



## Gender-Neutral Laws: Reforming the Legal Recognition of Identity and Relationships

Malavika J

Assistant Professor, Kerala Law College, Kerala University, Kerala, India.

### Article information

Received: 13<sup>th</sup> August 2025

Received in revised form: 22<sup>nd</sup> September 2025

Accepted: 15<sup>th</sup> October 2025

Available online: 21<sup>st</sup> November 2025

Volume: 2

Issue: 4

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17996094>

### Abstract

This paper examines the evolving landscape of gender-neutral laws and their implications for legal recognition of identity and relationships. Through a theoretical analysis of contemporary legal frameworks, this study explores how jurisdictions worldwide are reforming traditional binary gender constructs in favor of more inclusive approaches to identity recognition. The paper argues that gender-neutral legal reforms represent a fundamental shift toward self-determination principles while simultaneously creating new challenges for legal systems previously structured around binary gender categories. Key findings indicate that while progressive jurisdictions have implemented self-identification models that enhance individual autonomy and reduce discrimination, significant variations exist in implementation approaches, creating a complex international legal landscape. The analysis reveals that gender-neutral reforms impact not only individual identity recognition but also broader legal constructs including marriage, parentage, and anti-discrimination protections. This research contributes to understanding how legal systems can balance individual rights with administrative practicality while addressing concerns about maintaining legal certainty and protecting existing rights frameworks.

**Keywords:** - Legal gender recognition, gender-neutral laws, self-identification, marriage equality, human rights law.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The legal recognition of gender identity has emerged as one of the most significant human rights issues of the 21st century, fundamentally challenging traditional binary constructs that have underpinned legal systems for centuries. The movement toward gender-neutral laws represents more than administrative reform; it constitutes a paradigmatic shift in how legal systems conceptualize identity, relationships, and fundamental human rights. This transformation has accelerated dramatically in recent years, with numerous jurisdictions implementing reforms that move beyond the traditional male-female binary toward more inclusive frameworks that recognize gender diversity and individual self-determination.

The significance of this legal evolution extends far beyond identity documentation. Legal gender recognition (LGR) allows transgender and gender-diverse people to change their sex/gender marker and names on official identity documents. Inconsistencies between a person's identified gender and official documentation often create barriers that can limit a person's access to health, education, employment, and public services. These barriers have profound implications for millions of individuals worldwide who do not conform to traditional gender binaries, creating systemic disadvantages that affect every aspect of their lives.

This paper argues that the development of gender-neutral laws represents a necessary evolution of legal systems toward greater inclusivity and individual autonomy, while simultaneously creating complex challenges for traditional legal frameworks. The analysis examines how different jurisdictions have approached this transformation, the theoretical foundations underlying various approaches, and the broader implications for legal concepts of identity and relationships. Through comparative analysis of international legal developments, this research contributes to understanding how legal systems can effectively balance individual rights with administrative practicality and social cohesion.

## II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The theoretical foundations of gender-neutral legal recognition rest upon several interconnected human rights principles, primarily the concepts of human dignity, equality, and self-determination. These principles find expression in international human rights instruments and have evolved through decades of advocacy and legal development. The movement toward gender-neutral laws challenges fundamental assumptions about the role of biological characteristics in legal classification systems and raises profound questions about the relationship between law, identity, and social order.

### 2.1. Human Rights Foundation

The right to gender recognition has emerged as a fundamental human right under international law, grounded in broader principles of human dignity and equality. LGR can affirm the individual's right to self-identification and bodily autonomy, while the lack of LGR creates barriers exposing transgender and non-binary individuals to exclusion from full societal participation and to significant amounts of discrimination and violence in various areas of life. This framework positions legal gender recognition not as a privilege granted by the state, but as an inherent right that enables individuals to participate fully in society.

The theoretical underpinning of self-determination in gender identity connects to broader philosophical debates about the nature of identity itself. Unlike traditional legal approaches that viewed gender as immutable and biologically determined, contemporary human rights frameworks recognize gender identity as a fundamental aspect of human personality that should be respected and protected by law. This shift reflects a move from essentialist to constructivist understandings of gender, acknowledging that legal categories should serve human dignity rather than enforce rigid biological determinism.

### 2.2. Comparative Legal Models

Recent scholarship has identified four distinct models of legal gender recognition that reflect different theoretical approaches to balancing individual rights with administrative concerns. The article explores the different constitutional developments of the right to gender recognition and discusses their potential to protect trans and gender diverse people. These models range from highly restrictive approaches that maintain traditional binary structures to progressive frameworks that embrace self-determination and non-binary recognition.

The "binary ascriptive" model, exemplified by France and Italy, maintains traditional gender categories while allowing limited transitions under strict medical supervision. This approach reflects a medicalized understanding of gender identity that views transgender identity as a condition requiring professional validation. In contrast, the "nonbinary elective" model, demonstrated by jurisdictions like Belgium, moves toward self-determination while acknowledging gender diversity beyond the binary.

### 2.3. Rights-Based Approach

The rights-based approach to gender recognition emphasizes individual autonomy and dignity as paramount concerns, arguing that legal systems should facilitate rather than obstruct authentic self-expression. This theoretical framework draws upon established human rights principles while extending them to encompass gender identity and expression. Without legal recognition of gender identity, trans\* individuals face a greater risk of violations of their right to health and bodily autonomy. The health implications of inadequate legal recognition demonstrate how identity recognition intersects with broader human rights protections.

This approach challenges traditional state authority over identity classification, arguing that individuals are best positioned to determine their own gender identity. The theoretical justification rests upon principles of personal autonomy and the presumption that individuals should be free to express their authentic selves without unnecessary state interference. This framework has gained increasing acceptance in international human rights law, reflected in evolving jurisprudence and policy guidance from human rights bodies.

## III. ANALYSIS OF CONTEMPORARY LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS

The landscape of gender recognition laws has transformed dramatically over the past decade, with jurisdictions worldwide implementing diverse approaches to identity recognition and relationship laws. This evolution reflects varying cultural, legal, and political contexts, resulting in a complex international mosaic of legal frameworks that range from highly progressive to restrictive approaches.

### 3.1. Progressive Jurisdictions and Self-Identification Models

Several jurisdictions have implemented comprehensive self-identification models that represent the most progressive approach to gender recognition. New Zealand passed a landmark self-identification law on 9 December 2021, allowing people to change gender markers without requiring any medical or legal procedures. This model eliminates traditional barriers such as medical diagnoses, surgical requirements, or prolonged waiting periods, enabling individuals to obtain identity documents that reflect their gender identity through administrative processes.

The implementation of self-identification models has demonstrated significant benefits for affected communities. In meta-analyses, LGR was associated with less suicidal ideation (OR = 0.75; 95 % CI: 0.56–1.00, I<sup>2</sup> = 46 %) and psychological distress (e.g., OR for LGR on all versus no ID = 0.53; 95 % CI: 0.40, 0.70, I<sup>2</sup> = 17 %). These empirical findings provide strong evidence for the positive mental health impacts of accessible gender recognition, supporting theoretical arguments about the importance of legal recognition for human dignity and well-being.

Argentina has been particularly notable for its comprehensive approach, implementing the first self-identification law in Latin America. On 20 July 2021, President Alberto Fernández signed a decree (Decreto 476/2021) mandating the National Registry of Persons (RENAPER) to allow a third gender option on all national identity cards and passports, marked as an "X". This development made Argentina a pioneer in recognizing non-binary identities within official documentation, though subsequent political changes have threatened these advances.

### 3.2. Restrictive Approaches and Recent Reversals

Despite global trends toward greater recognition, significant resistance and reversals have emerged in various jurisdictions. The recent political changes in the United States exemplify how legal recognition can be vulnerable to political shifts. On January 20, 2025, after his inauguration, President Donald Trump signed an executive order instructing the federal government to recognize "only two genders, male and female," including on federal identity documents. This reversal demonstrates the fragility of progressive reforms and highlights the importance of constitutional protections for gender recognition rights.

Similar reversals have occurred in other jurisdictions, often reflecting broader political tensions around gender issues. The far right administration that came to power in 2023 is planning to withdraw this recognition in 2024 in Argentina, illustrating how political changes can threaten established rights. These developments underscore the need for robust legal protections that can withstand political volatility.

### 3.3. European Developments and Human Rights Jurisprudence

European jurisdictions have played a crucial role in developing legal frameworks for gender recognition, with the European Court of Human Rights providing important guidance on state obligations. The court's jurisprudence has evolved from restrictive interpretations that required medical intervention to more rights-based approaches that recognize dignity and autonomy concerns.

The European Court of Human Rights in *X and Y v. Romania* (2021) analysed current practice in relation to the right to gender recognition of Council of Europe member states. This analysis revealed significant variations across European states, with some maintaining restrictive requirements while others have moved toward more accessible procedures.

Germany's recent reforms exemplify the European trend toward self-identification. In April 2024, the German parliament has passed a self-identification law making it easier for individuals within Germany to legally change gender on documents. It went into legal effect on November 1, 2024. This development represents a significant shift from Germany's previously restrictive approach and demonstrates growing European consensus around self-determination principles.

## IV. CRITICAL EVALUATION OF LEGAL MODELS

The diversity of approaches to gender recognition raises important questions about the effectiveness, human rights compliance, and practical implications of different legal models. While progressive self-identification frameworks align most closely with human rights principles, they also generate concerns about administrative complexity, potential conflicts with existing legal frameworks, and social acceptance.

### 4.1. Strengths of Self-Identification Models

Self-identification models offer significant advantages in terms of human rights compliance and practical accessibility. By removing medical gatekeeping requirements, these frameworks eliminate discriminatory barriers that have historically prevented many individuals from obtaining accurate identity documents. The elimination of surgical requirements is particularly significant, as these requirements have been recognized as human rights violations. Governments and medical institutions across the globe have continued to impose forced, coercive, and medically unnecessary procedures on trans\* populations, such as mandating sterilization as a pre-condition of changing one's gender marker, a policy that is now recognized by the UN as a form of torture.

The administrative efficiency of self-identification models also represents a significant advantage. By streamlining procedures and reducing bureaucratic barriers, these frameworks enable more individuals to access legal recognition while reducing administrative costs and complexity. The positive mental health outcomes associated with accessible gender recognition provide additional evidence for the effectiveness of these approaches.

### 4.2. Challenges and Concerns

Despite their advantages, self-identification models face several challenges that require careful consideration. Administrative concerns include potential impacts on statistical data collection, particularly for purposes related to gender equality monitoring and resource allocation. Some critics argue that unrestricted self-identification could complicate efforts to track gender-based discrimination or ensure appropriate representation in gender-specific programs.

Legal complexity represents another significant challenge, particularly regarding the interaction between gender recognition laws and other legal frameworks. Issues arise in areas such as single-sex facilities, competitive sports, and gender-specific legal protections. In what could be a landmark case, the campaign group For Women Scotland has been granted permission to appeal to the UK Supreme Court a judicial review decision on the legal definition of the word 'woman'. Such cases illustrate the legal complexity that can arise when self-identification principles interact with established legal categories.

### 4.3. International Human Rights Standards

International human rights bodies have increasingly supported self-identification approaches while acknowledging the need for reasonable administrative procedures. The Yogyakarta Principles, while not legally binding, provide important

guidance on best practices for gender recognition laws. These principles emphasize the importance of accessible, transparent procedures that respect individual autonomy while maintaining appropriate legal safeguards.

The jurisprudence of the ECtHR regarding trans people was correctly analysed by scholars as having quite a prominent tendency to pathologize trans people. This historical pathologization has been increasingly criticized by human rights advocates and scholars, leading to calls for depathologized approaches that recognize gender identity as a fundamental aspect of human personality rather than a medical condition requiring treatment.

## **V. IMPLICATIONS FOR RELATIONSHIPS AND FAMILY LAW**

The reform of gender recognition laws has profound implications for family law and the legal recognition of relationships. Traditional legal frameworks for marriage, parentage, and family formation have historically relied upon binary gender categories, creating complex challenges when individuals can change their legal gender or when relationships involve non-binary individuals.

### **5.1. Marriage and Partnership Recognition**

The interaction between gender recognition and marriage laws creates particular complexity, especially in jurisdictions that restrict marriage to opposite-sex couples. Legal frameworks for determining parentage have traditionally relied on gendered concepts, but these approaches face challenges as family structures diversify. The legalization of same-sex marriage in many jurisdictions has reduced some of these conflicts, but questions remain about how marriage laws apply when one or both partners have changed their legal gender.

The global trend toward marriage equality has generally facilitated the integration of gender recognition reforms with relationship recognition. Thailand: On March 27, 2024, the Thai parliament's lower house passed a marriage equality bill, and on June 18, 2024, the upper house passed the bill into law. Thailand's King signed the marriage equality bill into law on September 24, 2024. The law went into effect on January 22, 2025. Such developments demonstrate how marriage equality and gender recognition reforms can be mutually reinforcing, creating more inclusive legal frameworks for diverse families.

### **5.2. Parentage and Family Formation**

Gender recognition reforms create particular challenges for legal frameworks governing parentage and family formation. Traditional legal concepts such as "mother" and "father" become complicated when parents have changed their legal gender or when non-binary individuals become parents. Studies show that workplace leave policies using terms like "maternity leave" and "paternity leave" create problems for parents who don't fit traditional gender categories. This example illustrates how binary gender assumptions embedded in legal frameworks can create practical difficulties for gender-diverse individuals.

Some jurisdictions have responded by adopting gender-neutral terminology in family law, replacing gendered terms with functional descriptions. This approach recognizes that legal parentage should be based upon actual relationships and responsibilities rather than gender categories. However, such reforms require comprehensive legislative review to ensure consistency across legal frameworks.

### **5.3. Impact on Same-Sex Couples**

The implementation of gender recognition laws has had complex effects on same-sex couples and LGBTQ+ communities more broadly. Findings underscore the importance of policies that advance equality for sexual and gender minorities (SGMs), as well as the importance of research exploring how policies are perceived by and impact SGM subpopulations. While many celebrate expanded recognition of gender diversity, some within LGBTQ+ communities express concerns about potential impacts on hard-won same-sex marriage rights or lesbian and gay identity categories.

These tensions reflect broader debates within LGBTQ+ communities about the relationship between sexual orientation and gender identity, and how legal frameworks can accommodate both. Research indicates that different community members may have varying perspectives on how gender recognition reforms affect their own interests and identities.

## **VI. INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVES AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS**

The global landscape of gender recognition laws reveals significant variations in approach, reflecting different legal traditions, cultural contexts, and political environments. These variations provide valuable insights into the factors that influence legal reform and the effectiveness of different approaches in promoting human rights and social inclusion.

### **6.1. Regional Patterns and Influences**

Regional patterns in gender recognition laws often reflect broader cultural and legal traditions. European jurisdictions have generally moved toward more progressive approaches, influenced by European human rights law and regional advocacy networks. Malta passed landmark legislation in 2015 protecting against medical interventions and introducing self-determination, making it a first-mover in Europe. Malta's pioneering role demonstrates how smaller jurisdictions can influence broader regional trends through innovative legal approaches.

Latin American developments have been particularly significant, with several countries implementing comprehensive self-identification laws. The Inter-American human rights system has played a crucial role in promoting these developments. A 2018 ruling by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights found the right to update official documents to conform to a person's gender identity to be protected under the American Convention on Human Rights, and required states to institute

domestic LGR procedures. This regional human rights framework has provided important legal foundation for national reforms.

## 6.2. Asian Developments and Challenges

Asian jurisdictions present a complex picture, with some countries implementing progressive reforms while others maintain restrictive approaches. In 2018, Pakistan passed a historic bill allowing people to have their self-perceived gender recognized on all official documents. This development was particularly significant given Pakistan's conservative cultural context, demonstrating that progressive gender recognition reforms can occur across diverse political environments.

However, Asian developments also illustrate the vulnerability of progressive reforms to political change and cultural resistance. The diversity of approaches across Asian jurisdictions reflects varying legal traditions, religious influences, and political systems that affect the feasibility and sustainability of reform efforts.

## 6.3. African Context and Emerging Developments

African jurisdictions have generally been slower to implement comprehensive gender recognition laws, though significant variations exist across the continent. South Africa is considered one of the most progressive countries in Africa on LGBTQIA+ legal issues. Transgender people have been able to attain LGR since 2003, although obstacles remain, such as the requirement for medical treatments (hormonal) before getting LGR approval. South Africa's relatively progressive approach reflects its post-apartheid constitutional framework that emphasizes human dignity and equality.

The limited development of gender recognition laws in many African jurisdictions reflects various factors including economic constraints, cultural resistance, and competing political priorities. However, emerging advocacy movements and international human rights pressure suggest potential for future developments in this region.

# VII. CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The rapid evolution of gender recognition laws has created new challenges and opportunities that will shape future legal developments. These challenges span technical legal issues, social acceptance concerns, and broader questions about the role of law in defining identity and relationships.

## 7.1. Political Vulnerability and Legal Safeguards

Recent political reversals in various jurisdictions highlight the vulnerability of gender recognition rights to political change. Meanwhile, in the United States, a Day One Executive Order from President Donald Trump rolled back protections for trans, nonbinary, and intersex individuals at the federal level. Legal identification was reduced to a binary male or female based solely on the sex assigned at birth. Such reversals demonstrate the importance of constitutional protections and robust legal frameworks that can withstand political volatility.

The experience of different jurisdictions suggests that comprehensive legal protections, including constitutional guarantees and international treaty obligations, provide stronger protection against political reversals than administrative policies or executive orders. This insight has important implications for advocacy strategies and legal reform approaches.

## 7.2. Emerging Legal Complexities

As gender recognition laws become more established, new legal complexities are emerging that require ongoing attention and refinement. These include questions about recognition of foreign gender recognition decisions, compatibility with international travel documents, and coordination between different levels of government. Starting May 2025, the federal government is expected to enforce the REAL ID requirement for domestic air travel. Such requirements create practical challenges for individuals whose state and federal documents may not align due to policy differences.

The development of technology and digital identity systems also creates new opportunities and challenges for gender recognition. Digital identity frameworks could potentially provide more flexible and secure approaches to identity documentation, but they also raise concerns about privacy, security, and government surveillance.

## 7.3. Future Research and Development Needs

More research needed on specific LGR policy provisions. Current research gaps include long-term outcomes of different legal models, optimal approaches for addressing intersectionality, and strategies for building social acceptance of gender recognition reforms. Empirical research on the health, social, and economic impacts of different approaches could inform future policy development and help identify best practices.

The need for intersectional approaches that address the experiences of individuals with multiple marginalized identities represents another important area for future development. Gender recognition laws must consider how gender identity intersects with race, disability, immigration status, and other factors that affect individuals' experiences and needs.

# VIII. CONCLUSION

The evolution toward gender-neutral laws and reformed legal recognition of identity and relationships represents one of the most significant human rights developments of the contemporary era. This transformation reflects fundamental shifts in understanding about the nature of gender, identity, and human dignity that challenge traditional legal frameworks while creating new possibilities for inclusion and equality.

The analysis presented in this paper demonstrates that self-identification models align most closely with human rights principles and provide the most effective approach to ensuring dignity and autonomy for gender-diverse individuals. The

empirical evidence indicating positive mental health outcomes and reduced discrimination associated with accessible gender recognition provides strong support for these progressive approaches. However, the implementation challenges and political vulnerabilities identified highlight the need for comprehensive, well-designed legal frameworks that can address practical concerns while maintaining rights protections.

The international comparative analysis reveals significant variations in approaches and outcomes, reflecting the influence of cultural, legal, and political contexts on reform possibilities. While European and Latin American jurisdictions have generally led in implementing progressive frameworks, developments across all regions demonstrate growing recognition of gender recognition as a fundamental human right. The role of regional human rights systems and international advocacy networks has been crucial in promoting these developments and providing legal foundations for national reforms.

The implications for relationship and family law represent a particularly complex area requiring ongoing attention and development. The integration of gender recognition reforms with marriage equality, parentage laws, and family formation frameworks creates challenges but also opportunities for more inclusive legal approaches that recognize diverse family structures and relationships.

Looking forward, the sustainability and effectiveness of gender recognition reforms will depend upon several factors including robust legal protections, ongoing social acceptance efforts, and continued advocacy for inclusive approaches. The political reversals observed in some jurisdictions underscore the importance of constitutional protections and broad-based support for these reforms. Future research and development should focus on addressing implementation challenges, building social acceptance, and ensuring that legal frameworks effectively serve the diverse needs of gender-diverse communities.

Ultimately, the movement toward gender-neutral laws represents more than technical legal reform; it embodies a broader commitment to human dignity, equality, and the recognition that legal systems should serve human flourishing rather than enforce arbitrary categories. While challenges remain, the progress achieved demonstrates the possibility of creating more inclusive legal frameworks that honor the full spectrum of human identity and relationships. The continued development of these approaches will require ongoing collaboration between advocates, policymakers, legal professionals, and affected communities to ensure that legal recognition truly serves its intended purposes of promoting dignity, equality, and social inclusion.

## REFERENCES

- Ashford, C. (2024). *Gender Recognition and the Law: Troubling Transgender People's Engagement with Legal Regulation* by Flora Renz, London: Routledge, 2024, 176 pp., £135.00. *Journal of Law and Society*, 51(4), 646–649. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jols.12502>
- Biskup, B. (2024). The received view about the right to marry: A critique. *Human Rights Law Review*, 24(2), ngae003. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngae003>
- Carpenter, M. (2020). Intersex human rights, sexual orientation, gender identity, sex characteristics and the Yogyakarta Principles plus 10. *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 22(4), 390–405.
- Council of Europe. (2022). *Progress in legal gender recognition in Europe is slow, further steps needed on civil and human rights aspects*. <https://www.coe.int/en/web/sogi/-/new-report-on-legal-gender-recognition-in-europe>
- European Commission. (2020). *Legal gender recognition in the EU: The journeys of trans people towards full equality*. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/7341d588-ddd8-11ea-adf7-01aa75ed71a1/language-en>
- Gonzalez-Salzburg, D. A. (2024). Sex and gender in international human rights law through the prism of the 'women' category in recent case law. *Human Rights Law Review*, 24(2), ngae012. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngae012>
- Herre, B., & Arriagada, P. (2023). *Third gender legally recognized* [Dataset]. Our World in Data. <https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/third-gender-recognition-equaldex>
- Human Rights Campaign. (2025, January 23). *Marriage equality around the world*. <https://www.hrc.org/resources/marriage-equality-around-the-world>
- Human Rights Watch. (2024). *World report 2024: Events of 2023*. Human Rights Watch. <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2024>
- ILGA-Europe. (2022, August 12). *Progress in legal gender recognition measures is slow, Council of Europe report says*. <https://www.ilga-europe.org/blog/progress-in-legal-gender-recognition-measures-is-slow-council-of-europe-report-says/>
- ILGA World. (2024, May 30). *Laws on Us: New global report maps relentless opposition and progress on LGBTI people's human rights*. <https://ilga.org/news/laws-on-us-2024-lgbti-human-rights/>
- International Commission of Jurists. (2007, March). *Yogyakarta Principles – Principles on the application of international human rights law in relation to sexual orientation and gender identity*. <https://www.refworld.org/legal/resolution/icjurists/2007/en/58135>
- International IDEA. (2023). *Explainer: The crucial fight for legal gender recognition*. <https://www.idea.int/blog/explainer-crucial-fight-legal-gender-recognition>
- International Service for Human Rights. (2021, November 3). *The Yogyakarta Principles on sexual orientation and gender identity: Establishing the universality of human rights*. <https://ishr.ch/latest-updates/yogyakarta-principles-sexual-orientation-and-gender-identity-establishing-universality-human/>
- Lambda Legal. (2024, November 16). *Identity document guidance for transgender, nonbinary, gender-nonconforming, and intersex people*. <https://lambdalegal.org/tgnc-checklist-under-trump/>
- McDougall, L., Alencar Rocha, L. E., Piontek, E., Wilson, E. C., Reisner, S. L., & Bauer, G. R. (2025). Legal gender recognition and the health of transgender and gender diverse people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Social Science & Medicine*, 345, 116701.
- Movement Advancement Project. (2024). *Identity document laws and policies*. [https://www.lgbtmap.org/equality-maps/identity\\_documents](https://www.lgbtmap.org/equality-maps/identity_documents)
- National Center for LGBTQ Rights. (2025, March 5). *2024 update: Now that Trump has been elected, can our marriage be undone?* <https://www.ncrights.org/2024-update-now-that-trump-has-been-elected-can-our-marriage-be-undone/>
- O'Flaherty, M., & Fisher, J. (2008). Sexual orientation, gender identity and international human rights law: Contextualising the Yogyakarta Principles. *Human Rights Law Review*, 8(2), 207–248. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngn009>
- Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2024). *United Nations resolutions on sexual orientation, gender identity and sex characteristics*. <https://www.ohchr.org/en/sexual-orientation-and-gender-identity/united-nations-resolutions-sexual-orientation-gender-identity-and-sex-characteristics>
- Outright International. (2023, August 9). *Celebrating legal gender recognition*. <https://outrightinternational.org/legal-gender-recognition>
- Outright International. (2025). *Trans people need legal gender recognition. Don't sell us out*. <https://outrightinternational.org/insights/trans-people-need-legal-gender-recognition-dont-sell-us-out>
- Pew Research Center. (2024, June 6). *Biden and Trump voters, gender identity, LGBTQ issues and election 2024*. <https://www.pewresearch.org/politics/2024/06/06/gender-identity-sexual-orientation-and-the-2024-election/>
- Renz, F. (2024). *Gender recognition and the law: Troubling transgender people's engagement with legal regulation*. Routledge.
- Sisters For Change. (2020, January 10). *Comparative legal review of gender recognition laws across the Commonwealth*.

- Torres, C. G., & Ramos, C. (2023). Gender recognition at the crossroads: Four models and the compass of comparative law. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 21(2), 574–607. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/moz081>
- Trans Europe and Central Asia (TGEU). (2025, May 28). *Legal gender recognition*. <https://tgeu.org/topics/legal-gender-recognition/>
- United Nations Development Programme. (2024a). *2024 highlights — Rule of law, human rights, justice and security*. <https://www.undp.org/rolhr/publications/2024-highlights-rule-law-human-rights-justice-and-security>
- United Nations Development Programme. (2024b). *Legal gender recognition: A multi-country legal and policy review in Asia*. <https://www.undp.org/asia-pacific/publications/legal-gender-recognition-multi-country-legal-and-policy-review-asia>
- United Nations General Assembly. (2018, October 26). *First United Nations expert on sexual orientation presents inaugural human rights report to Third Committee* [Press release]. <https://press.un.org/en/2018/gashc4243.doc.htm>
- United Nations in Viet Nam. (2024). *Comparative analysis of gender recognition laws*. <https://vietnam.un.org/en/201024-comparative-analysis-gender-recognition-laws>
- United Nations Sustainable Development. (2024, September 16). *Press release | UN report urges immediate global action to close critical gender gaps*. <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/blog/2024/09/press-release-gendersnapshot-2024/>
- US Birth Certificates. (2025, February 17). *Non-binary birth certificates and state IDs: Full guide [2025]*. <https://www.usbirthcertificates.com/articles/gender-neutral-birth-certificates-states>
- Waites, M. (2021). Legal gender recognition in times of change at the European Court of Human Rights. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 78, 101716. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlp.2021.101716>
- Westbrook, L. (2022). Transcending the gender binary under international law: Advancing health-related human rights for trans\* populations. *Health and Human Rights Journal*, 24(2), 147–160.
- White House. (2025, January 21). *Defending women from gender ideology extremism and restoring biological truth to the federal government*. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/defending-women-from-gender-ideology-extremism-and-restoring-biological-truth-to-the-federal-government/>
- Yogyakarta Principles. (2007). *The Yogyakarta Principles: Principles on the application of international human rights law in relation to sexual orientation and gender identity*. <http://www.yogyakartaprinciples.org/>
- Yogyakarta Principles Plus 10. (2017). *Additional principles and state obligations on the application of international human rights law in relation to sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression and sex characteristics to complement the Yogyakarta Principles*. <http://yogyakartaprinciples.org/principles-en/yp10/>