



## Power, Language, and the Human Condition: Shakespeare and Renaissance Literature

Sheeba V Rajan

Assistant Professor, Department of English, St. Xavier's College for Women (Autonomous), Aluva, India.

### Article information

Received: 6<sup>th</sup> February 2026

Received in revised form: 10<sup>th</sup> March 2026

Accepted: 15<sup>th</sup> May 2026

Available online: 20<sup>th</sup> June 2026

Volume: 2

Issue: 3

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63090/IJELRS/3049.1894.0038>

### Abstract

Shakespeare and Renaissance literature constitute the bedrock of the English literary tradition, representing a period of extraordinary artistic, intellectual, and cultural transformation that continues to shape how we read, interpret, and value literary texts today. This theoretical and literature review offers an accessible introduction for undergraduate students to the defining features, critical frameworks, and major works of the English Renaissance, with particular emphasis on the drama and poetry of William Shakespeare and his contemporaries. Drawing on the critical approaches of New Historicism, feminist literary criticism, postcolonial theory, and psychoanalytic criticism, and examining key works including *Hamlet*, *Othello*, *The Tempest*, *King Lear*, *A Midsummer Night's Dream*, the *Sonnets*, and selected works by Christopher Marlowe, Edmund Spenser, and Mary Wroth, the review explores how Renaissance literature engages with questions of power, gender, race, selfhood, language, and the natural order. The paper argues that the richness and complexity of Shakespeare and his contemporaries are inseparable from the turbulent historical moment that produced them, and that the critical frameworks developed over the past four decades have fundamentally transformed and deepened our understanding of what Renaissance literary texts are doing and why they continue to matter.

**Keywords:-** Shakespeare, Renaissance Literature, New Historicism, Feminist Criticism, Postcolonial Theory, Psychoanalytic Criticism, *Hamlet*, *Othello*, *The Tempest*, *King Lear*, Marlowe, Spenser, Early Modern Drama, *Sonnets*, Power and Gender.

### Introduction

To study Shakespeare and the literature of the English Renaissance is to encounter the single most consequential body of writing in the history of the English language. The period roughly spanning the accession of the Tudor monarchs in 1485 to the closing of the theatres in 1642 produced not only the plays of William Shakespeare but an extraordinary range of dramatic, poetic, and prose writing that transformed the literary and intellectual landscape of England and, through the global reach of the British Empire and the subsequent spread of English as a world language, the literary cultures of much of the world. The Renaissance, from the Italian *rinascimento* or rebirth, designates the broad cultural movement through which classical Greek and Roman learning was recovered, translated, and transformed by European

humanist scholars, producing new ways of thinking about the human individual, the natural world, political authority, and the purposes of art (Greenblatt 1; Burckhardt 2).

For undergraduate students encountering this literature for the first time, the sheer scale and complexity of the field can be daunting. Shakespeare alone produced 37 plays, 154 sonnets, and two narrative poems across a career spanning roughly two decades, and the critical literature generated by these works is now so vast that no scholar can claim complete command of it. Yet this breadth is also one of the field's greatest resources: Shakespeare's writing engages with virtually every dimension of human experience, from the most intimate registers of desire, grief, and self-knowledge to the largest questions of political legitimacy, colonial encounter, and cosmological order, and it does so with a linguistic precision and emotional intelligence that has sustained its relevance across four centuries of cultural change (Bloom 1; Bate 7).

The past four decades have witnessed a revolution in how scholars read and interpret Renaissance literature. The rise of New Historicism, feminist criticism, postcolonial theory, and psychoanalytic approaches has fundamentally transformed the field, opening up dimensions of Renaissance texts that earlier formalist and biographical approaches had left unexplored. This review introduces both the major literary works of the period and the critical frameworks through which they are most productively read today. It is organized around five thematic sections: the historical and cultural context of Renaissance literature; New Historicism and the politics of the text; gender, sexuality, and the body; race, otherness, and the colonial encounter; and the inner life, selfhood, and psychoanalytic approaches. Each section moves between theoretical exposition and literary illustration, modelling the kind of theoretically informed close reading that Renaissance studies demands.

## **Historical and Cultural Contexts of the English Renaissance**

### **Humanism and the Recovery of Classical Antiquity**

The intellectual revolution known as Renaissance humanism, which reached England most fully in the late fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries, centered on the recovery and intensive study of classical Greek and Latin texts, and on the application of the rhetorical and moral wisdom contained in those texts to the conduct of human life. Humanist educators such as Erasmus and Thomas More argued that a thorough grounding in classical literature was the foundation of both moral virtue and effective civic participation, and the grammar schools of Tudor England, including the school in Stratford-upon-Avon that Shakespeare attended, provided their pupils with an intensive education in Latin rhetoric, poetry, and history that left deep traces on every dimension of their literary production (Baldwin 9; Grafton and Jardine 3).

The consequences of humanist education for Renaissance literary culture are profound and pervasive. The rhetorical training at the heart of the humanist curriculum, with its emphasis on arguing both sides of a question, on the power of language to move, persuade, and construct reality, and on the intimate connection between eloquence and moral seriousness, shaped the very texture of Shakespeare's dramatic language, with its extraordinary capacity to give voice to radically opposed positions with equal conviction and intensity. Hamlet's celebrated soliloquies, for instance, are the product of a mind formed by humanist rhetorical training: they enact, in dramatic form, the humanist exercise of arguing both sides of the question, turning every issue over and over in the light of competing perspectives without arriving at a stable resolution (Altman 12).

### **The Theatre, the Court, and Political Culture**

The English Renaissance theatre was not a simple mirror of its society but a complex cultural institution shaped by multiple and often conflicting forces: the commercial imperatives of the playing companies, the ideological pressures of royal patronage and state censorship, the

physical and social geography of the playhouses, and the diverse expectations of an audience that ranged from the illiterate groundlings standing in the yard to the educated gentry and nobility occupying the galleries (Gurr 1; Mullaney 4). This institutional complexity is directly relevant to literary interpretation: plays written for commercial performance within a heavily regulated political culture must negotiate between entertainment and instruction, between flattery and critique, between the officially sanctioned and the provocatively transgressive.

The relationship between the Elizabethan and Jacobean theatre and the monarchy is particularly significant. Shakespeare's history plays, for instance, engage directly with the legitimacy of royal authority, the dangers of succession disputes, and the moral responsibilities of kingship in ways that had obvious relevance to the political anxieties of Elizabeth I's reign, with its long uncertainty over the succession, and to the very different political culture of James I's court, with its theories of divine right monarchy and its troubled relationships with Parliament (Greenblatt 15; Dollimore and Sinfield 2). Reading the history plays without attention to this political context is to miss a crucial dimension of their meaning.

## **New Historicism and the Politics of the Renaissance Text**

### **Greenblatt and Self-Fashioning**

New Historicism, the critical movement most associated with Stephen Greenblatt and his colleagues at the University of California, Berkeley, transformed Renaissance literary studies in the 1980s by insisting on the inseparability of literary texts from the broader historical discourses and power relations within which they were produced and consumed. Greenblatt's foundational study *Renaissance Self-Fashioning* (1980) examined how a series of Renaissance writers and the protagonists they created, from Thomas More to Shakespeare's Iago, constructed their identities in relation to the ideological forces of their culture: state power, religious authority, colonial encounter, and the constraining norms of gender and social hierarchy. Greenblatt argued that Renaissance selfhood was not a pre-given interiority waiting to be expressed but a performance constructed through and against the available cultural scripts of the period (Greenblatt 2).

This argument has far-reaching implications for how we read Shakespeare's characters. Rather than treating figures such as Hamlet, Iago, or Prospero as autonomous psychological individuals whose actions spring from an inner essence we can understand through empathy or identification, New Historicism directs attention to the cultural codes, power relations, and ideological investments that shape their self-presentations and the ways in which the plays themselves participate in the circulation of power in early modern England. Greenblatt's concept of cultural poetics, which examines literary texts alongside a wide range of non-literary documents, anecdotes, and cultural practices, aims to reconstruct the complex social energy that Renaissance plays absorbed and transformed (Greenblatt 6; Veenser 9).

### **Dollimore and Radical Tragedy**

Jonathan Dollimore's *Radical Tragedy* (1984) offered a different but complementary New Historicist intervention, arguing that Renaissance tragedy is far more politically subversive than earlier humanist criticism had suggested. Where traditional interpretations of *King Lear*, for instance, read the play as an affirmation of providential order, with Lear's suffering redeemed by the revelation of his essential humanity and the restoration of moral clarity, Dollimore argued that the play in fact systematically dismantles the ideological supports of the Elizabethan world picture: the belief in a divinely sanctioned natural and social order in which every creature has its proper place and deviation from that order is punished by cosmic retribution (Dollimore 189).

For Dollimore, King Lear's world is one in which suffering is not redemptive but meaningless, in which the connections between virtue and reward, between suffering and wisdom, are systematically severed, and in which the social order is revealed as a human construction maintained by power rather than a divine dispensation expressing transcendent justice. This reading draws attention to dimensions of the play's ending that more consolatory interpretations tend to minimize: the deaths of Cordelia and Lear in the final act, arriving after the defeat of the wicked and the apparent restoration of order, have struck audiences and readers as profoundly, almost unbearably unjust, and this unjustness is, Dollimore argues, precisely the point (Dollimore 190; Bradley 11).

## **Gender, Sexuality, and the Body in Renaissance Literature**

### **Feminist Approaches to Shakespeare**

Feminist literary criticism transformed Shakespeare studies in the 1970s and 1980s by drawing systematic attention to the representation of women in Shakespeare's plays, the ideological construction of femininity in early modern culture, and the ways in which patriarchal structures shape the dramatic worlds of the texts. Critics such as Juliet Dusinberre, Lisa Jardine, and Coppelia Kahn examined how Shakespeare's female characters negotiate the severely constrained social roles available to women in early modern England, and how the plays both reflect and complicate the ideological norms of their culture (Dusinberre 3; Jardine 9).

A central concern of feminist Shakespeare criticism has been the problem of female speech and silence. In a culture that idealized the silent, obedient, and chaste woman, the speaking woman was always potentially transgressive, and many of Shakespeare's most compelling female characters, including Beatrice in *Much Ado About Nothing*, Portia in *The Merchant of Venice*, and Lady Macbeth, derive their dramatic power from the tension between their articulateness, intelligence, and agency and the cultural norms that seek to contain and silence them. The tragic heroines, including Desdemona, Ophelia, and Cordelia, are equally illuminating in a different register: their destruction or marginalization can be read as the plays' enactment of what patriarchal culture does to women who resist or fail to conform to its expectations (Kahn 4; Neely 7).

### **Mary Wroth and Women Writing in the Renaissance**

Any account of gender in Renaissance literature must address not only how women are represented in texts written predominantly by men but the extraordinary, and for too long neglected, body of writing produced by women writers of the period. Mary Wroth, niece of Sir Philip Sidney and cousin of Mary Herbert, Countess of Pembroke, was one of the most significant literary figures of the Jacobean era, and her sonnet sequence *Pamphilia to Amphilanthus* (1621) was the first published sonnet sequence in English by a woman. Wroth's sequence is a sustained and technically accomplished engagement with the conventions of the Petrarchan love lyric, but it reworks those conventions from a female perspective, replacing the male sonneteer's idealization and objectification of a silent female beloved with a female speaker whose desire is active, complex, and articulate (Wroth 17; Waller 8).

Wroth's prose romance *The Countess of Montgomery's Urania* (1621), the first work of prose fiction published in English by a woman, similarly appropriates and transforms a male-dominated genre, the chivalric romance, to explore the experience of women in a culture that treats them primarily as objects of exchange between men. The *Urania* caused a considerable scandal on its publication, as contemporaries recognized portraits of living court figures in its fictional characters, and Wroth was pressured to withdraw it from circulation. Her willingness to publish at all, and the sophistication with which she engaged with the dominant literary

forms of her culture, make her an indispensable figure for any complete account of Renaissance literary culture (Roberts 3).

### **Queer Readings and the Sonnets**

The emergence of queer theory as a critical methodology in the 1990s opened new perspectives on the representation of desire, sexuality, and gender in Renaissance literature. Shakespeare's Sonnets, addressed to a young man in the first 126 poems and to a dark-complexioned woman in the remaining sequence, have long attracted attention for the intensity and physical specificity of their expressions of love for the young man, expressions that resist easy categorization within the binary sexual categories of modern culture. Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick's *Between Men* (1985) provided an influential framework for analyzing the homosocial bonds and homoerotic tensions that structure many Renaissance literary texts, while Bruce Smith's *Homosexual Desire in Shakespeare's England* (1991) examined the range of discourses through which same-sex desire was understood and negotiated in early modern culture (Sedgwick 2; Smith 15).

The Sonnets remain among the most personally revealing and most interpretively elusive texts in the English literary tradition. Their investigation of desire, time, beauty, jealousy, self-disgust, and the relationship between art and mortality is conducted with an intellectual and emotional intensity unmatched in the lyric tradition, and their refusal to resolve the tensions they generate, between ideal love and physical appetite, between constancy and betrayal, between the desire to immortalize and the knowledge of inevitable loss, is part of what makes them inexhaustible objects of critical attention (Booth 14; Vendler 3).

### **Race, Otherness, and the Colonial Encounter**

#### **Othello and the Construction of Race**

The question of race in Shakespeare's plays has attracted substantial critical attention since the emergence of postcolonial theory in the 1980s and 1990s. *Othello* (1603) is the text around which this debate has most intensively crystallized, both because it places a Black African man at the center of a Shakespearean tragedy and because the play's engagement with questions of racial difference, cultural identity, and the politics of the Other is extraordinarily complex and resistant to simple interpretation. Ania Loomba's *Shakespeare, Race, and Colonialism* (2002) provided a comprehensive account of how early modern discourses of race, religion, and national identity shaped the cultural meanings of figures such as Othello and Shylock, arguing that these plays participate in the construction of the racial categories that would be used to justify colonial expansion and slavery in the centuries that followed (Loomba 4).

*Othello's* tragedy cannot be understood apart from the racial ideology of the culture that produced it. Iago's manipulation of Othello works precisely by exploiting the anxieties that early modern European culture attached to racial difference: the association of Blackness with sexuality, irrationality, and danger, and the fear that the Black man who has risen to a position of authority in white Venetian society represents a transgression of the natural order. What makes the play so remarkable, and so disturbing, is the way in which Othello himself internalizes these representations, coming to see himself through Iago's eyes and thereby enacting, in the catastrophe of his self-destruction, the deadly consequences of internalizing the colonizer's definitions of one's own identity (Fanon 110; Neill 7).

#### **The Tempest, Colonialism, and Caliban**

*The Tempest* (1611) has become one of the most contested texts in the Shakespearean canon precisely because of its extraordinary relevance to questions of colonialism, resistance, and cultural encounter. Written at the moment of England's earliest colonial ventures in the

New World, the play dramatizes the encounter between Prospero, the dispossessed Duke of Milan who has established dominion over a remote island, and Caliban, the island's original inhabitant, whom Prospero has enslaved and reduced to servitude. The play has been read as a meditation on the nature and limits of colonial authority, on the relationship between civilization and barbarism, and on the violence that underlies Prospero's apparently benevolent paternalism (Greenblatt 24; Hulme 89).

Caliban's famous speech, in which he tells Prospero, You taught me language, and my profit on't / Is I know how to curse, has been adopted as an emblem of colonial subjection by writers and thinkers across the Caribbean, Africa, and Latin America, including Aime Cesaire, whose 1969 play *Une Tempete* rewrites Shakespeare's play from Caliban's perspective, reclaiming the colonized figure as a symbol of resistance and self-determination (Cesaire 3; Retamar 15). The history of these rewritings is itself a significant dimension of *The Tempest's* cultural life, demonstrating how Renaissance texts continue to generate new meanings in contexts their authors could not have foreseen and providing undergraduate students with a compelling example of how postcolonial reading can transform our understanding of canonical literary works.

## **Selfhood, the Inner Life, and Psychoanalytic Approaches**

### **Hamlet and the Problem of Interiority**

No text in the English literary tradition has generated more critical commentary on the nature of selfhood and inner life than *Hamlet* (1601). The play's eponymous protagonist is widely regarded as the first character in English literature to possess what we would recognize as a fully realized modern subjectivity: a self that is opaque even to itself, that experiences its own desires and motivations as mysterious, that oscillates between action and paralysis, between certainty and radical doubt, and that reflects on its own reflection in a seemingly endless loop of self-consciousness (Greenblatt 77; de Grazia 3).

The psychoanalytic tradition has naturally been drawn to *Hamlet* with particular intensity. Sigmund Freud himself suggested that *Hamlet's* paralysis in the face of the command to revenge his father's murder could be explained by an Oedipal rivalry: *Hamlet* cannot kill Claudius because Claudius has done what *Hamlet* himself unconsciously desired, that is, killed his father and taken his mother. Ernest Jones elaborated this reading into a full psychoanalytic study (Jones 60), and subsequent psychoanalytic critics including Janet Adelman have explored the play's extraordinary engagement with fantasies of maternal power, masculine identity, and the corrupting effects of female sexuality on male self-possession. Adelman's reading of *Hamlet* in *Suffocating Mothers* (1992) argues that the entire play is structured by *Hamlet's* terror of being contaminated by Gertrude's sexuality, and that his misogyny is a defense against the dissolution of masculine boundaries that female desire represents (Adelman 11).

### **Marlowe and the Overreaching Hero**

To understand the full range of Renaissance dramatic writing, students must look beyond Shakespeare to his most significant theatrical contemporary and rival, Christopher Marlowe. Marlowe's plays, written in the decade before his death in 1593 at the age of twenty-nine, introduced to the English stage the figure of the overreaching hero: a protagonist of extraordinary intellectual gifts and boundless ambition who strains against the limits of human possibility and is destroyed by his own transgression. In *Doctor Faustus* (c. 1592), Tamburlaine (1587), and *The Jew of Malta* (c. 1590), Marlowe created dramatic protagonists whose desire for knowledge, power, and self-transcendence places them in irresolvable conflict with the moral and theological order of their world (Bevington and Rasmussen 9; Greenblatt 220).

Doctor Faustus is particularly significant for the light it throws on both the Renaissance celebration of human potential and its anxieties about the consequences of overreaching that potential. Faustus's bargain with Mephistopheles, trading his immortal soul for twenty-four years of magical power and unlimited knowledge, can be read as a parable about the humanist aspiration to master all knowledge and all experience, and its discontents. The play's concluding scenes, in which Faustus is dragged to hell by devils as the clock strikes midnight, have been interpreted as both a conservative endorsement of the theological limits on human ambition and as a tragedy of genuinely heroic aspiration crushed by an arbitrary and vindictive divine order (Dollimore 112; Bevington and Rasmussen 45).

### **Spenser and the Renaissance Epic**

No account of Renaissance literature would be complete without Edmund Spenser's *The Faerie Queene* (1590, 1596), the most ambitious and technically accomplished English poem of the sixteenth century and the foundational text of English epic poetry. Written as an allegory of moral virtues under the patronage of Queen Elizabeth I, whom Spenser figured as the Faerie Queene Gloriana, the poem deploys an extraordinarily rich and complex allegorical method to explore the cultivation of the virtues of holiness, temperance, chastity, friendship, justice, and courtesy in the formation of the ideal Christian gentleman (Hamilton 8; Hadfield 5).

For undergraduate students, *The Faerie Queene* presents both a formidable reading challenge and a remarkable literary experience. Spenser's invented nine-line stanza, with its intricate rhyme scheme and extended final alexandrine line, creates a musical texture of extraordinary beauty, and his narrative method, which weaves together multiple interlaced storylines in imitation of the Italian romance epics of Ariosto and Tasso, generates a dreamlike quality of perpetual deferral and digression that is quite unlike any other literary experience in English. The poem's engagement with the political mythology of Elizabethan England, its construction of a national identity built on Protestant virtue and imperial destiny, makes it an essential document of Renaissance political culture as well as one of the supreme achievements of English verse (Greenblatt 22; Hamilton 14).

### **Discussion: Why Renaissance Literature Still Matters**

Having surveyed the major critical frameworks and literary works of the English Renaissance, it is worth addressing directly the question that sometimes troubles students approaching this literature for the first time: why should we read texts written four hundred years ago in a language that is sometimes difficult to follow, about political and social worlds that seem utterly remote from our own experience? The answer has several dimensions.

First, Renaissance literature, and Shakespeare's plays above all, engage with dimensions of human experience that are genuinely and durably universal: the experience of grief and loss in *Hamlet*, the destructive power of jealousy in *Othello*, the relationship between power and corruption in *Macbeth*, the fragility of family bonds and the terror of aging in *King Lear*, the transformative power of love in the comedies and the Sonnets. These are not merely historical curiosities but living explorations of what it means to be human, and they continue to move, disturb, and illuminate readers and audiences who encounter them for the first time (Bate 7; Bloom 1).

Second, the critical frameworks through which we now read Renaissance literature have made it possible to see dimensions of these texts that earlier generations of readers missed or suppressed. The New Historicist attention to power and ideology reveals the political stakes of texts that might otherwise appear merely aesthetic; feminist and queer approaches make visible the complex workings of gender and desire that pervade the drama and poetry of the period; postcolonial readings disclose the racial and colonial ideologies embedded in texts that

have long been treated as expressions of universal human values. These critical perspectives do not diminish the plays but enrich them, adding layers of meaning and significance that were always present but not always seen (Dollimore and Sinfield 9; Loomba 12).

Third, and perhaps most importantly for students at the beginning of their literary education, the encounter with Shakespeare and his contemporaries is an encounter with the full resources of the English language at a moment of extraordinary creative vitality. The English of the Renaissance period was not a fixed or settled tongue but a language in rapid expansion, absorbing vocabulary from Latin, Italian, French, and the classical languages, developing new grammatical flexibilities, and being stretched and shaped by writers of genius to do things it had never been called upon to do before. To read Shakespeare carefully is to develop a richer, more supple, and more historically grounded relationship with the English language itself (McDonald 8; Crystal and Crystal 4).

## Conclusion

This review has introduced the major historical contexts, critical frameworks, and literary works of the English Renaissance, tracing the ways in which New Historicism, feminist criticism, postcolonial theory, and psychoanalytic approaches have transformed our understanding of Shakespeare and his contemporaries. The picture that emerges from this survey is of a literary culture of extraordinary richness and complexity, one that engaged with the most pressing questions of its own historical moment while producing texts whose relevance has proved to be far more durable than any historical moment.

For undergraduate students approaching this literature for the first time, the most important thing to understand is that the difficulty of Renaissance texts is not an obstacle to be overcome before the real encounter with the literature can begin but is itself part of the encounter. The linguistic richness, formal complexity, and ideological density of these texts are the measures of their ambition, and the effort required to read them carefully and critically is the measure of what they offer in return: an education in the full range of human possibility, rendered with a linguistic precision and imaginative generosity that has no equal in the English literary tradition.

## Works Cited

- Adelman, Janet. *Suffocating Mothers: Fantasies of Maternal Origin in Shakespeare's Plays, Hamlet to The Tempest*. Routledge, 1992.
- Altman, Joel B. *The Tudor Play of Mind: Rhetorical Inquiry and the Development of Elizabethan Drama*. U of California P, 1978.
- Baldwin, T. W. *William Shakspeare's Small Latine and Lesse Greeke*. U of Illinois P, 1944.
- Bate, Jonathan. *The Genius of Shakespeare*. Picador, 1997.
- Bevington, David, and Eric Rasmussen, editors. *Doctor Faustus: A- and B-Texts (1604, 1616)*. Manchester UP, 1993.
- Bloom, Harold. *Shakespeare: The Invention of the Human*. Riverhead Books, 1998.
- Booth, Stephen. *Shakespeare's Sonnets*. Yale UP, 1977.
- Bradley, A. C. *Shakespearean Tragedy: Lectures on Hamlet, Othello, King Lear, Macbeth*. Macmillan, 1904.
- Burckhardt, Jacob. *The Civilization of the Renaissance in Italy*. Translated by S. G. C. Middlemore, Penguin Books, 1990.
- Cesaire, Aime. *A Tempest*. Translated by Richard Miller, TCG Translations, 2002.
- Crystal, David, and Ben Crystal. *Shakespeare's Words: A Glossary and Language Companion*. Penguin Books, 2002.
- de Grazia, Margreta. *Hamlet without Hamlet*. Cambridge UP, 2007.
- Dollimore, Jonathan. *Radical Tragedy: Religion, Ideology and Power in the Drama of Shakespeare and His Contemporaries*. Harvester Press, 1984.

- Dollimore, Jonathan, and Alan Sinfield, editors. *Political Shakespeare: Essays in Cultural Materialism*. Manchester UP, 1985.
- Dusinberre, Juliet. *Shakespeare and the Nature of Women*. Macmillan, 1975.
- Fanon, Frantz. *Black Skin, White Masks*. Translated by Charles Lam Markmann, Grove Press, 1967.
- Grafton, Anthony, and Lisa Jardine. *From Humanism to the Humanities: Education and the Liberal Arts in Fifteenth- and Sixteenth-Century Europe*. Harvard UP, 1986.
- Greenblatt, Stephen. *Renaissance Self-Fashioning: From More to Shakespeare*. U of Chicago P, 1980.
- . *Shakespearean Negotiations: The Circulation of Social Energy in Renaissance England*. U of California P, 1988.
- . *Will in the World: How Shakespeare Became Shakespeare*. W. W. Norton, 2004.
- Gurr, Andrew. *The Shakespearean Stage 1574–1642*. 4th ed., Cambridge UP, 2009.
- Hadfield, Andrew. *Edmund Spenser: A Life*. Oxford UP, 2012.
- Hamilton, A. C., editor. *The Faerie Queene*. 2nd ed., Longman, 2001.
- Hulme, Peter. *Colonial Encounters: Europe and the Native Caribbean 1492–1797*. Methuen, 1986.
- Jardine, Lisa. *Still Harping on Daughters: Women and Drama in the Age of Shakespeare*. Harvester Press, 1983.
- Jones, Ernest. *Hamlet and Oedipus*. W. W. Norton, 1949.
- Kahn, Coppelia. *Man's Estate: Masculine Identity in Shakespeare*. U of California P, 1981.
- Loomba, Ania. *Shakespeare, Race, and Colonialism*. Oxford UP, 2002.
- McDonald, Russ. *Shakespeare and the Arts of Language*. Oxford UP, 2001.
- Mullaney, Steven. *The Place of the Stage: License, Play, and Power in Renaissance England*. U of Chicago P, 1988.
- Neely, Carol Thomas. *Broken Nuptials in Shakespeare's Plays*. Yale UP, 1985.
- Neill, Michael. *Issues of Death: Mortality and Identity in English Renaissance Tragedy*. Oxford UP, 1997.
- Retamar, Roberto Fernandez. *Caliban and Other Essays*. Translated by Edward Baker, U of Minnesota P, 1989.
- Roberts, Josephine A., editor. *The Poems of Lady Mary Wroth*. Louisiana State UP, 1983.
- Sedgwick, Eve Kosofsky. *Between Men: English Literature and Male Homosocial Desire*. Columbia UP, 1985.
- Shakespeare, William. *The Complete Works*. Edited by Stanley Wells and Gary Taylor, Oxford UP, 1986.
- Smith, Bruce R. *Homosexual Desire in Shakespeare's England: A Cultural Poetics*. U of Chicago P, 1991.
- Veenser, H. Aram, editor. *The New Historicism*. Routledge, 1989.
- Vendler, Helen. *The Art of Shakespeare's Sonnets*. Harvard UP, 1997.
- Waller, Gary F. *The Sidney Family Romance: Mary Wroth, William Herbert, and the Early Modern Construction of Gender*. Wayne State UP, 1993.
- Wroth, Mary. *Pamphilia to Amphilanthus*. Edited by Gary Waller, Salzburg, 1977.