INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE RESEARCH STUDIES (IJELRS)
(Open Access, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal)
ISSN Online: 3049-1894 ISSN Print
EDU SCHOOL
ijelrs.com

Different World, Same Planet: Nature Moulding Mankind in Amitav Ghosh's The Hungry Tide

M K Neeraj, Research Scholar, Department of English Language and Literature Kongu Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.
K Prabha, Assistant Professor, Department of English Language and Literature, Kongu Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

Article information
Received: 14th December 2024
Received in revised form: 22nd January 2025
Accepted: 19th February 2025
Available online: 20th March 2025
Volume:2
Issue:1
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15294674

Abstract
Amitav Ghosh's *The Hungry Tide* explores the complex relationships between human lives and the wild natural environment of the Sundarbans through the varied perspectives of its characters. Sundarbans becomes more than just a background; it acts as an active participant and a force that can moulds the characters. Piya Roy sees the Sundarbans as a site of scientific study and a sanctuary for endangered Irrawaddy dolphins. Kanai Dutt's intellectual detachment is shattered by nature's raw, unpredictable power. For Fokir, the illiterate fisherman, nature embodies spirituality, survival, and ancestral wisdom, while Marxist scholar Nirmal romanticizes the Sundarbans as a stage for revolutionary ideals. Through these contrasting perspectives, Amitav Ghosh explores the tension between ecological balance and human agency, underscoring the precariousness of life in a landscape ruled by cyclonic storms and relentless tides, where nature's dominance challenges human understanding and aspirations. Amitav Ghosh explores in great detail how societal, cultural, and individual history influence how people interact with their surroundings. Ghosh shows how the natural world reshapes human identities and priorities, inviting a deeper reflection on the interdependence between humanity and the natural world.

Keywords:- Nature, Eco-Criticism, Perspectives, Environment, Identity.

Introduction

Sundari (a mangrove species) ban (forest) the amalgamation of these two words is a UNESCO World heritage site. Sundarbans is spanning over 10,000 square kilometres across India and Bangladesh. Sundarbans is at the confluence of the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers. It is a maze of islands, mudflats, and tidal waterways where the lines separating land and water are constantly blurred by the tides. The Sundarbans is famous for its biodiversity

in terms of ecology, it is the home of iconic species like the endangered Irrawaddy dolphin, the saltwater crocodile, and the Bengal tiger. In Amitav Ghosh's novel The Hungry Tide, the Sundarbans appears as a symbolic link between the natural and human worlds. However, this continually changing environment is also dangerous, marked by soil erosion, saline intrusion, and the constant threat of apex predators, creating a landscape where adaptability and resilience are necessary for survival.

Culturally, Sundarbans also a prime example of human-nature interdependence. It is shaped by many communities whose existence was harmonized with the caprices of the tides. Ghosh has portrayed these community with the character Fokir, in The Hungry Tide, who sails through the rivers and tidal waves using the ancestral knowledge passed through generations. They follow the ancestral traditions where they follow rituals to please the Bonbibi, a forest deity who would protect from tiger attacks which reflects a spiritual dialogue with the environment. Honey collectors, or mawalis, goes into the deep mangroves of Sundarbans during spring, risking encounters with tigers to harvest honey—a practice that is embedded in both economic necessity and cultural identity. These traditions emphasize a worldview where nature is not a resource to be dominated but a sentient force demanding worship and reply from the humans.

When historically analysed Sundarbans has been a frontline of geopolitical and socio-ecological warfare. The extraction of timber disturbed the ecological balance of the land was disturbed by the Britishers with their colonial forestry policies. The conflict between preservation and livelihood is central to the broader postcolonial fight over the resource equity and environmental justice. Postcolonial governance that exists now is still feeling this legacy, and often continues to prioritize conservation agendas such as Project Tiger — that marginalize local communities, as the violent eviction of Bengali refugees in the 1979 Morichjhapi massacre, a historical trauma which Ghosh weaves into his narrative, illustrates. The conflict between preservation and livelihood is central to the broader postcolonial fight over the resource equity and environmental justice.

In The Hungry Tide, Ghosh shows the Sundarbans is just not a protagonist, it is an active, almost mythic force that shapes human destinies. The region’s ecological volatility mirrors the novel’s thematic currents: the clash between scientific rationality (embodied by Piya, the cetologist) and indigenous epistemologies (represented by Fokir), and the fraught interplay of Marxist idealism (Nirmal’s revolutionary fervour) with ecological reality. The Sundarbans becomes a microcosm of the Anthropocene, where climate change, displacement, and biodiversity loss converge, demanding a reimagining of human-nature relationships.

Ghosh portraits the duality of the region, its capacity to destroy and nurture at the same time. Sundarbans also acts as a living entity that moulds human resilience, memory and identity. In this liminal zone of tides and tigers, the Sundarbans appears as an important agency unfolding the drama of ecological and postcolonial survival.

In The Hungry Tide, the Sundarbans emerges as an example of ecocritical frameworks that emphasize the "more-than-human" (David Abram) and critique Enlightenment-era hierarchies privileging rationality over ecological intuition. Nature’s characters like Irrawaddy dolphins, Bengal tigers, and tortuous waterways defy human control, expressing what Timothy Morton terms as the "ecological weird"—entities that disrupt anthropocentric narratives.

Aim of the Paper

This paper seeks to analyse how nature transcended its role as a passive setting in the beginning then became an active force that shapes human lives ideology and social ecological realities. By looking the Sundarbans under the scope as both literal and metaphorical actor the study demonstrates how Amitav Ghosh has presented it mangrove's anthropocentric paradigms and reimagines human-nature relationships. Piya, Kanai and Fokir was forced to question the

beliefs about the world. Piya always relied on science for answers and understanding, Kanai's Urban logic helped to understand and analyse everything around him. Traditional wisdom was Fokir's source of knowledge. The paper aims to study breaking of these fixed ideas about "control' and "knowlege" by the wild and unpredictable environment. Also studies how the mangroves become a teacher to the characters to confront loss, realise their identity and know their limits.

Theoretical Framework and Methodology

Understanding how tidal mangroves act as both a physical and metaphysical force that deconstructs the epistemological frameworks of its central characters.. This section outlines the critical lenses and methodological approaches that guide our analysis of these contrasting narrative styles, drawing from eco-criticism, post-colonial studies and environmental humanities.

Theoretical Framework

Theoretical frameworks employed are eco-criticism, post-colonial studies and environmental humanities. Lawrence Buell's concept of the "environmental imagination," reveals the examining of nature as a protagonist in the literary narrative. Timothy Morton's "ecological thought," explains interconnectedness and collapse of human nature binaries helps to understand how the Sundarbans asserted agencies through storms, tides and biodiversity. The critiques of western scientific hegemony are analysed through Donna Haraway's "situated knowledges" to show the juxtaposition between Piya's cetology and Fokir's indigenous wisdom. The study considers mainly two ideas Ecocriticism and lived experience Ecocritical theory helps to critically examine how far is the human arrogance towards nature. Lived experience helps to analyse the character arc where the characters' beliefs where reshaped.

Lawrence Buell's work *The Environmental Imagination* (1995) expresses literary ecocriticism by stating that nature is not a static stage but it is an active participant in narrative construction. In Amitav Ghosh's *The Hungry Tide* it resonates when the Sundarbans emerges as a volatile protagonist. Buell's declaration that the non-human world "impinges on human lives" is dramatized through the Sundarbans' unpredictable tides, which command the rhythms of survival. The novel reflects Buell's framework by refusing to relegate nature to metaphor; instead, cyclones and tigers become narrative agents, their caprices shaping plot's course.

Ghosh's textual ecosystem can be further explained by Timothy Morton's *The Ecological Thought* (2010). Morton's term "mesh" gives an idea of a web of interdependence dissolving human-nature binaries which is embodied in characters like Fokir whose syncretic relationship with the Sundarbans defies Cartesian logic. The novel's climactic cyclone, which dissolves boundaries between land and sea, mirrors Morton's vision of ecological entanglement. The Sundarbans, as a "mesh" of waterways and human histories, becomes a site where ecological and cultural collapse converge—a motif reminiscent of Rob Nixon's "slow violence" (2011), where environmental degradation unfolds across generations.

Close Reading of Piya Roy

From being an American Indo cetologist to a woman who became conservationist Piya Roy's journey in the novel is remarkable. The transformative shift from scientific objectivity to an embodied relationship to nature reflects Donna Haraway's critiques of "detached". Haraway says that prioritizing empirical data over situated, contextual knowledge is necessary and valid. However, her encounter with Fokir, whose intuitive understanding of the Sundarbans and its tides surpasses verbal communication defies her assumptions.

Piya is fascinated by Fokir's empirical knowledge on how he can anticipate dolphin movements through non-verbal cues, such as observing tidal patterns and bird behaviours. Piya

realises the limitations of technocratic science in decoding ecological complexities. By novel's end, Piya embodies Haraway's "situated knowledges," recognizing that true ecological understanding requires humility and reciprocity.

Piya, being the curious cetologist she is, uses her tools like hydrophones, GPS tracker, and statistical models enters the Sundarbans. Piya's initial approach and methodology was what Donna Haraway critiques as the "god trick" of disembodied objectivity. A belief in science's capacity to master nature through quantification (Haraway). Piya considered Fokir as an illiterate boatman and as a guide who would take her to places she wants. She considered Fokir's non-verbal navigation as primitive, asserting: *"Data doesn't lie. Instincts do"* (Ghosh 112). But the point of view changed when Sundarbans attacks her Cartesian frameworks. The sudden tidal surge made her all technology and tools useless: *"The river had its own grammar, one that refused to be parsed into sonar frequencies or Cartesian coordinates"* (Ghosh 127). Her positivist certainty collapsed with this event. The ecosystem's refusal to conform to her "grids and algorithms" (Ghosh 131) forces her to confront the hubris of reducing ecological complexity to data points, a critique echoed in Vandana Shiva's *Monocultures of the Mind* (1993), which argues that Western science erases pluralistic ways of knowing.

Piya was forced to adopt the idea of feminist eccritics term "situated knowledges" in the Sundarbans. "Situated knowledges" is a mode of understanding rooted in reciprocity and sensory engagement (Haraway, 1988). By observing Fokir's ability to *"read the river's skin—the flick of a bird's wing, the tremor in the mangroves—as though it were a living text"* (Ghosh 215), Piya identifies the limitations of her tools. *"The dolphins weren't in the data sheets; they were in the way the water rippled when the tide turned"* (Ghosh 241).

Ghosh with "embodied cartography" (p. 312) juxtaposes Piya's cetology with Fokir's traditional knowledge. The Sundarbans becomes a co-creator of knowledge even though it was a furious destroyer earlier, demanding Piya's immersion in what Timothy Morton terms the "mesh" of ecological interdependence (Morton).

The Irrawaddy Dolphins was in the role as a non-human agency as epistemic challenge. Earlier in the novel, Piya viewed nature and dolphin as a material for study: *"Their clicks and whistles [are] just noise unless I can quantify them"* (Ghosh 94). After being understanding the nature more with Fokir her views change as: *"They circled the boat, their bodies slicing the water in arcs that seemed to map the tides themselves. It wasn't a language she could transcribe—it was a conversation"* (Ghosh 287). The dolphins' refusal to conform to her acoustic models mirrors the Sundarbans' broader resistance to human control. Piya realises that survival in the Anthropocene requires readaptation to non-human agencies.

After the incidents towards the end of the novel Piya's identity is forever intertwined with the Sundarbans' ecological mesh. In a revelatory moment, she reflects: *"The forest had rewritten her. She no longer belonged to maps; she belonged to the mud, the tides, the way the dolphins rose and fell with the moon"* (Ghosh 402). This transformation aligns with Rob Nixon's concept of "slow violence" (2011), where nature reshape human subjectivities across time. The Sundarbans, through its "active indifference" (Ghosh 390), dismantles Piya's illusions of scientific sovereignty, positioning her as a participant within—not a master of—the mangrove's "tangled web" (Ghosh 402).

Close Reading of Fokir

Fokir is portrayed as a silent fisherman and also as a personification of the Sundarbans' ecological agency by Amitav Ghosh. *"He knew the tides by the ache in his bones, the storms by the salt in the wind, the dolphins by the way the water shivered"* (Ghosh 172). It exemplifies how good Fokir was in reading the ecosystem to understand the unpredictability of Sundarbans. According to Tim Ingold's "wayfaring" a habitant (here Fokir) develops a knowledge system forged by the tactile engagement and movement with environment. While guiding Piya through

the disastrous creek Fokir steers not by sight but by *"the pull of the current against his oar, the whisper of mudbanks dissolving under the keel"* (Ghosh 215).

Respect for Bonbibi, the forest deity worshipped by Fokir and his community shows how ecological agency mediate through myth. While facing the tiger Fokir was silently murmuring the hymns to Bonbibi :*"He stood still, murmuring Bonbibi's hymn, as if the forest itself had pressed a pause on time"* (Ghosh 291).Also a relational ethics that recognizes the sovereignty of the Sundarbans is reflected in his quiet prayers before entering the jungle, which are described as "*a finger tracing the air, drawing the goddess's name in a language older than words*" (Ghosh 159).

Fokir is from a community where the humans refuse to dominate nature, instead seeking coexistence. The tiger, as both predator and divine agent of Bonbibi, embodies the Sundarbans' impulsive moral order, one that demands humility rather than conquest. His care for nature and the entanglement is visible when they go to collect honey. *"He left half the comb untouched, a tithe to the forest. 'They remember,' he told Piya, though she didn't understand"* (Ghosh 238). It could be understood with Anna Tsing's notion of "collaborative survival" (Tsing), where human and non-human entities co-constitute an ecosystem.

Comparing Fokir to Kanai and Piya, Fokir is absolute contrast to Kanai's character. Kanai is man of verbosity. When Kanai scornfully asserts, *"Words are all we have,"* Fokir's response is a silence that *"swallowed Kanai's words like the mud swallows footprints"* (Ghosh 89). For him and other characters forest are different entities. His silence is a resistance and a refusal to translate the forest into a commodified data or resource. What shaped Fokir's subjectivity, and how he was both a participant and progeny of the novel is because of the influence of the environment on him. Ghosh made Fokir the son of nature who was nurtured, raised and could understand the slightest change. Through Fokir Ghosh teaches survival depends upon entanglement, not domination.

Close Reading of Nirmal

Nirmal is portrayed as a disillusioned Marxist poet/ historian of Morichjhapi massacre who helped to protect the Sundarbans to a small extent. Through his journals Nirmal gives a note on hoe the forest was a witness and survivor of the historical violence. He also reveals how the tidal country and its agents like wind, flood and cyclone destabilize human narratives of progress and control.

"The mudflats were a tabula rasa, waiting for the imprint of a just society" (Ghosh 148). The lines shows that Nirmal has seen Sundarbans, its communities and nature as a blank slate for revolutionary praxis. The Sundarbans turned into a spectral force during the uprising. Still the nature has resisted his anthropocentric idealism. During the uprise the forest acted as a non-biased agent. *"The river did not take sides; it swallowed homes and corpses with the same indifference"* (Ghosh 274). Even though the Sundarbans were an archive of trauma after the massacre, its seen that the forest preserved evidence of state violence. *"The mud holds their bones; the mangroves whisper their names with every tide"* (Ghosh 274). Rob Nixon's concept of "slow violence" (2011) re-emerges to shape Nirmal's mind into a confessional state and realisation of real political oppression. A poetic lament could be seen in his writings — *"I thought myself a chronicler, but the mud writes in a language I cannot decipher"* (Ghosh 276)— which mirrors a crisis in his mind about his representation and identity.

In *"Elegy for Morichjhapi,"* he writes: *"The forest is a chorus of drowned voices / each tide a breath, each root a vein"* (Ghosh 271). Nirmal through these lines conveys his realisations of dissolved boundaries between organic and inorganic, past and present.

Close Reading of Kanai Dutt

Kanai introduces as a polyglot translator from Delhi who was forced to travel to Sundarbans because his uncle Nirmal has left his diaries and journals to him. The man took pride in his intellectual way of thinking and urban lifestyle. He belittled the behaviour, practices and judging the civic sense of the people in the rural parts of Sundarbans. Later his intellectualism collides with ecological empiricism. His rooted beliefs and anthropocentric confidences dismantle when nature unleased its fury upon them.

Kanai's arc begins with a colonial mindset where language is a tool of domination. He succeeded in talking his way to Piya but dismisses Fokir's silence as intellectual poverty. His remark was *"Words are the only seawalls we have against the chaos of the world"* (Ghosh 89). He knew his mastery over multiple language so he thought he would survive any situation. His arrogance towards Piya's jargons and Fokir's non-verbal navigation reflects *Logocentrism (*Derrida 291)-the privileging of speech/writing as the locus of meaning. But the bubble burst when he confronted the tiger *"The beast's growl was a syntax that could not be parsed. My words dissolved like sugar in the monsoon"* (Ghosh 291). Sundarbans resisted linguistic colonisation at this moment. Through its predator-prey dynamics the mangroves changed Kanai's anthropocentric views. The nature obliterated and taught Kanai about beliefs and judgements. The novel's climates parallels Spivak's concept of epistemic violence (Spivak 325), where subaltern forces disrupt colonial knowledge systems. *"The pages fluttered like terrified birds, ink bleeding into the rain. The storm was rewriting my story in a language of mud and salt"* (Ghosh 325). The nature yet again humbled humans and rewrote narratives to be told.

Kanai's companionship with Fokir started on the wrong foot. Kanai saw Fokir as merely an illiterate fisher man/ boat driver. When Fokir navigates a labyrinthine creek without speech, Kanai initially mocks his "primitive" methods but later concedes: *"He spoke the river's language—not with words, but with the tilt of his head, the tension in his oar"* (Ghosh 215).

Kanai's this realization echoes Tim Ingold's theory of "enskillment" (Ingold), where knowledge emerges through sensory engagement with the environment. Fokir's silence was louder than anything Kanai came across. His silence was shaped by the Sundarbans' tides and tigers. It becomes a critique of Kanai's logocentric hubris. The Sundarbans, thus teaches Kanai that *"some truths are written in mud, not dictionaries"* (Ghosh 33).

Conclusion

The Hungry Tide thus positions the Sundarbans not as a passive plot but as a salient force that influences most of the characters. It destabilised by revealing fragility of human nature and characteristics in the fury and confrontations. Piya, Kanai, Fokir, and Nirmal were people with different attitude towards nature and its role. Their political ideology, scientific objectivity and defiance were erased. The Nature with its brutality and beauty forces the characters to shed their cocoon. Piya starts to trust her guts; Kanai admits his vulnerabilities and Fokir becomes the symbol that nature always wins. Ghosh's message is clear; Stop pretending humans are in charge. ad Sundarbans—with its beauty and brutality—forces Piya, Kanai, and Fokir to shed their old selves. Piya learns to trust her gut, Kanai admits his vulnerability, and Fokir's death reminds us that nature always wins in the end. Ghosh's message is clear: in a world of climate crises, we must stop pretending we're in charge. Nature's capacity to humble, reshape and outlast human ambition is portrayed by Ghosh. Ghosh gives the message of urging a shift from extraction to entanglement.

Works Cited

Barad, Karen. *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning*. Duke University Press, 2007.

Buell, Lawrence. *The Environmental Imagination: Thoreau, Nature Writing, and the Formation of American Culture*. Harvard University Press, 1995.

Chakrabarty, Dipesh. *The Climate of History in a Planetary Age*. University of Chicago Press, 2021.

DeLoughrey, Elizabeth. "Tidalectics: Imagining an Oceanic Worldview through Art and Science." *Caribbean Literature and the Environment: Between Nature and Culture*, edited by Elizabeth DeLoughrey, Renée K. Gosson, and George B. Handley, University of Virginia Press, 2005, pp. 110–135.

Derrida, Jacques. *Of Grammatology*. Translated by Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1976.

Escobar, Arturo. *Designs for the Pluriverse: Radical Interdependence, Autonomy, and the Making of Worlds*. Duke University Press, 2018.

Ghosh, Amitav. *The Hungry Tide*. HarperCollins, 2004.

Gómez-Barris, Macarena. *The Extractive Zone: Social Ecologies and Decolonial Perspectives*. Duke University Press, 2017.

Guha, Ramachandra. *Environmentalism: A Global History*. Oxford University Press, 2000.

Haraway, Donna. "Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective." *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*, Routledge, 1991, pp. 183–201.

Ingold, Tim. *The Perception of the Environment: Essays on Livelihood, Dwelling and Skill*. Routledge, 2000.

Mignolo, Walter. *The Darker Side of Western Modernity: Global Futures, Decolonial Options.* Duke University Press, 2011.

Moore, Jason W. "The Capitalocene, Part I: On the Nature and Origins of Our Ecological Crisis." *Anthropocene or Capitalocene? Nature, History, and the Crisis of Capitalism*, edited by Jason W. Moore, PM Press, 2016, pp. 1–33.

Morton, Timothy. *The Ecological Thought*. Harvard University Press, 2010.

Neimanis, Astrida. *Bodies of Water: Posthuman Feminist Phenomenology*. Bloomsbury Academic, 2017.

Nixon, Rob. *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Harvard University Press, 2011.

Shiva, Vandana. *Monocultures of the Mind: Perspectives on Biodiversity and Biotechnology*. Zed Books, 1993.

Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.

Tsing, Anna Lowenhaupt. *The Mushroom at the End of the World: On the Possibility of Life in Capitalist Ruins*. Princeton University Press, 2015.