INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADMINISTRATION AND MANAGEMENT RESEARCH STUDIES (IJAMRS)
(Open Access, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal)
ISSN Online: 3107-9695 ISSN Print: 3139-1761



The Role of Leadership Styles in Fostering Teacher Collaboration and Educational Innovation: A Comprehensive Review of Urban and Rural School Contexts

Remya Murali

Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, Monad University, Harpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Article information

Received: 18th October 2025
Received in revised form: 20th November 2025
Accepted: 25th December 2025
Available online: 26th January 2026

Volume: 2
Issue: 1
DOI: https://doi.org/10.63090/IJAMRS/3107.9695.0022

Abstract

This review article examines the complex interrelationships between leadership styles, teacher collaboration, and educational innovation across urban and rural school contexts. Drawing on multiple theoretical frameworks including transformational leadership theory, distributed leadership theory, situational leadership theory, social capital theory, and innovation diffusion theory, this review synthesizes existing research to understand how different leadership approaches influence collaborative practices and innovative outcomes in diverse educational settings. The analysis reveals that while substantial research exists on educational leadership, significant gaps remain in understanding contextually responsive leadership practices that effectively foster collaboration and innovation across the urban-rural divide. This review identifies key contextual factors that moderate leadership effectiveness, discusses the mechanisms through which leadership influences teacher behavior, and proposes directions for future research. The findings have important implications for educational policy, leadership development, and school improvement initiatives aimed at promoting equitable educational outcomes regardless of geographical location.

Keywords: - educational leadership; teacher collaboration; educational innovation; urban schools; rural schools; transformational leadership; distributed leadership

I. INTRODUCTION

The educational landscape across the globe is experiencing unprecedented transformation, driven by technological advancements, evolving pedagogical approaches, and shifting societal expectations. In this dynamic context, effective school leadership has emerged as a critical factor in determining educational quality and student outcomes (Leithwood et al., 2020). School leaders today are tasked with not only managing administrative functions but also creating environments that foster teacher collaboration, professional growth, and educational innovation.

The relationship between leadership and organizational outcomes in educational settings has been extensively documented. Research consistently demonstrates that school leadership significantly influences organizational culture, teacher motivation, and ultimately, student achievement (Robinson et al., 2008). However, while the importance of leadership is widely acknowledged, understanding of which specific leadership styles best promote teacher collaboration and innovation, particularly across different geographical and socioeconomic contexts, remains limited.

The urban-rural divide in education presents unique challenges and opportunities for school leaders. Urban schools often benefit from greater access to resources, diverse professional networks, and proximity to universities and educational service providers. In contrast, rural schools frequently face resource limitations, geographical isolation, smaller staff numbers, and different community dynamics. These contextual differences necessitate potentially different leadership approaches to effectively foster collaboration and innovation.

This review article aims to synthesize existing research on the relationship between leadership styles, teacher collaboration, and educational innovation across urban and rural educational contexts. By examining theoretical frameworks, empirical findings, and research gaps, this review seeks to contribute to both theoretical understanding and practical guidance for educational leaders, policymakers, and researchers.

II. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

Understanding the relationship between leadership styles, teacher collaboration, and innovation requires grounding in several theoretical frameworks that provide complementary perspectives on organizational dynamics in educational settings.

2.1. Transformational Leadership Theory

Transformational leadership theory, originally developed by Burns (1978) and expanded by Bass (1985), focuses on how leaders inspire and motivate followers to exceed expected performance by aligning individual and collective interests around shared values, vision, and goals. In educational settings, transformational leadership encompasses four key dimensions: idealized influence (role modeling), inspirational motivation (articulating compelling visions), intellectual stimulation (challenging assumptions and encouraging innovation), and individualized consideration (attending to followers' needs and development).

Research has consistently linked transformational leadership to enhanced teacher motivation, commitment, and willingness to engage in change initiatives. However, the effectiveness of transformational leadership may vary across different contexts, with some research suggesting that more directive approaches might be necessary in challenging circumstances (Hallinger & Heck, 2010).

2.2. Distributed Leadership Theory

Distributed leadership theory conceptualizes leadership as a collective activity dispersed across the organization rather than concentrated in formal positions. Spillane (2006) emphasizes that leadership practice emerges from the interactions between leaders, followers, and their situation, creating a leadership practice that extends beyond individual actions. This perspective focuses on how leadership functions are distributed across multiple individuals and how these distributed patterns influence organizational outcomes.

In educational contexts, distributed leadership manifests through collaborative decision-making, shared responsibility for improvement, and the development of leadership capacity throughout the organization (Harris, 2013). This approach has been associated with enhanced organizational capacity, teacher agency, and collective problem-solving (Leithwood et al., 2020). The theory raises important questions about how leadership patterns differ between urban and rural schools and how these differences might influence collaborative and innovative practices.

2.3. Situational Leadership Theory

Situational leadership theory proposes that effective leadership depends on adapting behaviors to match the specific context and the developmental levels of followers. Hersey and Blanchard (1969) identified four leadership styles, namely directing, coaching, supporting, and delegating, that should be selected based on followers' competence and commitment levels. This adaptive approach recognizes that different situations and individuals may require different leadership responses.

In educational settings, situational leadership might involve shifting between directive and supportive approaches based on teachers' experience, confidence, and competence levels. This flexibility may be particularly important in diverse educational environments where teacher characteristics and needs vary considerably. The theory offers valuable insights for examining how school leaders adapt their approaches to address the specific challenges and opportunities presented by urban or rural contexts.

2.4. Social Capital Theory

Social capital theory focuses on the resources embedded in social networks and relationships that facilitate coordinated action and knowledge exchange. Coleman (1988) defined social capital as a resource that exists in the relations between actors and makes possible certain achievements that would not be possible in its absence. In educational contexts, social capital manifests through professional relationships, networks of trust, shared norms, and channels for information exchange among teachers and leaders.

This theory is particularly relevant for understanding how leadership influences teacher collaboration, which fundamentally depends on social capital development within educational organizations. Leaders can build social capital by (2003) creating structures for interaction, fostering trusting relationships, establishing shared norms, and facilitating knowledge exchange. The theory provides valuable insights into how urban and rural contexts might present different opportunities and constraints for social capital development.

2.5. Innovation Diffusion Theory

Innovation diffusion theory explains how, why, and at what rate new ideas and practices spread through social systems. Rogers identified key factors that influence innovation adoption, including the innovation's relative advantage, compatibility with existing values, complexity, trialability, and observability. The theory also describes different adopter categories and the roles they play in the diffusion process.

In educational settings, this theory helps explain how innovative practices spread through schools and educational systems, and what factors facilitate or impede this diffusion. School leaders play critical roles in this process by championing innovations, creating supportive conditions, and addressing barriers to adoption (Fullan, 2007). The framework provides insights into how leadership influences the adoption and spread of innovative practices in different educational contexts.

III. LEADERSHIP STYLES IN EDUCATIONAL SETTINGS

Educational leadership encompasses various models and approaches that guide how school leaders conceptualize and enact their roles. Understanding these different approaches is essential for examining their relative effectiveness in fostering collaboration and innovation across diverse contexts.

3.1. Instructional Leadership

Instructional leadership emphasizes the improvement of teaching and learning as the primary goal of educational leadership (Hallinger, 2005). Leaders adopting this model focus on defining the school mission, managing the instructional program, and promoting a positive school climate conducive to learning (Robinson et al., 2008). A seminal meta-analysis by Robinson et al. (2008) found that instructional leadership had nearly four times the impact on student outcomes compared to transformational leadership, suggesting that leadership focused directly on teaching and learning processes yields stronger results.

However, the implementation of instructional leadership may vary across different contexts. In well-resourced urban schools, principals may have more capacity for deep engagement with instructional practices, while rural principals often balance instructional leadership with multiple other responsibilities. The effectiveness of instructional leadership may also depend on the availability of curriculum specialists and instructional coaches, who are more commonly found in larger urban districts.

3.2. Servant Leadership

Servant leadership prioritizes the needs of followers and the broader community, with leaders focusing on empowering and developing those they serve. This approach emphasizes ethical behavior, authentic relationships, and commitment to the growth of individuals and organizations. In educational settings, servant leadership has been associated with enhanced teacher well-being, job satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors.

The principles of servant leadership may resonate particularly well in rural communities, where school leaders often serve as community leaders and must navigate close-knit relationships with various stakeholders. The emphasis on service and community development aligns with the values often found in rural settings, where schools frequently serve as community centers and sources of local pride.

3.3. Authentic Leadership

Authentic leadership emphasizes self-awareness, ethical perspectives, balanced processing of information, and transparency in relationships. For school leaders, this involves clarity about personal values, consistent ethical action, openness to diverse viewpoints, and genuine relationships with staff. Authentic leadership has been linked to enhanced trust, engagement, and organizational citizenship behaviors among teachers.

The development of authentic leadership may be influenced by contextual factors such as community expectations, organizational culture, and professional development opportunities. Research suggests that authentic leadership contributes to psychologically safe environments where teachers feel comfortable taking risks and engaging in innovative practices.

IV. TEACHER COLLABORATION: STRUCTURES, PROCESSES, AND OUTCOMES

Teacher collaboration refers to the systematic process through which educators work together to analyze and improve their professional practice and student learning. It encompasses various forms of professional interaction, including team teaching, peer observation, collaborative planning, professional learning communities, and collective problem-solving (Ronfeldt et al., 2015).

4.1. Forms and Dimensions of Collaboration

Researchers have identified a continuum of collaborative practices, from storytelling and scanning to joint work, with the latter involving the highest levels of interdependence and collective responsibility. Scholars distinguish between collaborative professionalism and professional collaboration, arguing that the former represents deeper, more transformative collaborative work focused on collective efficacy and student learning.

The nature and extent of teacher collaboration are influenced by numerous factors, including school culture, structural supports, time allocation, and leadership practices. School leaders play a pivotal role in creating conditions that either facilitate or hinder meaningful collaboration among teachers. This includes establishing collaborative structures, allocating resources for collaborative activities, modeling collaborative behaviors, and fostering a culture of trust and mutual respect.

4.2. Impact of Collaboration on Educational Outcomes

Research consistently demonstrates the positive impact of teacher collaboration on various educational outcomes. A landmark study by Ronfeldt et al. (2015) found that schools with stronger collaborative environments showed greater gains in student achievement in both mathematics and reading, with the quality of collaboration being more important than the quantity.

The benefits of teacher collaboration extend beyond individual professional growth to include institutional capacity building and systemic improvement. When teachers collaborate effectively, they develop shared knowledge, refine instructional practices, and create coherent educational experiences for students. This collective expertise contributes to a professional culture characterized by continuous learning and improvement.

4.3. Contextual Variations in Collaborative Practices

The quality and impact of teacher collaboration vary considerably across different educational contexts. Urban and rural schools may face different challenges in fostering meaningful collaboration due to variations in staff size, physical proximity, resource availability, and community expectations.

In urban schools, teachers may have access to larger professional networks and more diverse expertise but might struggle with time constraints, competing priorities, and coordination challenges in complex organizational structures. In rural schools, smaller staff sizes may facilitate closer collegial relationships but can limit access to diverse perspectives and specialized expertise. Understanding these contextual differences is essential for developing leadership practices that effectively promote teacher collaboration in diverse educational settings.

V. EDUCATIONAL INNOVATION: DRIVERS, BARRIERS, AND LEADERSHIP INFLUENCE

Educational innovation encompasses the development, adoption, and implementation of new ideas, methods, technologies, or organizational practices designed to improve teaching and learning processes. In today's rapidly changing educational landscape, innovation is not merely desirable but essential for schools to remain relevant and effective in preparing students for future challenges.

5.1. Types of Educational Innovation

Innovation in education takes various forms, including pedagogical innovations (new teaching methods or approaches), technological innovations (integration of digital tools and platforms), organizational innovations (new structures or processes), and curricular innovations (new content or assessment methods) (Fullan, 2007). These innovations may be incremental (small improvements to existing practices) or disruptive (fundamental changes that transform educational paradigms).

The capacity for educational innovation is influenced by multiple factors, including leadership support, organizational culture, resource availability, teacher agency, and external policy environments. School leaders are instrumental in creating conditions that either facilitate or impede innovation by encouraging experimentation, providing resources for new initiatives, celebrating successful innovations, and creating psychological safety for risk-taking.

5.2. Organizational Climate and Innovation

Researchers have identified four dimensions of organizational climate that predict innovation: vision (clarity and sharedness of goals), participative safety (psychological safety for idea-sharing), task orientation (commitment to excellence), and support for innovation (practical and emotional backing for new ideas). Research indicates that teacher agency, reflective practice, and opportunities for experimentation are significant predictors of innovative behavior.

The creation of an innovative climate requires deliberate leadership action. Leaders must balance support for new ideas with appropriate accountability, manage the inherent risks of experimentation, and ensure that innovation efforts align with educational goals. Effective innovation leadership involves both stimulating creative thinking and providing the structures necessary for successful implementation.

5.3. Barriers to Educational Innovation

Barriers to educational innovation have been extensively documented in the literature. Fullan (2007) identified factors such as complexity, compatibility with existing values, resource requirements, and perceived advantages as influencing innovation adoption. Policy constraints, accountability pressures, and cultural resistance can impede teacher agency and innovative practice.

Innovations perceived as disruptive to pedagogical control or requiring significant technical expertise face greater implementation challenges. These barriers may manifest differently across urban and rural contexts, with urban schools potentially facing greater bureaucratic constraints and rural schools confronting resource and expertise limitations.

VI. THE URBAN-RURAL EDUCATIONAL DIVIDE

The urban-rural educational divide represents significant disparities in educational opportunities, resources, challenges, and outcomes between schools located in urban centers and those in rural communities. Understanding these contextual differences is essential for developing nuanced approaches to educational leadership.

6.1. Characteristics of Urban Educational Contexts

Urban schools often benefit from advantages such as greater resource accessibility, proximity to universities and educational service providers, diverse professional networks, and a wider range of potential external partnerships. These resources can support extensive professional development opportunities, specialized programs, and innovative initiatives.

However, urban schools also face significant challenges. The diversity, density, and inequality that characterize many urban school environments create complex leadership situations. High student mobility, socioeconomic disparities, complex organizational structures, and competing demands from multiple stakeholders create challenging leadership environments. The importance of culturally responsive leadership in addressing the needs of diverse urban communities has been emphasized by researchers.

6.2. Characteristics of Rural Educational Contexts

Rural schools present distinctive opportunities and challenges. Resource limitations, teacher recruitment difficulties, and geographical isolation are significant challenges. The sparse population density often results in smaller schools with limited specialized staff and reduced access to professional development opportunities.

Yet rural schools possess unique strengths. Stronger school-community partnerships have been identified in rural settings, with schools often serving as community centers. Successful rural leaders develop a leadership of place that acknowledges and leverages local knowledge, values, and resources. The closer relationships within smaller communities can facilitate trust-building and collaborative efforts.

6.3. Leadership Implications of Contextual Differences

These contextual differences significantly influence school leadership practices and their effectiveness. Leadership approaches that succeed in urban environments may not translate directly to rural settings, and vice versa. Research indicates that successful rural leaders balance managerial responsibilities with instructional leadership while navigating community expectations and resource constraints.

In urban contexts, the importance of culturally responsive school leadership that addresses the needs of diverse student populations has been highlighted. Successful urban school leaders build collective leadership capacity through shared vision, trusting relationships, and meaningful community engagement. Comparative studies examining leadership across contexts, while limited, suggest that contextual factors significantly influence leaders' priorities and practices (Hallinger, 2018).

VII. SYNTHESIS: THE INTERPLAY OF LEADERSHIP, COLLABORATION, AND INNOVATION

The relationship between leadership styles, teacher collaboration, and educational innovation is complex and multidirectional. Research suggests that effective leadership creates conditions that enable collaboration, which in turn supports innovation, while innovative practices may stimulate new forms of collaboration and require adaptive leadership responses.

7.1. Leadership as an Enabler of Collaboration

Leaders foster collaboration through multiple mechanisms. Research shows that effective collaboration requires structural supports (time, space, resources), cultural conditions (trust, openness, shared values), and leadership that actively promotes and participates in collaborative work (Vangrieken et al., 2015). Leaders establish collaborative structures, model collaborative behaviors, and create psychological safety for professional sharing.

The specific leadership approaches that most effectively promote collaboration may vary by context. In urban settings with larger staffs, distributed leadership structures may be essential for facilitating collaboration across departments and grade levels. In rural settings with smaller staffs, the principal's direct involvement in collaborative activities may be more feasible and impactful.

7.2. Collaboration as a Foundation for Innovation

Research demonstrates strong connections between collaborative practices and innovative capacity. Relational trust and collaborative work have been identified as essential catalysts for school innovation. When teachers collaborate effectively, they create opportunities for knowledge sharing, critical reflection, and collective experimentation that support innovative practice.

The relationship between collaboration and innovation is bidirectional. While collaboration provides the social infrastructure for innovation, innovative initiatives often create new collaborative opportunities and demands. Leaders must manage this dynamic relationship, ensuring that collaborative structures support innovation while innovative efforts strengthen collaborative capacity.

7.3. Contextual Moderation of Leadership Effects

The effectiveness of different leadership approaches in fostering collaboration and innovation appears to be moderated by contextual factors. Hallinger (2018) argues that successful leadership is both responsive to and shaped by the organizational context. The importance of leadership for context, where leaders adapt their approaches to address specific environmental needs and constraints, has been emphasized by researchers.

In urban contexts, leadership may need to address the complexity of large organizations, diverse stakeholder demands, and bureaucratic requirements while leveraging available resources and networks. In rural contexts, leadership may need to compensate for resource limitations, build external connections, and capitalize on community relationships and organizational flexibility.

VIII. RESEARCH GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite substantial research on educational leadership, teacher collaboration, and innovation, significant gaps remain that warrant attention in future studies.

8.1. Contextual Research Gap

While substantial research exists on educational leadership in general, comparative studies examining leadership across distinct geographical contexts remain limited. Most studies focus either on urban or rural settings separately, with few direct comparisons of how leadership practices and their effects might vary between these contexts. The contextual factors that moderate the relationship between leadership approaches and outcomes such as teacher collaboration and innovation are insufficiently understood, particularly in developing countries and culturally diverse settings.

8.2. Methodological Research Gap

Existing research often relies heavily on self-report measures, which may introduce bias and limit validity. Many studies employ cross-sectional designs that capture a single point in time rather than examining dynamic processes. There is a notable lack of mixed-methods research that combines quantitative measures with qualitative insights into how leadership processes unfold across contexts. Longitudinal studies tracking the development of collaborative and innovative practices over time are particularly needed.

8.3. Theoretical Research Gap

While various leadership theories have been applied to educational settings, there is limited theoretical work examining how different leadership approaches interact with specific contextual factors. Existing models often assume universal applicability without adequately accounting for contextual variations. Furthermore, there is insufficient theoretical development regarding the mechanisms through which leadership influences collaborative and innovative practices across different settings.

8.4. Practical Knowledge Gap

Despite recognition of the importance of contextually responsive leadership, there is limited practical knowledge about which specific leadership practices most effectively foster collaboration and innovation in different settings. School leaders lack evidence-based guidance on adapting their approaches to address the unique opportunities and constraints of urban or rural contexts. This gap is particularly problematic given increasing policy emphasis on educational innovation and teacher collaboration.

8.5. Recommendations for Future Research

Future research should address these gaps through several approaches. First, comparative studies examining leadership practices and outcomes across urban and rural contexts are needed, employing rigorous sampling strategies and contextual measures. Second, longitudinal designs tracking the development of collaborative and innovative practices over time would enhance understanding of causal relationships. Third, mixed-methods approaches combining quantitative assessment of leadership effects with qualitative exploration of mechanisms would provide richer insights. Fourth, research in diverse national and cultural contexts would enhance the generalizability of findings.

IX. IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICE AND POLICY

The synthesized research has important implications for educational practice and policy across multiple levels.

9.1. Implications for School Leaders

School leaders should recognize that effective leadership is contextually responsive. Rather than applying universal approaches, leaders should assess the specific opportunities and constraints of their settings and adapt their practices accordingly. Building collaborative structures, fostering psychological safety, and supporting innovation require attention to local conditions and relationships.

Leaders should also recognize the interconnected nature of collaboration and innovation. Efforts to promote one should be aligned with efforts to promote the other, recognizing that collaborative practices provide the social foundation for innovation while innovative initiatives can stimulate new forms of collaboration.

9.2. Implications for Leadership Development

Leadership development programs should prepare leaders for contextually responsive practice. This includes developing skills in contextual analysis, adaptive leadership, and reflective practice. Programs should expose prospective leaders to diverse educational contexts and provide opportunities to learn from leaders in both urban and rural settings.

Leadership development should also emphasize the relational dimensions of leadership, including building trust, fostering collaboration, and supporting professional learning. These interpersonal capabilities are essential for creating the conditions that support teacher collaboration and innovation.

9.3. Implications for Educational Policy

Policymakers should recognize that effective educational improvement strategies must account for contextual variations. Policies that assume universal applicability may be ineffective or even counterproductive in different settings. Support for educational leadership, teacher collaboration, and innovation should be designed with flexibility for contextual adaptation.

Policies should also address the resource and capacity disparities between urban and rural schools that may constrain leadership effectiveness. This includes ensuring equitable access to professional development, technological resources, and external partnerships that support collaboration and innovation.

X. CONCLUSION

This review has examined the complex interrelationships between leadership styles, teacher collaboration, and educational innovation across urban and rural school contexts. The analysis reveals that while substantial research exists on each of these topics individually, understanding of their interconnections across different contexts remains limited.

The theoretical frameworks examined, including transformational leadership, distributed leadership, situational leadership, social capital, and innovation diffusion, provide complementary perspectives on how leadership influences

organizational processes and outcomes. Research consistently demonstrates the importance of leadership in creating conditions that foster collaboration and innovation, though the specific approaches that work best may vary across contexts.

The urban-rural educational divide presents distinct challenges and opportunities for school leaders. Urban schools may benefit from greater resources and networks but face challenges of complexity and diversity. Rural schools may benefit from closer community ties and organizational flexibility but face resource and isolation constraints. Effective leadership requires adaptation to these contextual realities.

Significant research gaps remain, particularly regarding comparative studies across contexts, longitudinal examinations of leadership processes, and practical guidance for contextually responsive leadership. Addressing these gaps will require rigorous research employing diverse methods and attention to the varied contexts in which educational leadership unfolds.

Understanding the contextually responsive leadership approaches that effectively foster collaboration and innovation regardless of setting is essential for promoting educational equity and excellence. This review contributes to this understanding while highlighting the need for continued research that can inform evidence-based leadership practice across diverse educational environments.

REFERENCES

Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.

Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.

Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology, 94*, S95–S120.

Fullan, M. (2007). *The new meaning of educational change* (4th ed.). Teachers College Press.

Hallinger, P. (2005). Instructional leadership and the school principal: A passing fancy that refuses to fade away. *Leadership and Policy in Schools, 4*(3), 221–239.

Hallinger, P. (2018). Bringing context out of the shadows of leadership. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 46*(1), 5–24.

Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. H. (2010). Collaborative leadership and school improvement: Understanding the impact on school capacity and student learning. *School Leadership and Management, 30*(2), 95–110.

Harris, A. (2013). *Distributed leadership matters: Perspectives, practicalities, and potential*. Corwin Press.

Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K. H. (1969). Life cycle theory of leadership. *Training & Development Journal, 23*(5), 26–34.

Leithwood, K., Harris, A., & Hopkins, D. (2020). Seven strong claims about successful school leadership revisited. *School Leadership and Management, 40*(1), 5–22.

Robinson, V. M., Lloyd, C. A., & Rowe, K. J. (2008). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: An analysis of the differential effects of leadership types. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 44*(5), 635–674.

Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.

Ronfeldt, M., Farmer, S. O., McQueen, K., & Grissom, J. A. (2015). Teacher collaboration in instructional teams and student achievement. *American Educational Research Journal, 52*(3), 475–514.

Spillane, J. P. (2006). *Distributed leadership*. Jossey-Bass.

Vangrieken, K., Dochy, F., Raes, E., & Kyndt, E. (2015). Teacher collaboration: A systematic review. *Educational Research Review, 15*, 17–40.