



## Fragmented Selves and Unstable Worlds: Introduction to Modernism and Postmodernism in English Literature

Jisha Alex

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Marian College Kuttikkanam (Autonomous), India.

---

### Article information

Received: 5<sup>th</sup> February 2026

Volume: 3

Received in revised form: 9<sup>th</sup> March 2026

Issue: 2

Accepted: 13<sup>th</sup> May 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63090/IJELRS/3049.1894.0037>

Available online: 20<sup>th</sup> June 2026

---

### Abstract

Modernism and postmodernism represent two of the most transformative and enduring literary movements in the history of English literature, each arising from a profound sense that inherited artistic forms and philosophical certainties were inadequate to the experience of their historical moment. This theoretical and literature review offers an accessible introduction for undergraduate students to the defining characteristics, theoretical foundations, and landmark texts of both movements, tracing the continuities and ruptures between them and situating each within its broader cultural and historical context. Drawing on the critical frameworks of theorists including Friedrich Nietzsche, Sigmund Freud, Ferdinand de Saussure, Jean-Francois Lyotard, Jean Baudrillard, and Linda Hutcheon, and examining literary works by Virginia Woolf, James Joyce, T. S. Eliot, Samuel Beckett, John Fowles, Angela Carter, Don DeLillo, and Ali Smith, the review explores how modernist and postmodernist literature engages with questions of consciousness, time, language, representation, history, and the instability of the self. The paper argues that these movements, far from being confined to a particular historical period, continue to shape the formal and philosophical preoccupations of contemporary English literature, and that a working understanding of their key concepts and texts is indispensable for any serious student of the field.

---

**Keywords:-** Modernism, Postmodernism, Stream of Consciousness, Intertextuality, Metafiction, Grand Narratives, Fragmentation, Unreliable Narrator, Virginia Woolf, James Joyce, Beckett, Lyotard, Baudrillard, Hutcheon, Simulacrum

---

### Introduction

Sometime in or around December 1910, Virginia Woolf famously declared, human character changed. The precise date may be playfully approximate, but the underlying conviction was deeply serious: that the experience of modernity, encompassing the industrial revolution, the collapse of religious certainty, the new sciences of the mind, the upheavals of the First World War, and the dizzying acceleration of social and technological change, had produced a fundamentally different kind of human consciousness, one that the inherited conventions of the nineteenth-century novel, with its omniscient narrators, linear plots, and confident moral resolutions, were wholly unprepared to render (Woolf 4). This conviction that form must follow experience, that new literary techniques were not merely fashionable experiments but urgent necessities, is the animating force of literary modernism.

Postmodernism, which emerged with particular force in the literary culture of the 1960s and 1970s, inherits many of modernism's formal experiments while subjecting its deepest assumptions to a further, more radical skepticism. Where modernism typically retained a faith in the possibility of subjective depth, authentic experience, and the artist's capacity to impose aesthetic order on the chaos of modern life, postmodernism questions the very foundations on which these beliefs rest. If modernism is characterized by the anxiety of a world that has lost its certainties, postmodernism is characterized by a more thoroughgoing playfulness, or in its darker registers a more thoroughgoing despair, about the possibility of certainty, meaning, or stable representation itself.

This review is written for undergraduate students encountering these movements for the first time, and aims to provide both conceptual clarity about the distinguishing features of each and a sense of the rich literary works in which their formal and philosophical commitments are most powerfully realized. The review proceeds in five sections. The first examines the historical and intellectual contexts from which modernism emerged. The second surveys its key formal and thematic features through selected literary texts. The third traces the theoretical frameworks that define postmodernism. The fourth explores postmodernist fiction through close attention to key texts. The fifth considers the relationship between these movements and the literature of the present.

## **Historical and Intellectual Contexts of Modernism**

### **The Crisis of Modernity**

Literary modernism did not emerge in an intellectual vacuum. Its formal and philosophical preoccupations were shaped by a cluster of intellectual revolutions that, in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, fundamentally destabilized the assumptions about reality, selfhood, knowledge, and meaning that had underpinned Western culture since the Enlightenment. Friedrich Nietzsche's declaration that God is dead, made in *The Gay Science*, announced the collapse of the transcendent framework within which human life had previously found its meaning and its moral coordinates, leaving what Nietzsche called the horizon of nihilism, an experience of groundlessness that modernist literature would explore with extraordinary intensity (Nietzsche 181; Bradbury and McFarlane 20).

Sigmund Freud's development of psychoanalysis, and above all his theorization of the unconscious as a vast, structured realm of repressed desires, anxieties, and memories that shape conscious life without being accessible to it, provided modernist writers with both a new model of the mind and a new set of literary problems. If the self is not a unified, transparent, rationally self-governing agent but a site of contradiction, divided against itself and opaque to its own depths, then the literary techniques of psychological realism, which had long assumed access to characters' inner lives through omniscient narration, were fundamentally inadequate (Freud 36; Ellmann and Feidelson 9). Similarly, Henri Bergson's philosophy of time, which distinguished between measured, clock time and the subjective duration of lived experience, provided a philosophical basis for the modernist preoccupation with interior temporality and the non-linear unfolding of consciousness.

The cataclysm of the First World War, in which over sixteen million people died in conditions of industrial-scale brutality, was perhaps the single most decisive event in shaping the modernist sensibility. The war shattered not only individual lives but the entire framework of progressive optimism, belief in civilization's advance, and confidence in the meaningfulness of sacrifice and heroism that had sustained European culture. T. S. Eliot's *The Waste Land*, with its fragmentary form, its collage of voices and literary allusions, its image of a civilization reduced to a heap of broken images, is the defining poetic response to this rupture, and it

remains the most technically ambitious and culturally resonant poem in the English modernist canon (Rainey 2).

## **Key Features and Texts of Literary Modernism**

### **Stream of Consciousness and the Interior Life**

The most immediately recognizable formal innovation of literary modernism is the technique known as stream of consciousness, a term borrowed from William James's *Principles of Psychology* and applied to the literary attempt to render the continuous, associative, non-linear flow of thought and sensation as it occurs in the mind before it is organized by the conventions of rational discourse. In fiction, this technique typically involves the abandonment or radical modification of omniscient narration in favor of a close, often syntactically unconventional rendering of a character's mental activity, including perceptions, memories, fantasies, and the free associative movements between them (Humphrey 2).

Virginia Woolf is the supreme practitioner of stream of consciousness in the English modernist tradition. In *Mrs Dalloway*, the narrative moves with extraordinary fluidity between the minds of its characters, particularly Clarissa Dalloway and the shell-shocked veteran Septimus Warren Smith, whose experiences of a single London day are linked not by plot but by thematic resonance and the subterranean connections of consciousness. Woolf's sentences enact the quality of attention she seeks to render: long, tentative, alive to the peripheral and the ephemeral, tracking the way in which a moment of perception opens onto memory, association, and the whole depth of a lived life (Lee 331). *To the Lighthouse* extends this technique to an exploration of time itself, using the structural device of the bracket, in which ten years of off-page events are compressed into a few parenthetical pages, to dramatize the modernist sense of time as discontinuous, irreversible, and indifferent to human significance.

### **Joyce and the Limits of Language**

If Woolf's modernism is lyrical and elegiac, James Joyce's is encyclopedic and formally audacious to the point of scandalizing even his literary contemporaries. *Ulysses*, Joyce's reimagining of Homer's *Odyssey* transposed to a single day in Dublin on June 16, 1904, is the most technically experimental novel in the English language, deploying a different narrative style in virtually every chapter, from heroic pastiche to catechism to dramatic script to the celebrated unpunctuated interior monologue of Molly Bloom's closing soliloquy. Each stylistic register is not merely ornamental but epistemologically significant: Joyce's multiplicity of forms enacts the modernist conviction that there is no single, authoritative perspective from which reality can be captured, only a series of partial, situated, and style-dependent visions (Ellmann 6).

*Finnegans Wake* pushes the possibilities of literary language to their absolute limit, constructing a text written in a portmanteau language of Joyce's own invention that simultaneously incorporates dozens of languages, mythological systems, and historical references into a single verbal fabric representing the dreaming consciousness of its sleeping protagonist. *The Wake* is, in a sense, the logical terminus of the modernist project: if conventional language is inadequate to the richness of human experience, then the answer is not to use it more carefully but to reinvent it entirely. For undergraduate readers, *The Wake* poses an extreme but clarifying case: it forces the question of what we expect literature to do and how far formal experimentation can go before it dissolves the very communicative act on which literature depends (Bishop 3).

### **Eliot, Fragmentation, and the Mythical Method**

T. S. Eliot's contribution to literary modernism extends beyond his poetry to his critical prose, which articulated many of the theoretical principles that underpin the movement. In his

1919 essay *Tradition and the Individual Talent*, Eliot argued against the Romantic valorization of individual poetic personality, proposing instead that the genuine poet achieves impersonality through immersion in the literary tradition, using the past not as a backdrop but as an active medium through which contemporary experience can be organized and given significance (Eliot 17). This principle informs *The Waste Land's* dense network of allusions to literary, mythological, and anthropological sources, which Eliot and his editor Ezra Pound assembled into a formally fragmentary but thematically unified meditation on cultural sterility, spiritual emptiness, and the possibility of redemption.

In his review of Joyce's *Ulysses*, Eliot identified what he called the mythical method: the use of a continuous parallel between contemporaneity and antiquity as a way of controlling, of ordering, of giving shape and significance to the immense panorama of futility and anarchy which is contemporary history (Eliot 483). This methodological principle, shared by both Eliot and Joyce, reflects the modernist impulse to find, through art and through the literary archive, an ordering principle adequate to the disorder of modernity. It also carries within it a tension that would become increasingly apparent to postmodernist writers and theorists: the question of whether such ordering is a genuine achievement of meaning or an aestheticist fantasy that imposes a false coherence on irreducible chaos.

## **Theoretical Frameworks of Postmodernism**

### **Lyotard and the Incredulity Toward Metanarratives**

Jean-Francois Lyotard's *The Postmodern Condition* provided postmodernism with its most influential theoretical definition. Lyotard characterized postmodernity as a condition marked by incredulity toward metanarratives, that is, toward the grand, totalizing stories through which modernity had legitimized knowledge and organized collective life: the Enlightenment narrative of reason and progress, the Marxist narrative of class struggle and emancipation, the Christian narrative of salvation and divine purpose. The postmodern condition, in Lyotard's account, is one in which these metanarratives have lost their credibility, leaving in their place a plurality of local, provisional, and incommensurable language games, none of which can claim universal validity.

For literature, Lyotard's framework implies a shift away from the grand ambitions of modernism, with its aspiration to forge out of the fragmented materials of modern culture new myths, new orders, new sources of meaning, toward a literature that is more frankly provisional, playful, and skeptical of its own ordering impulses. Postmodernist fiction characteristically refuses the consolations of resolution, refuses to stabilize the multiple perspectives it sets in play, and frequently draws attention to its own status as a constructed narrative rather than a transparent window onto reality. This reflexivity, or metafictionality, is perhaps the most distinctively postmodernist of literary devices (Waugh 2).

### **Baudrillard and the Simulacrum**

Jean Baudrillard's theory of the simulacrum, developed across a series of works including *Simulacra* and *Simulation*, offers another foundational framework for postmodernist literary and cultural analysis. Baudrillard argued that in contemporary consumer culture, the distinction between reality and its representations has collapsed: signs no longer refer to an underlying reality but only to other signs, creating a hyperreality in which copies precede and generate their ostensible originals. The map, in Baudrillard's famous inversion of Borges, now precedes the territory; the simulation is more real than the reality it was once supposed to represent (Baudrillard 1).

This analysis has obvious relevance to the cultural moment of postmodernist literature, produced in the era of television, advertising, mass media, and the saturation of everyday life

by representations that shape, rather than reflect, how reality is experienced. Don DeLillo's *White Noise*, set in a world where characters seem to experience media representations of reality more vividly than reality itself, and where the most spectacular local disaster is an Airborne Toxic Event that is simultaneously a genuine environmental catastrophe and a media spectacle, is perhaps the most sustained literary engagement with Baudrillardian hyperreality in the American postmodernist canon (Osteen 4).

### **Hutcheon and Historiographic Metafiction**

Linda Hutcheon's concept of historiographic metafiction, developed in *A Poetics of Postmodernism*, provides a particularly useful framework for understanding the relationship between postmodernist literature and history. Hutcheon identified a significant strand of postmodernist fiction that is at once intensely self-conscious about its own status as a constructed narrative and deeply engaged with historical material, using fictional techniques not to escape history but to interrogate the processes by which historical knowledge is constructed, narrated, and authorized. In historiographic metafiction, the past is neither simply recovered nor simply invented but approached through a sustained awareness that all historical accounts are narratives, shaped by the perspectives, interests, and discursive conventions of their narrators (Hutcheon 5).

John Fowles's *The French Lieutenant's Woman*, which Hutcheon discusses as a founding example of the mode, explicitly foregrounds its own narrative construction by interrupting its Victorian historical narrative with authorial intrusions that draw attention to the gap between the Victorian world and the contemporary reader, between the characters' self-understanding and the historical forces that shape their lives, and ultimately between the different possible endings that the same story might support. Fowles's multiple endings are not a failure of narrative resolution but a principled refusal to pretend that history has only one story to tell, and a formal enactment of the postmodernist conviction that all endings are impositions (Fowles 317).

## **Key Texts of Postmodernist Fiction in English**

### **Beckett and the Literature of Exhaustion**

Samuel Beckett occupies a position at the intersection of modernism and postmodernism that resists easy categorization. His writing is continuous with the modernist tradition in its formal experimentation and its concern with consciousness and language, yet it radicalizes both to a point where modernism's residual faith in the aesthetic resolution of existential difficulty is definitively abandoned. In *Waiting for Godot* and the *Trilogy*, Beckett creates works in which narrative forward motion is replaced by stasis, repetition, and the progressive decomposition of both character and language. The Unnamable's famous closing lines, *I can't go on, I'll go on*, enact in miniature the Beckettian predicament: an existence that cannot find meaning or resolution yet cannot abandon the compulsion to articulate itself (Beckett 418; Kenner 19).

John Barth's concept of the literature of exhaustion, which described the postmodernist situation as one in which the possibilities of literary form have been exhausted, and in which the only honest response is to make that exhaustion itself the subject and material of new literary work, provides a useful framework for understanding Beckett's achievement (Barth 29). Beckett does not attempt to rebuild the narrative architectures that modernity has made impossible; he inhabits their ruins, and discovers there, against all expectation, a literature of extraordinary power and strange consolation.

### **Angela Carter and the Subversive Fairy Tale**

Angela Carter's *The Bloody Chamber* represents one of the most brilliantly executed

examples of postmodernist intertextuality in British fiction. The collection revisits the classic fairy tales of Perrault and the Brothers Grimm, retelling them from feminist perspectives that expose and subvert the patriarchal ideologies embedded in their apparently innocent narrative structures. Bluebeard, Beauty and the Beast, Little Red Riding Hood, and Puss in Boots are all subjected to Carter's transforming imagination, which combines Gothic atmosphere, erotic intensity, and sharp political intelligence to produce stories that are simultaneously seductive and deeply unsettling (Bristow and Broughton 6).

Carter's method exemplifies several defining features of postmodernist fiction: the use of pre-existing narrative forms as material to be reworked rather than as conventions to be respected; the deliberate mixing of high and low cultural registers; the foregrounding of the constructed, ideological character of apparently natural or universal stories; and the use of formal playfulness as a vehicle for serious political critique. For Hutcheon, this kind of critical intertextuality, which simultaneously inhabits and subverts its source material, is characteristic of the best postmodernist writing: it refuses both the nostalgic recovery of tradition and the simple rejection of the past, choosing instead the more difficult strategy of working from within inherited forms to expose and transform them (Hutcheon 11).

### **Ali Smith and the Postmodernist Present**

The Scottish writer Ali Smith represents one of the most significant contemporary inheritors of the postmodernist tradition, combining formal experimentation with urgent political engagement in ways that demonstrate the continuing vitality of both modernist and postmodernist techniques for twenty-first-century literary writing. Her *Seasonal Quartet*, beginning with *Autumn*, written and published in the immediate aftermath of the Brexit referendum, uses the postmodernist strategies of fragmentation, intertextuality, and narrative self-consciousness to explore the fracturing of British identity and the competing historical narratives that Brexit brought violently to the surface (Smith 14).

Smith's formal strategies, including her use of multiple time frames, her disruption of linear chronology, her incorporation of literary and artistic allusions, and her exploitation of the fragmented, discontinuous rhythms of contemporary media, are recognizably postmodernist in their genealogy. Yet they are deployed not in the service of aesthetic self-referentiality alone but in the service of a humane and politically committed engagement with the lived experience of historical rupture. In this, Smith's work demonstrates that postmodernism is not merely a historical period style but a set of formal and philosophical resources that remain available and productive for writers grappling with the instabilities of the present.

### **Continuities and Ruptures: From Modernism to Postmodernism**

Having examined both movements in some detail, it is worth pausing to consider more explicitly the relationship between them, which is one of both significant continuity and genuine rupture. At the level of formal technique, postmodernism inherits many of modernism's innovations: the abandonment of omniscient narration, the use of multiple perspectives, the disruption of linear chronology, the foregrounding of language as a medium rather than a transparent vehicle. At the level of philosophical orientation, however, the two movements are separated by a significant shift in sensibility regarding the possibility of meaning, order, and aesthetic redemption.

Modernism, even at its most formally fragmented, typically retains an aspiration toward depth, authenticity, and the possibility of aesthetic wholeness. Eliot's *The Waste Land* is fragmented, but its fragments are shored against the speaker's ruins, gesturing toward the possibility of spiritual recovery. Woolf's *Mrs Dalloway* is formally innovative, but the moments of epiphanic clarity it achieves, the moments when Clarissa feels the world's beauty with

absolute precision, are experienced as genuine, however fleeting. In postmodernism, by contrast, this aspiration toward depth and authenticity is itself subjected to skepticism. As Fredric Jameson argued, postmodernism marks the waning of affect and the replacement of the modernist concern with psychological depth by a culture of surface, pastiche, and the recycling of historical styles without their original historical content (Jameson 10).

Yet this account risks overstating the distinction. The best postmodernist writers, including Beckett, Carter, and Smith, are not simply ironic or nihilistic; their formal playfulness is always in the service of genuine ethical and political concerns. And the best modernist writers are frequently more skeptical of their own ordering impulses than simple accounts of the movement suggest. The relationship between modernism and postmodernism is perhaps best understood not as a simple chronological succession but as a dialogue, a set of questions and counter-questions that continue to animate the most challenging and significant English literature of the present century (Connor 2; Lodge 45).

### **Discussion: Why Modernism and Postmodernism Still Matter**

For undergraduate students of English literature, the question of why these movements still matter, why it is necessary to engage with the formal complexities and theoretical frameworks surveyed in this review rather than simply reading the books in a more relaxed and intuitive way, is both legitimate and important. The answer lies in the fundamental claim that both movements make: that the form of a literary work is not incidental to its meaning but constitutive of it. To read *Mrs Dalloway* as though it were a conventional Victorian novel with some idiosyncratic punctuation is to miss what it is doing and why. To read *The French Lieutenant's Woman* without attending to its narrative self-consciousness is to encounter only the surface of a text whose meaning is generated precisely by the gap between its surface and its reflection on that surface.

Understanding modernism and postmodernism also equips students to read contemporary literature more perceptively. A great deal of the most significant fiction, poetry, and drama being written today is in dialogue, conscious or not, with the formal and philosophical legacies of these movements. When Kazuo Ishiguro uses an unreliable narrator who gradually reveals the gap between self-presentation and reality in *The Remains of the Day*, he is working within a tradition of narrative self-consciousness that begins with modernism. When Colson Whitehead uses the conventions of genre fiction to explore historical atrocity in *The Underground Railroad*, he is deploying postmodernist strategies of generic hybridity and historical revision in the service of urgent contemporary political critique (Whitehead 11).

Finally, both movements raise questions of enduring philosophical importance: about the nature of consciousness and its relationship to language; about the possibility of historical knowledge and the ethics of historical representation; about the relationship between aesthetic experience and political engagement; and about the conditions under which literature can claim to tell the truth about a world that seems, with each passing decade, more resistant to stable narration. These are not merely academic questions but questions that go to the heart of what it means to live as a thoughtful, critically aware human being in the twenty-first century.

### **Conclusion**

This review has traced the central theoretical frameworks and literary preoccupations of modernism and postmodernism in English literature, from the intellectual crises that generated the modernist impulse through the formal experiments of Woolf, Joyce, and Eliot, to the postmodernist skepticism articulated by Lyotard, Baudrillard, and Hutcheon, and the literary works of Beckett, Carter, DeLillo, and Smith. What unites these writers and thinkers across their considerable differences is a shared conviction that the relationship between language, consciousness, and reality is more unstable, more mediated, and more politically

consequential than the conventions of literary realism had assumed. This conviction, and the formal experiments it generated, constitute one of the most important and productive inheritances that the twentieth century bequeathed to the literature of the present.

For undergraduate students engaging with these movements for the first time, the initial experience of disorientation produced by stream-of-consciousness narration, metafictional self-reference, or the deliberate refusal of narrative resolution is not a sign that something has gone wrong but a sign that something is going right: the text is doing what it set out to do, challenging the habits of reading that more conventional fiction has established, and demanding a more active, more self-aware, and ultimately more rewarding kind of literary engagement. The effort that these texts require is the measure of what they offer: a literature adequate, as Woolf hoped, to the full and irreducible complexity of human experience.

## Works Cited

- Barth, John. "The Literature of Exhaustion." *The Atlantic Monthly*, vol. 220, no. 2, 1967, pp. 29–34.
- Baudrillard, Jean. *Simulacra and Simulation*. Translated by Sheila Faria Glaser, U of Michigan P, 1994.
- Beckett, Samuel. *Trilogy: Molloy, Malone Dies, The Unnamable*. Calder Publications, 1994.
- . *Waiting for Godot*. Faber and Faber, 1952.
- Bishop, John. *Joyce's Book of the Dark: Finnegans Wake*. U of Wisconsin P, 1986.
- Bradbury, Malcolm, and James McFarlane, editors. *Modernism: A Guide to European Literature 1890–1930*. Penguin Books, 1976.
- Bristow, Joseph, and Trev Lynn Broughton, editors. *The Infernal Desires of Angela Carter: Fiction, Femininity, Feminism*. Longman, 1997.
- Carter, Angela. *The Bloody Chamber and Other Stories*. Gollancz, 1979.
- Connor, Steven. *The Cambridge Companion to Postmodernism*. Cambridge UP, 2004.
- DeLillo, Don. *White Noise*. Viking Press, 1985.
- Eliot, T. S. "Tradition and the Individual Talent." *The Egoist*, vol. 6, nos. 4–5, 1919, pp. 54–55.
- . "Ulysses, Order, and Myth." *The Dial*, vol. 75, 1923, pp. 480–483.
- . *The Waste Land*. Boni and Liveright, 1922.
- Ellmann, Richard. *James Joyce*. Oxford UP, 1959.
- Ellmann, Richard, and Charles Feidelson, editors. *The Modern Tradition: Backgrounds of Modern Literature*. Oxford UP, 1965.
- Fowles, John. *The French Lieutenant's Woman*. Jonathan Cape, 1969.
- Freud, Sigmund. *The Interpretation of Dreams*. Translated by James Strachey, Basic Books, 1955.
- Humphrey, Robert. *Stream of Consciousness in the Modern Novel*. U of California P, 1954.
- Hutcheon, Linda. *A Poetics of Postmodernism: History, Theory, Fiction*. Routledge, 1988.
- Ishiguro, Kazuo. *The Remains of the Day*. Faber and Faber, 1989.
- James, William. *Principles of Psychology*. Vol. 1, Henry Holt, 1890.
- Jameson, Fredric. *Postmodernism, or, the Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism*. Duke UP, 1991.
- Joyce, James. *Finnegans Wake*. Faber and Faber, 1939.
- . *Ulysses*. Shakespeare and Company, 1922.
- Kenner, Hugh. *Samuel Beckett: A Critical Study*. Grove Press, 1961.
- Lee, Hermione. *Virginia Woolf*. Chatto and Windus, 1996.
- Lodge, David. *The Modes of Modern Writing: Metaphor, Metonymy, and the Typology of Modern Literature*. Arnold, 1977.
- Lyotard, Jean-François. *The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge*. Translated by Geoff Bennington and Brian Massumi, U of Minnesota P, 1984.
- McHale, Brian. *Postmodernist Fiction*. Methuen, 1987.
- Nietzsche, Friedrich. *The Gay Science*. Translated by Walter Kaufmann, Vintage Books, 1974.
- Osteen, Mark. *American Magic and Dread: Don DeLillo's Dialogue with Culture*. U of Pennsylvania P, 2000.
- Rainey, Lawrence. *The Annotated Waste Land with Eliot's Contemporary Prose*. Yale UP, 2005.
- Smith, Ali. *Autumn*. Hamish Hamilton, 2016.

Waugh, Patricia. *Metafiction: The Theory and Practice of Self-Conscious Fiction*. Methuen, 1984.

Whitehead, Colson. *The Underground Railroad*. Doubleday, 2016.

Woolf, Virginia. "Mr Bennett and Mrs Brown." *The Hogarth Essays*, vol. 1, Hogarth Press, 1924, pp. 1–24.

---. *Mrs Dalloway*. Hogarth Press, 1925.

---. *To the Lighthouse*. Hogarth Press, 1927.