R., & Mishra, A. K. (1995). Toward a theory of organizational culture and effectiveness. *Organization Science*, *6*(2), 204–223. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.6.2.204

Eisenbach, R., Watson, K., & Pillai, R. (1999). Transformational leadership in the context of organizational change. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, *12*(2), 80–89. https://doi.org/10.1108/09534819910263631

Eisenbeiss, S. A., van Knippenberg, D., & Boerner, S. (2008). Transformational leadership and team innovation: Integrating team climate principles. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *93*(6), 1438–1446. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012716

García-Morales, V. J., Lloréns-Montes, F. J., & Verdú-Jover, A. J. (2008). The effects of transformational leadership on organizational performance through knowledge and innovation. *British Journal of Management*, *19*(4), 299–319. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2007.00547.x

George, J. M. (2000). Emotions and leadership: The role of emotional intelligence. *Human Relations*, *53*(8), 1027–1055. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726700538001

Goleman, D. (1998). *Working with emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books.

Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2013). *Primal leadership: Unleashing the power of emotional intelligence*. Harvard Business Press.

Groves, K. S., & LaRocca, M. A. (2011). An empirical study of leader ethical values, transformational and transactional leadership, and follower attitudes toward corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *103*(4), 511–528. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0877-y

Harms, P. D., & Credé, M. (2010). Emotional intelligence and transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, *17*(1), 5–17. https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051809350894

Hoffman, B. J., Woehr, D. J., Maldagen-Youngjohn, R., & Lyons, B. D. (2011). Great man or great myth? A quantitative review of the relationship between individual differences and leader effectiveness. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, *84*(2), 347–381. https://doi.org/10.1348/096317909X485207

Humphrey, R. H. (2012). How do leaders use emotional labor? *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *33*(5), 740–744. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1791

Hur, Y., van den Berg, P. T., & Wilderom, C. P. (2011). Transformational leadership as a mediator between emotional intelligence and team outcomes. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *22*(4), 591–603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.05.002

Jansen, J. J., Van Den Bosch, F. A., & Volberda, H. W. (2006). Exploratory innovation, exploitative innovation, and performance: Effects of organizational antecedents and environmental moderators. *Management Science*, *52*(11), 1661–1674. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1060.0576

Jin, S., Seo, M. G., & Shapiro, D. L. (2016). Do happy leaders lead better? Affective and attitudinal antecedents of transformational leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *27*(1), 64–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2015.09.002

Jordan, P. J., Ashkanasy, N. M., Härtel, C. E., & Hooper, G. S. (2002). Workgroup emotional intelligence: Scale development and relationship to team process effectiveness and goal focus. *Human Resource Management Review*, *12*(2), 195–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822(02)00046-3

Kirkpatrick, S. A., & Locke, E. A. (2022). Effective leadership in the post-pandemic era: Reimagining leadership theory. *Management Research Review*, *45*(1), 19–37.

Leban, W., & Zulauf, C. (2004). Linking emotional intelligence abilities and transformational leadership styles. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, *25*(7), 554–564. https://doi.org/10.1108/01437730410561440

Lindebaum, D., & Cartwright, S. (2010). A critical examination of the relationship between emotional intelligence and transformational leadership. *Journal of Management Studies, 47*(7), 1317–1342. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2010.00933.x
López-Zafra, E., García-Retamero, R., & Martos, M. P. B. (2012). The relationship between transformational leadership and emotional intelligence from a gendered approach. *The Psychological Record, 62*(1), 97–114. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03395790
Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence? In P. Salovey & D. J. Sluyter (Eds.), *Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications* (pp. 3–31). Basic Books.
Mayer, J. D., Caruso, D. R., & Salovey, P. (2016). The ability model of emotional intelligence: Principles and updates. *Emotion Review, 8*(4), 290–300. https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073916639667
Miao, C., Humphrey, R. H., & Qian, S. (2018). A meta-analysis of emotional intelligence and work attitudes. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 91*(3), 683–708. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2016-62718-001
Newman, A., Donohue, R., & Eva, N. (2017). Psychological safety: A systematic review of the literature. *Human Resource Management Review, 27*(3), 521–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2017.01.001
O'Boyle Jr, E. H., Humphrey, R. H., Pollack, J. M., Hawver, T. H., & Story, P. A. (2011). The relation between emotional intelligence and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 32*(5), 788–818. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.714
Palmer, B., Walls, M., Burgess, Z., & Stough, C. (2001). Emotional intelligence and effective leadership. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 22*(1), 5–10. https://doi.org/10.1108/01437730110380174
Petrides, K. V., Pita, R., & Kokkinaki, F. (2007). The location of trait emotional intelligence in personality factor space. *British Journal of Psychology, 98*(2), 273–289. https://doi.org/10.1348/000712606X120618
Rafferty, A. E., & Griffin, M. A. (2004). Dimensions of transformational leadership: Conceptual and empirical extensions. *The Leadership Quarterly, 15*(3), 329–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2004.02.009
Walter, F., Cole, M. S., & Humphrey, R. H. (2011). Emotional intelligence: Sine qua non of leadership or folderol? *Academy of Management Perspectives, 25*(1), 45–59. https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.25.1.45
Wang, G., Oh, I. S., Courtright, S. H., & Colbert, A. E. (2011). Transformational leadership and performance across criteria and levels: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of research. *Group & Organization Management, 36*(2), 223–270. https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601111401017
Yukl, G. (2012). Effective leadership behavior: What we know and what questions need more attention. *Academy of Management Perspectives, 26*(4), 66–85. https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2012.0088
Zhang, S. J., Chen, Y. Q., & Sun, H. (2015). Emotional intelligence, conflict management styles, and innovation performance: An empirical study of Chinese employees. *International Journal of Conflict Management, 26*(4), 450–478. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCMA-06-2014-0039